Organic growth

**APRIL**
Bostik: increase in cement-based mortars and adhesives production capacities in the Philippines

**MAY**
Bostik: increase in cement-based mortars and adhesives production capacities in Malaysia

**JULY**
Bostik: increase in production capacities of cement-based construction products in Sweden

**SEPTEMBER**
Technical Polymers: announcement of specialty polyamide production capacity increases in the United States and China for 2017

**OCTOBER**
Coating resins: announcement of the construction of a polyester powder resin facility in India in 2018
Technical Polymers: announcement of a 25% PVDF Kynar® production capacity increase in China in 2017

**MAY**
Acrylics: agreement for a 50/50 split in capacity rights in the Taixing Sunke Chemical joint venture in China

**NOVEMBER**
Performance Additives: divestment of the activated carbon and filter aids business

Innovation

- Pierre Potier prize for a new Kynar® fluorinated polymer for water ultrafiltration
- Opening of an innovation center in South Korea based within the HanYang University in Seoul
- Ranked among the 100 most innovative companies in the world by Clarivate Analytics for the 6th time in a row

Portfolio management

**MAY**
Acrylics: agreement for a 50/50 split in capacity rights in the Taixing Sunke Chemical joint venture in China

**DECEMBER**
Bostik: acquisition of Den Braven, a European leader in high performance sealants (€345 m sales and €485 m enterprise value)

Corporate Social Responsibility

Arkema strengthens its long-term Corporate Social Responsibility targets, setting itself ambitious new objectives for 2025 in the areas of safety and the environment, with a particular focus on greenhouse gas, volatile organic compound and chemical oxygen demand emission reduction as well as on the reduction of its energy purchases.
corporate social responsibility policy creates value for both stakeholders and the Company itself.

In ten years, we have become a key player in the sustainability world by bringing sustainable development solutions as a centerpiece of our innovation policy and our product lines. Our innovation platforms include lightweight materials and design, electronics solutions, new energies, Bio-based products, water management and home efficiency, and are fully in line with six of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG).

In 2016, we launched a materiality assessment. This broader dialogue with our stakeholders has confirmed that their expectations are aligned with our priorities and has allowed us to reinforce our CSR roadmap.

As an expansion of its CSR effort, Arkema has strengthened its environmental policy by introducing an internal CO₂ pricing for its investment decisions and a new program towards excellence in water management. Furthermore, the Group has complemented its 2025 targets with two new objectives regarding diversity in the organization.

The Group’s continuous improvement in extra-financial rating and its decision to adopt the DJSI index as a key indicator for CSR progress is a clear indication of its ambition to join progressively the best performers of the industry.

This report details our ambitions and commitments in the field of Corporate Social Responsibility as well as our achievements there.

I sincerely thank you for your continued support and interest in our sustainable performance.

from Thierry Le Hénaff
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dear Stakeholders,

I am pleased to renew Arkema’s support for the Global Compact and our ongoing commitment to the initiative and its principles, on which Arkema builds its sustainability approach, with the Responsible Care® initiative.

Our strategic position as a central player in the industry, dedicated to serving our customers, creates a responsibility for us to set an example of excellence in environmental awareness, safety and sustainability in chemical production. I firmly believe that implementing an ambitious
KEY FIGURES 2016

€7,535 M
Sales

€1,189 M
EBITDA

15.8%
EBITDA margin

Adjusted net income per share
€5.56

Free cash flow (1)
€426 M

Dividend per share (2)
€2.05

Net debt
€1,482 M

Capital expenditure (3)
€423 M

Number of employees
19,637

Number of industrial sites
133

Share price in 2016
(in euros)

10-year performance
+44% Arkema
+68% Peer* average
+4% CAC 40

* AkzoNobel, BASF, Clariant, DSM, Evonik, Lanxess, Solvay

(1) Free cash flow excluding M&A, exceptional capex, dividend and cost of hybrid.
(2) Dividend proposed to the shareholders’ annual general meeting of 23 May 2017.
(3) Excluding reallocation of assets without any impact on net debt.
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

2016 KEY FIGURES

Safety
0 accidents
81% of Group sites reported zero accidents in 2016

Environment
230 GWh
Total energy savings achieved by the Group in 2016, representing the equivalent of the annual energy consumption of 50,000 French households

Innovation
116 patents
Number of patent applications filed by the Group in 2016 in sustainable development

Stakeholders
25 material topics
Stakeholders confirmed the importance of the 25 CSR topics during the first materiality assessment carried out in 2016. Focused initiatives will be put in place in these areas

Human resources
2 new targets
Increase the number of women and non-French nationals in executive positions

INNOVATION

More than 1,500 researchers

2.9% of Group sales dedicated to R&D

196 priority patent applications

FOCUS

PEKK: an innovation for the future

The Poly-Ether-Ketone-Ketone (PEKK) marketed under the brand name Kepstan® is a polymer offering very high resistance to temperature and pressure. Much lighter than metal, it is the material of choice for the most demanding applications.

In early 2017, Arkema announced a two-fold increase of its PEKK production capacities in France and confirmed the construction of a world-scale unit at its Mobile site (Alabama) in the United States, which is due to come on stream in the second half of 2018.
The different parts constituting the annual financial report are identified in the table of contents by the pictogram AFR.
In a world facing numerous economic, environmental and social challenges, the Group’s ambition, as a global leader in specialty chemicals and advanced materials, is to act as a responsible chemicals producer by reducing its environmental footprint and offering its customers innovative, sustainable solutions. In this way, the Group aims to drive sustainable, responsible growth in its business, while effectively responding to the planet’s social and environmental challenges. This corporate social responsibility (CSR) approach engages all of its stakeholders, particularly customers, employees and suppliers.

In line with this approach and its endorsement of the ten principles of the Global Compact and the Responsible Care® program, the Group’s CSR policy has been structured around five core commitments:

1. Being a top quartile performer in safety in the chemical industry;
2. Reducing the environmental footprint of its operations;
3. Placing solutions for sustainable development at the heart of its approach to innovation and product range;
4. Promoting the individual and collective development of all its employees; and
5. Encouraging open dialogue with all its stakeholders.

In addition to regular dialogue with its stakeholders, the Group conducted in 2016 an initial materiality assessment in order to improve its CSR approach in partnership with its stakeholders. The results of this structured stakeholder engagement and consultation process are presented in paragraph 2.1.2 of this chapter.

The Group’s CSR approach is regularly assessed by external stakeholders, particularly customers or SRI rating agencies such as CDP, Ecovadis, Vigeo, Oekom, Sustainalytics and RobecoSAM. In addition, Arkema’s inclusion in the FTSE4Good index attests to its solid performance in this field.
2.1.2 Stakeholders and materiality assessment

In 2016, the Group conducted a formal process of exchange with stakeholders on CSR topics in the form of a materiality assessment. The resulting materiality map was used to determine how well the Group’s CSR approach is meeting stakeholder expectations and to suggest pathways for improvement.

The assessment was conducted in two phases, as follows:

1. A preparatory phase, during which key stakeholders were mapped and the 25 most material CSR topics were identified.

   **Map of the key stakeholders**
   The map covers internal stakeholders within the main corporate functions and representatives of the key regions in which the Group operates. In this way, the process involved representatives of the regional businesses, the Business Lines, the production division and the Health, Safety and Environment department, as well as plant managers, purchasing managers, R&D representatives, corporate services representatives (finance, ethics and compliance, human resources, communication and institutional relations) and employee representatives.

   External stakeholders include customers, suppliers, investors, public authorities, NGOs, academics, rating agencies and the media.

2. A survey phase, in which each participating stakeholder validated and prioritized the identified CSR topics and also, in a few cases, suggested other topics to be addressed.

   The survey findings were analyzed in order to rank each topic according to two criteria, as shown in the matrix below:

   - its importance to the Group, as seen by internal stakeholders,
   - its importance to external stakeholders, as measured by their expectations.

**Identified material CSR topics**

The preliminary list of the 25 most material CSR topics was prepared based on the knowledge of the Group’s CSR experts, recognized international standards, a detailed literature review and benchmarking against industry peers. The topics were consolidated into the following categories: safety, environment, innovation, human capital and society.
The materiality map was analyzed by the CSR Steering Committee, whose composition is described in paragraph 2.2.1 of this chapter. The position of the CSR topics in the matrix reveals a strong correlation between the materiality assessments of both internal and external stakeholders. Therefore, the CSR strategy that was implemented in 2012, based on the Group’s five commitments, and the importance of the 25 selected topics were largely validated by stakeholders. The CSR Steering Committee consolidated the topics into the Group’s five commitments and ranked them into three categories, by order of priority, as follows:

**Safety**
- Safety of people and process

**Environment**
- Resources management

**Innovation**
- Sustainable and innovative solutions
- Product stewardship and responsibility

**Social**
- Diversity and equal opportunities
- Training and individual development

**Societal**
- Compliance
- Local dialogue
- Relationships with business partners
- Governance

**Priority topics**
- Safety performance
- Environmental footprint
- Sustainable innovation
- Human capital
- Stakeholders dialogue

**Important topics**
- Process safety
- GHG emissions
- Sustainable and innovative solution for our customers
- Renewable/responsible raw materials
- Local social and economic impact
- Fair compensation
- Biodiversity protection
- Risks related to climate change
- Diversity, equal opportunities
- External partnership for innovation

**Permanent topics**
- Product stewardship / Responsibility
- Emissions to air
- Energy management
- Waste management
- Compliance
- Local dialogue
- Relationships with business partners
- Governance
- Human rights
- Open innovation
- Fair compensation
- Social dialogue
- Local, social and economic impact
- Human rights
Priority topics were chosen from the 25 material topics based on their importance to the Group and to stakeholders.

In conclusion, based on the findings of this materiality assessment, the Group is continuing to deploy its CSR strategy, as will be presented in the following sections, encouraged by the confirmation that its five commitments are both material and aligned with stakeholder expectations. The findings also confirmed that safety and the environment are priority topics and that more specific expectations are emerging in the areas of innovation and human capital.

Given that safety and the environment are already covered by ambitious objectives, the Group has decided to strengthen its other CSR commitments. On social development, two objectives concerning diversity were defined in 2016 for 2025, as described in section 2.6 of this chapter.

### 2025 SOCIAL TARGETS

- 23% to 25% of senior management and executive positions to be held by women; and
- 42% to 45% of senior management and executive positions to be held by non-French nationals.

This process has been validated by the Executive Committee.

### 2.1.3 CSR strategy and commitments

As confirmed by the 2016 materiality assessment, the Group’s five commitments are the foundation for its sustainable, responsible growth while addressing the expectations of its stakeholders.

#### THE GROUP’S FIVE CSR COMMITMENTS

1. **Safety: being a top quartile performer in safety in the chemical industry**

   The Group’s industrial safety initiative has been rolled out around the world and comprises complementary technical, organizational and human aspects. By introducing a Group-wide safety culture and making safety a priority, the Group has continuously improved its safety performance since its stock market listing.

   The Group also takes care that neither people’s health or safety, nor the environment, are impacted by its products.

2. **Environment: reducing the environmental footprint of its operations**

   All employees share the objective of reducing the Group’s environmental footprint, by pursuing three types of actions: limiting emissions from operating activities, reducing the use of natural resources and developing the use of renewable resources.

3. **Innovation: placing sustainable development solutions at the heart of its approach to innovation and product range**

   The Group uses its product R&D and marketing teams to support sustainable development and address the challenges facing the planet. To this end, it creates innovative solutions in support of new energies, lightweight materials, the fight against climate change, access to water, and the use of bio-based raw materials. R&D policies are described in section 1.4 of this document.

4. **Social: promoting the individual and collective development of all its employees**

   While unique in their know-how, capabilities, nationality, role and personality, together, the Group’s employees make up a community. Employment policies around the world focus on two aspects: the individual development of employees and social development through actions that aim to improve working conditions for all.

5. **Societal: encouraging open dialogue with all its stakeholders**

   The Group invites dialogue on its activities and products with all stakeholders, through programs such as the Common Ground® (Terrains d’Entente®) initiative, developed to build mutual understanding and trust-based relationships with local residents, associations and schools. With its suppliers, the Group also adopts responsible behavior based on the desire to develop balanced, long-term, trust-based relationships.
## 2.1.4 CSR key performance indicators

The following table summarizes the Group’s key CSR performance indicators. Tracking and analyzing these KPIs enables the Group to validate, year after year, the performance of its CSR process, as detailed in the following sections.

The Group’s 2025 targets attest to the strength of its commitment to sustainability and social responsibility. Based on the materiality assessment carried out in 2016, additional targets have been set for social development. Objectives related to innovation and societal issues are currently under study.

### 2025 Targets vs. 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2025 Targets</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recordable injury rate (TRIR)</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites having implemented peer observation in the last three years</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of AIMS audited sites</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environment</strong> (EFPI compared with 2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse gas emissions</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compound emissions</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxygen demand</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy purchases</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patent applications filed during the year relating to sustainable development</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sales from products made from renewable raw materials</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of women in senior management and executive positions</td>
<td>23 to 25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of non-French nationals in senior management and executive positions</td>
<td>42 to 45%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of training hours per employee</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Societal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of plants taking part in the Common Ground® program</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
2.2 CSR GOVERNANCE

2.2.1 CSR actors

To fulfill its ambitious CSR approach, the Group created in 2012 a Sustainable Development department, comprising the Product Safety and Environment department and the Sustainable Development department. It reports directly to the Industry Executive Vice-President, who is a member of the Executive Committee.

In addition, a CSR Steering Committee was formed in late 2012 under the leadership of the Industry Executive Vice-President. Its members include the Human Resources and Communication Executive Vice-President and a number of corporate Vice-Presidents, all of whom are actively involved in the CSR process. Meeting twice a year, the Committee oversees CSR initiatives and defines proposed CSR projects before they are submitted to the Executive Committee.

The Group’s CSR ambition, the related initiatives (both proposed and in progress), the main KPIs and the safety and environmental targets are defined and validated by the Executive Committee and presented once a year to the Board of Directors.

The Group’s governance of the CSR process is integrated into the Group’s corporate governance. In particular, every year the Sustainable Development Vice-President reports to the Audit and Accounts Committee, presenting the scope of the CSR data audit and the findings of the independent third-party auditor. These findings appear in the auditor’s opinion issued to the annual general meeting along with the Board of Director’s report, which also includes a variety of social and environmental information. In addition, the Group’s environmental, safety and human resources situation is reviewed by the Board of Directors as part of its activities (see paragraph 3.3.2.3 of this document). Each year, the Industry Executive Vice-President presents to the Board the progress made on CSR topics.

All of the 2016 CSR indicators were reviewed by the independent third-party auditor, as indicated in its limited assurance statement in section 2.8 of this chapter.

2.2.2 CSR reporting organization

The CSR reporting organization is designed to enable the Group to manage and measure the effectiveness of its social responsibility process.

REPORTING ORGANIZATION AND PROTOCOL

The Group has defined directives governing the reporting of safety, environmental, employee and social data for every facility. Data are generally reported once a year, but for certain specific issues, interim data are reported quarterly so as to identify trends and implement required corrective measures on a timely basis. These interim data are not published.

REPORTING SCOPE AND PERIOD

The scope of reporting for social, environmental and societal data is described in detail in the methodological note in section 2.8 of this chapter. To optimize the organization, coordination and integration of the financial and CSR reports, these data are reported on a calendar year basis.

COMPLIANCE AND STANDARDS

Social, environmental and societal information has been released since 2012 in compliance with Articles L. 225-102-1, R. 225-105 and R. 225-105-1 of the French Commercial Code (Code du commerce) and in accordance with the recommendations of ISO 26000. In compliance with the above articles, this information is reviewed by an independent third-party auditor, with reports issued since 2012, attesting to the completeness and fairness of CSR information.

In 2016, the Global Reporting Initiative Guidelines (GRI G4) were applied for the first time. The concordance table can be found in paragraph 2.8.4 of this chapter.
2.3 HEALTH AND SAFETY INFORMATION

BEING A TOP QUARTILE PERFORMER IN SAFETY IN THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY

2.3.1 Safety management

Safety and protecting health and the environment are core priorities in the management of the Group’s business and manufacturing operations, and a major focus of its CSR policies. This focus is shown by the Group involvement in the Responsible Care® program, a voluntary initiative undertaken by the chemical industry to responsibly manage its operations and products, based on a continuous improvement process.

The Group’s safety policy is structured around three areas: prevention of risks (related to safety, the environment and pollution), management guidelines, and a culture of safety and sustainability. It reflects prevailing legislation and the Group’s own requirements, which have been formally defined in a Safety, Health, Environment and Quality Charter and in a global standard, the Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) manual. The Charter and manual form the basis of HSE management systems in all Group entities.

The materiality assessment performed in 2016 and presented in section 2.1 of this chapter confirmed that employee and process safety was one of the major aspects of the Group’s CSR approach. The Group’s commitment to safety has been materialized in three targets for 2025, which reflect the Group’s willingness to continuously improve its performance in this area.

2025 TARGETS

• Reduce the total recordable injury rate (TRIR) to less than 1.2;
• Extend the peer observation program to every Group site; and
• Audit every Group site in accordance with the Arkema Integrated Management System (AIMS).

These policies are being implemented worldwide by the Group Safety and Environment department, with the support of safety and environmental experts in each region.

2.3.2 Employee safety and health

The Group considers the health and safety of its own employees as well as of its sub-contractors to be a priority and believes that every occupational accident is preventable.

As part of a prevention and continuous improvement process, the Group is committed to ensuring a good working environment for everyone, in particular by analyzing workstation health and safety risks and studying accident typologies.

Regarding safety, the Group has the same level of expectation for sub-contractors working on its industrial sites as for its employees. In particular, all of them systematically take part in awareness initiatives designed to develop a safety culture and in the Group’s safety processes and programs. In addition, the injury rates for both employees and sub-contractors are tracked as part of the safety performance management system.

Another priority concerns the attenuation of arduous working conditions, with the deployment of a dedicated program comprising, since many years, workstation ergonomics and other remedial actions. Workplace well-being and the quality of work life are also important factors in protecting employee health.
2.3.2.1 EMPLOYEE SAFETY

Instilling a culture of safety through employee training, awareness-building, and industrial safety and environment systems

Behavior plays a critical role in managing and preventing risks. That is why a core aspect of the Group’s safety process is the development of a common safety culture that raises everyone’s awareness of his or her responsibility and the importance of his or her personal behavior. To develop a shared safety culture across the organization, the Group uses a variety of programs and initiatives, including:

- general training in health, safety and the environment for new hires;
- the “Safety in Action” and “Essentials” programs;
- field initiatives, such as peer observations, flash audits, scheduled general inspections, safety tours and field safety audits;
- dedicated training courses, such as “SafeStart®”, “Human and organizational Safety Factors”, “Safety Culture and Leadership” and “Crisis Management”; and
- the Arkema Safety Academy, whose courses are enabling every employee to share the Group’s safety challenges, policies and tools.

Some of these tools are covered in more detail below.

Safety training effort

In 2016, safety training (1) totalled 180,790 hours (i.e. 14 hours per year per employee trained), and the number of employees who attended at least one safety training session totalled 12,862 (71% of the Group headcount) (1).

In addition, 4,479 people (25% of the Group headcount) took e-learning courses on safety in 2016 (1).

The “Safety in Action” and “Essentials” programs

The “Safety in Action” and “Essentials” programs which concern both employees and outside contractors working on Group sites are deployed worldwide. “Safety in Action” is designed to promote and deepen everyone’s safety culture, while the “Essentials” define a set of rules that must be applied without compromise in every situation.

Peer observation

Peer observation aims at raising risk awareness in ways that help to reduce the number of occupational accidents. It capitalizes on positive experiences and a joint search for solutions to improve practices. Using a structured observation process, each site implements the method taking into account its own specific features (risks, operations). Employees with similar qualifications are then encouraged to observe each other while carrying out their duties.

As of today, peer observation programs have already been successfully deployed in the United States and are now being rolled out in Asia and main European countries. The target is to extend them to every Group site by 2025.

In 2016, 56% of the sites had put in place peer observation practices to improve safety, compared with 57% in 2015. The slight decrease reflected the disposal, in November 2016, of the activated carbon and filter aids business, whose plants had all implemented the peer observation program.

As part of this same process, the Group has put in place a number of specific programs, such as Smart Zone and SafeStart®:

- **Smart Zone: identifying and rectifying shortfalls**
  Bostik has developed a monitoring system to identify in-field non-compliance or shortfalls against best safety practices. Employees detecting such an incident can record it in a “Smart Zone” table. After immediate corrective action is taken, further measures can be discussed between the employee and the Smart Zone table manager. Implementation of the corrective solution is tracked in the Smart Zone through to completion, for fast, effective incident follow-up.

- **SafeStart® to make safety everyone’s business**
  To encourage the shift from a compliance to a commitment-based safety culture, the Group has rolled out the SafeStart® initiative, which is based on observing oneself and other people to identify critical states, such as rushing, frustration, fatigue and overconfidence, that can lead to critical errors (eyes not on task, line of fire, mind not on task, loss of balance, traction or grip) which in turn transform minor risks into major ones. Techniques to reduce the incidence of critical errors in turn help to drive a continuous improvement in the prevention of accidents.

(1) In entities at least 50%-owned and employing more than 30 people.
Getting stakeholders involved in safety

In France, many entities organize Safety Days once or twice a year with their sub-contractors, which are attended by local HSE employees, the Group contract manager and the contractor’s sales manager. During these days, the Group is represented by local executives, business executives and representatives from the Group Procurement and Safety and Environment departments. These events provide an opportunity to share best workplace health and safety practices.

Now that these programs are implemented, the Group is preparing for the deployment of a system to assess the engagement and safety culture of employees. In 2016, the coating resins business gauged the effectiveness of these programs by conducting a worldwide employee satisfaction survey, with a particular focus on safety information, safety culture and employee participation in safety programs and initiatives. The platform in Changshu, China also measured the safety culture awareness of its employees.

Injury rates

The Group’s safety performance ranks among the best in the global chemical industry, confirming the clear improvement dynamic underway for several years, driven largely by the deep implication of every employee.

2025 TARGET

To further improve, the Group has set a target to achieve a total recordable injury rate (TRIR) of less than 1.2 in 2025.

After several years of sharp improvement, the Group consolidated its safety performance in 2016 with a very good TRIR of 1.5, unchanged from an excellent 2015.

The following charts show consolidated injury rates for the 2014 to 2016 period, in number of incidents per million hours worked, calculated according to the method described in section 2.8 of this chapter. It also shows data for 2012, the baseline year used to set the Group’s long-term CSR objectives.

Incident severity is expressed by the number of days lost per incident. An average 24 days were lost per incident in 2016 across all Group and sub-contractor employees working onsite. This was down significantly from 48 days in 2015, primarily as a result of the prevention initiatives being led on every site. No fatal accident has been recorded since 2013.

(1) A lost-time incident refers to any incident causing bodily harm or psychological trauma to an employee in the course of his or her duties and resulting in time off work.
In 2016, a total of 49 Group employees were victims of reported incidents recorded in the TRIR for the year, of which 32 resulted in lost time, out of a total worldwide workforce of 19,637 people. The rate also reflected the 15 incidents involving contractor employees reported during the year. Analysis of prior-year data shows a decrease in the number of serious and very serious incidents, which account for a very small proportion of the total. In the years ahead, the Group’s ambition remains to further reduce this number.

2.3.2.2 OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESSES

Toxic or hazardous substances have been and continue to be used in the manufacture of the Group’s products, and in production facilities, employees may have been exposed to such substances and may develop illnesses arising from such exposure.

In this respect, like most manufacturers, the Group has used a variety of asbestos-based insulating or heat-proofing materials at its production facilities in the past. Consequently, certain employees may have been exposed to such materials before these were gradually removed and replaced.

Claims for occupational illnesses related to past asbestos exposure have been filed against the Group, mostly for periods before 1980. Risks related to occupational illness are described in paragraph 1.7.2 of this document.

With respect to industrial hygiene, beyond the use of enclosed industrial processes limiting emissions as much as possible, protective systems such as source capture of residual emissions, general improvement works designed to minimize exposure, and the use of appropriate personal protective equipment at each workstation. The Group requires risk exposure to be assessed at each workstation and that residual employee exposure to hazardous chemicals is regularly measured in order to attenuate the risk of occupational illness in the future. Measurement data are stored in conditions that guarantee their long-term integrity.

In 2016, 55 occupational illnesses were reported Group-wide, of which 14 were related to exposure to asbestos and 10 to exposure to chemicals.

In France, the Group also deploys traceability programs to track potential exposure to arduous working conditions, including chemicals, in the production facilities, as part of the risk assessment report prepared in compliance with local legislation. Since 2012, the Group has been working on data digitalization, while integrating the requirements of the French legislation concerning arduous working conditions. The dedicated STARMAP program (described in paragraph 2.3.2.4 of this chapter) will thus ensure internal traceability and monitoring of arduous working conditions, in line with the Group’s international scope.

2.3.2.3 MEDICAL CARE

Regular medical check-ups were available in 95.1% of Group companies in 2016, covering 92% of employees.

2.3.2.4 HEALTH AT WORK

To maintain health at work, the Group has undertaken continuous improvement initiatives to prevent arduous working conditions, stress and workstation risk and generally to improve employee well-being.

Preventing arduous working conditions

Since 2012, the Group has undertaken a process to prevent arduous working conditions. In this context, numerous initiatives have contributed to improve working conditions, such as:

- workstation ergonomics studies;
- workstation accommodations; and
- the development of handling support systems.

In 2016, for example, as part of the expansion of the molecular sieve production capacity at the Honfleur, France, plant, ergonomic and working condition issues were taken into account in the design of the new unit, with the active participation of unit operators.

In France, a new agreement to prevent arduous working conditions and integrate ergonomics was signed in 2016 by all of the unions. Designed to consolidate the process implemented since 2012, the new agreement covers the following main points:

- in every facility, appoint ergonomics correspondents and set up working groups to support the program’s in-plant deployment;
- continue to integrate ergonomics into the process engineering phase of every project;
- integrate ergonomics into the procurement process; and
- pursue initiatives to improve working conditions.

In 2016, correspondents attended an initial training program that was completed in early 2017. It will be offered to other correspondents and will constitute an on-going training.

Preventing stress and improving quality of work life

In 2008, Arkema France initiated a physician-supported stress management program for individual employees, whose stress levels are determined by taking a standardized test during their annual check-up with the occupational physician. The Group has also undertaken a company-wide voluntary workplace stress prevention initiative to improve any working environment identified as being “at risk”, based on such proven indicators as an abnormally high percentage of employees diagnosed as being “over-stressed”.

• pursue initiatives to improve working conditions.
The primary stress management initiatives undertaken in 2016 included:

- conferences, workshops, quality of work days and other local initiatives concerning quality of work life;
- further deployment of training and awareness-building programs to help managers deal effectively with workplace stress and psychosocial risks, while enhancing quality of work life; and
- changes in the way psychosocial risks are taken into account in the annual occupational risk assessment report (document unique) describing workstation risk factors.

In addition, a teleworking system is being gradually introduced in agreement with the employees concerned and their managers. An agreement was signed by four of Arkema France’s five unions on this company-wide workplace stress management initiative. With this agreement, the Group affirms its ambition to offer employees a working environment favorable to their well-being. This agreement calls for a variety of training and information initiatives, as well as the introduction of a procedure for identifying working environments at risk together with an analysis to determine stress factors and take corrective action.

**Focus: Quality of Work Life**

Arkema’s corporate headquarters received the 2016 Mieux Vivre en Entreprise Award, created by France’s Rh&M group, for its innovative quality of work life program. The award honored the Group’s workplace stress management process and all the initiatives being led in this area.

**2.3.3 Process safety**

The Group carefully analyzes the risks associated with its production and storage activities and pays particular attention to both internal and external feedback concerning incidents, accidents and best industrial management practices.

In compliance with applicable legislation, production plant risks are assessed based on systematic studies of (i) manufacturing processes, (ii) operating conditions in existing units, (iii) the transportation of hazardous and other products, (iv) the design and construction of new facilities, (v) upgrades to existing facilities (vi) health and safety at workstations, and (vii) environmental impacts.

Identified risks are prioritized using a qualitative and quantitative process developed and led by a network of experts in Europe, the United States and Asia. To reduce the impact and probability of occurrence of these risks, appropriate technical and organizational resources have been deployed in the production units and for the transportation of hazardous substances. The experts are also responsible for preparing the directives, procedures and guidelines required for effective risk management.

At the same time, the Group is investing heavily to reinforce a culture of process safety of its employees. This involves not only technical training in process safety systems and methods, but also seminars conducted in the United States, Europe and Asia for plant employees and managers by experts from the Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers.

When a new production unit is designed or a significant extension is made to an existing facility, the best options are explored to improve process safety. In addition, existing plants are regularly upgraded and improved. The Group capital expenditure allocated to safety, the environment and maintaining the production facilities to standard amounted to €240 million in 2016 versus €203 million in 2015.
In France, Technological Risk Prevention Plans (PPRTs) put in place in accordance with local legislation help manage urban development around the Group’s Seveso facilities. As of year-end 2016, 16 facilities operated by the Group in France are subject to a PPRT and the Group will support any of the related measures through 2018. Furthermore, the ministerial decree of 29 September 2005, requiring that the probability of occurrence, kinetics, impact intensity and severity of potential accidents be assessed and addressed in the hazardous impact studies performed for classified installations subject to authorization, is also entailing the introduction of risk management measures at all of the sites classified as such.

In Europe, at the date of this document, 34 of the Group’s production facilities are subject to reinforced monitoring in accordance with the provisions of the Seveso 3 directive 2012/18/EU of 4 July 2012 concerning major accidents involving hazardous substances. This directive requires, in particular, the deployment of safety management systems and the regular updating of hazard studies, whose findings may entail additional risk prevention obligations for companies operating the sites.

In the United States, industrial accident risk management is primarily regulated by the Superfund Reauthorization Act, the Risk Management Process and the Emergency Planning and Community-Right-to-Know Act. In particular, the latter requires companies to inform government authorities when more than the minimum authorized quantity of a hazardous substance is being used or stored, and if such substances are stored, to have emergency plans and procedures in place. Other regulations at the federal, state or local levels govern certain specific aspects of the storage of chemicals, the safety of workers when handling stored products and the storage of highly hazardous substances.

Crisis management

The in-plant crisis management procedures are broadly based on the Group Crisis Management directive, which covers the management of potentially critical situations in the areas of health, safety and the environment. In particular, a year-round on-call system ensures rapid and effective response to potential incidents, by assessing their context and fielding a dedicated crisis management team as needed. The Group also regularly offers training courses in “Crisis management and communication” and conducts simulations of crises and set-up of crisis management teams.

Process Safety Incidents (PSI)

Regarding process safety, the Group’s objective is to minimize the number of serious process safety incidents, as defined by the European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC). PSIs are reported as soon as possible to Executive Committee members and to the surrounding community in the event of nuisances.

Since 2013, the total number of PSIs, major or minor, has been systematically reviewed at every meeting of the Executive Committee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serious PSIs (type A or C1 according to the CEFIC method) [1]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] See the note on methodology in section 2.8 of this chapter.

* Excluding Bostik.

The decrease in the number of PSIs attests to the success of the action plans and industrial investments made in particular as part of the operational excellence program.
2.3.4 Audits

The effective implementation of safety policies is regularly audited, with a focus on measuring progress and harmonizing practices. These audits are also an important management practice.

To ensure a highly efficient inspection and control process, all of the Group-led safety, environment and quality audits have been consolidated into a single audit, known as the Arkema Integrated Management System (AIMS). It is based on all of the Group’s standards, both proprietary and endorsed, such as ISO 9001, ISO 14001 and OHSAS 18001. This “all-in-one” approach has the dual benefit of being aligned with the Group’s corporate culture and ensuring consistency across all its safety, environment and quality management initiatives. AIMS audits are conducted every three years, with follow-up audits every year.

The 2025 target is for every facility to have been AIMS-audited within the past three years.

| % of facilities AIMS-audited over the past three years | 2016 | 2015 | 2014*
|------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------
|                                                      | 63   | 61   | 78

* Excluding Bostik.

The increase in the percentage of AIMS-audited facilities over the last three years and reported in 2016 illustrates the continued deployment of this program, in particular at the Bostik plants included in early 2015.

The year-on-year decrease in the percentage in 2015 reflected the inclusion of the Bostik plants, where the AIMS method began to be rolled out during the year. Excluding the Bostik facilities, the percentage would therefore have been 91% in 2015, an increase on the 2014 figure.

Many facilities are audited simultaneously according to the AIMS standard and a variety of international standards, to earn or renew external certification, depending on their particular situation. The number of sites audited in this way over the last three years is presented in the following table and attests in 2016, as for previous years, to the Group’s ongoing efforts in these areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of units audited according to each standard</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9001</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14001</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHSAS 18001</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
54% of Group facilities have been certified to OHSAS 18001 standard in Europe, 45% in North America and 34% in Asia.

In addition, the Group performs a large number of non-AIMS audits every year, including:

- operational safety audits: construction site inspections, pre-start-up reviews, and operational safety audits in areas such as mechanical integrity and explosive atmospheres;
- process safety audits, including fire safety audits, post-incident audits and risk analysis reviews;
- supplier and supply chain audits: transportation companies and warehouses are inspected and assessed. These audits are performed in addition to third-party audits, such as the Safety & Quality Assessment System for overland transportation, the Chemical Distribution Institute for maritime shipping, and the European Barge Inspection Scheme for river shipping. A certain amount of packaging is also inspected; and
- field safety audits led by plant employees to assess the safety culture and installation compliance on a continuous, sustainable basis. These assessments include short flash audits, scheduled general inspections and safety tours by management. They concern everyone working on the site, including contractor employees, and are performed in every aspect of the site’s operations, including production units, offices, capital works and turnarounds.

In addition to audits, DSEG teams lead safety support initiatives at facilities whose performance has fallen short of Group standards or which have reported a specific issue. DSEG experts share their findings of the facility’s accident record and HSE activities with plant management, then discuss how to prepare, implement and follow up on the remedial action plans. In 2016, a dedicated support program led by onsite DSEG representatives was offered to plants during their turnarounds, which require the involvement of a large number of employees and outside contractors. The program also enables the plants to share good practices.

Another important tool in managing the deployment of the Group’s safety process is feedback on material incidents. It consists in sharing experiences on relevant incidents so that ways can be found to avoid recurrence. Feedback takes place across the global organization through various geographic, professional and technological networks. In the event of a material incident, the network issues a safety alert that enables other Group facilities that may encounter a similar incident to take corrective measures. The feedback process is helping to improve the Group’s safety expertise and ensure the effectiveness of the deployed measures.
2.3.5 Responsible product stewardship

The Group integrates health, safety and environmental protection into every product’s design and throughout its life-cycle.

This product stewardship process, which in certain aspects exceeds regulatory requirements, engages stakeholders across the product chain, from raw material suppliers to end-customers.

The Group expresses its commitment to product stewardship in its Safety, Health, Environment and Quality Charter and by endorsing the International Council of Chemical Associations’ (ICCA) Responsible Care® initiative. In particular, the Group participates in a variety of international ICCA programs, such as the High Production Volume (HPV) initiative, which aims to supply globally-harmonized data sets and initial hazard assessments for around 1,000 chemical substances.

Leveraging its organization and the scientific and regulatory expertise acquired over many years, the Group ensures to define product-specific HSE roadmaps by country that are well adapted to local conditions, thus helping to drive continuous improvement and deepen its knowledge of each product’s features and conditions of use.

2.3.5.1 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Regulatory compliance plays a key role in ensuring product safety for customers, the value chain and stakeholders.

In recent years, the Group has deployed the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals (GHS) and implemented the REACH regulations in Europe.

1. Deployment of GHS

GHS is a major United Nations initiative designed to replace the various chemical classification and labeling standards used in different countries with a global system based on consistent criteria. The Group has deployed it in every participating country, in line with its implementation in local legislation.

In Europe, the GHS has been transposed into the Classification, Labeling and Packaging (CLP) regulation governing chemical products and mixtures. The Group reassessed and classified all the substances contained in its product portfolio within the regulation’s deadline and updated the related safety data sheets and labels.

In addition, the Group has deployed the system in other countries, in particular in the United States, South Korea, China, Malaysia and Turkey, again within the regulatory timeframe. Roll-out is proceeding apace in the countries that are currently phasing in the GHS, such as Australia and Canada.

2. Implementation of the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) legislation in Europe

REACH is a European regulation that aims to make in-depth changes in the way chemical substances are managed by improving their level of knowledge, analyzing their environmental and health risks and defining measures to manage the risks arising from their use or manufacture. The Group endorses the objectives of REACH, which represents an additional pathway to continuously improving knowledge of its substances and their safe use, in line with the legitimate expectations of civil society. The Group therefore complies with all of the REACH standards governing the registration, evaluation, authorization and restriction of chemicals.

REACH compliance is managed at Group level by the Product Safety and Environment department, whose team of experts in toxicology, ecotoxicology and compliance oversee implementation of the regulation. More particularly, the Group has filed the following registrations with the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of substances</th>
<th>Substances for which the Group is Lead or Sole Registrant</th>
<th>Number of dossiers submitted to the ECHA</th>
<th>Dossiers accepted by the ECHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010 and 2013 deadlines</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 deadline</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In all, the Group plans to register 430 substances. This number has been adjusted after the first two registration deadlines were met and after surveying forthcoming developments in the businesses’ portfolios. REACH compliance is expected to cost around €25 million over the 2016-2020 period, and to represent a total cost to the Group of an estimated €65 million between 2008 and 2020.

In addition to submitting new registration dossiers, the Group regularly revises its existing dossiers following the acquisition of new data or at the request of the ECHA. In 2016, around 40% of the revisions were filed preventively to improve the registrations.

The Group is also participating in the Community Rolling Action Plan (CoRAP) launched by the authorities after the first registration phase. Once a substance has been evaluated, additional information may be requested to determine if the risks are effectively managed. This could eventually lead to proposed pan-European risk management measures, such as restrictions, the identification of substances of very high concern or other initiatives outside the REACH remit. Since 2012, 28 Group substances have been listed in CoRAP. Of these, the data sets for five were deemed adequate, whereas for 14 others, additional hazard information and/or risk assessments were requested. The last nine substances are still being evaluated.

**Management of REACH-defined substances of very high concern (SVHC)**

The Group has put in place a dedicated process to track the REACH-defined substances of very high concern (SVHCs) that are used in its productions or placed on the market. It was designed in response to the REACH substance authorization process, which has two phases:

- the first consists in identifying substances that could have potential negative impacts on human health or the environment. Once so designated, these substances of very high concern are added to a list of substances that may be subject to prior authorization for their specific use (Annex XIV);
- the second phase aims to ensure that the risks from the use of these SVHCs are adequately managed and that the substances themselves are being gradually replaced by appropriate alternatives. These substances may not be placed on the market or used after a designated date unless an authorization is granted (or waived) for their specific use.

As soon as the authorities propose that a substance be listed as an SVHC, the Group responds to the public call for comments by the ECHA for substances whose use(s) may be subject to authorization.

In cases where these substances are finally identified as SVHCs and included in the candidate list, a review is conducted to determine the most appropriate response, such as assessing alternative substances for the intended uses, applying for authorization when the substance is listed in Annex XIV, or converting the production unit and phasing out production.

**ANALYSIS OF THE GROUP’S SVHCS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances of Very High Concern</th>
<th>SVHCs contained in products placed on the market</th>
<th>Of which SVHCs contained in raw materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVHCs subject to REACH authorization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVHCs on the REACH candidate list</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In November 2015, the Group filed an application with the ECHA to authorize the sodium dichromate used as a processing aid at the Jarrie plant in France, while waiting for an alternative solution to be found.

As of 1 June 2016, the industry candidate list contained 169 substances, including (i) the hydrazine produced at the plant in Lannemezan, France, (ii) the 2-imidazolidinethione (ETU) produced by MLPC, and (iii) the nonylphenol ethoxylates produced by CECA.

REACH’s third component is the restriction procedure, which is intended to restrict or prohibit a substance’s production, marketing or use.

Cobalt chloride, which was previously recommended for authorization, is now expected to be recommended for restriction, although in theory this would only apply to metal coatings. This would not affect the Group, which uses the substance as a processing aid at the Jarrie site in France. Nevertheless, pending a formal proposal for restriction and as a precautionary measure, an alternative solution is being explored.
Compliance with other legislation

Outside Europe, the Group markets its chemicals in accordance with national and regional mandatory inventories, as applicable. Due to its history and global presence, some of these products are already notified in many inventories. Should a need arise for a new product notification, dossiers can be filed in a timely manner thanks to the extensive database the Group maintains on the characteristics of its products.

In particular, since 2015, this process has made it possible to respond to the three new REACH-like regulations that have been introduced in Asia (South Korea, Taiwan and Turkey). For example, the Group completed Phase I registration of substances in Taiwan and submitted its first annual report to the Korean authorities during the year.

The Group also joined consortia formed to jointly register substances brought to market in South Korea, in accordance with article 15 of the Act on the Registration and Evaluation of Chemical Substances (ARECS).

More specifically, the Group does not manufacture any persistent organic pollutants (POPs). It also has low exposure to bisphenol A, particularly in the food container market, where it is working closely with customers to substitute BPA-derivatives.

2.3.5.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The Group relies on an in-house team of expert toxicologists and ecotoxicologists who conducts product hazard studies and works closely with regulatory experts to assess risks in normal conditions of use. The findings are shared across the Group and externally in various forms, including Safety Data Sheets, labeling and GPS Safety Summaries.

Safety Data Sheets (SDSs)

In many countries, the Group describes its product characteristics and conditions of use in Safety Data Sheets (SDSs), which are required to market chemicals classified as hazardous to human health or the environment. They are prepared in some forty languages based on a global database comprising the composition of every product and its toxicological, ecotoxicological and physical-chemical data, thereby ensuring consistent information in every market. The Group issues SDSs in accordance with regulatory requirements and posts them on the Group website or the online QuickFDS platform. As part of the product stewardship process, the Group exceeds regulatory obligations by issuing SDSs even for products that are not classified as hazardous.

In Europe, the Group’s organization and IT infrastructure have made it possible to issue extended SDSs the latest REACH-compliant format, which improve risk management by including exposure scenarios for each identified use.

Labeling

The Group has also developed systems to print labels with a consistent classification, regardless of the country in which the product is manufactured or marketed.

In addition, efficient IT systems enable the Group to prepare compliance documents and align them as needed with the latest formats and data, notably when the GHS standardized classification and labeling system is introduced in a new country.

Global Product Strategy (GPS)

The Group remains actively engaged in the Global Product Strategy (GPS) program, which is designed to support the deployment of safer, more efficient chemicals management practices. As part of this process, a dedicated web page has been created and Safety Summaries are regularly posted on the ICCA and corporate websites, as and when REACH registration dossiers are filed. To date, the Group has already published 145 GPS Safety Summaries, describing the intrinsic properties of the substances marketed by the Group, their potential risks for human health and the environment and the recommended ways of managing these risks effectively. The Group will continue to publish these summaries as part of the next REACH deadline in 2018.

2.3.5.3 ANIMAL TESTING

Given its business portfolio, the Group neither conducts triage trials on substances derived from its research nor participates in toxicology research projects that could involve the use of laboratory animals. Toxicology studies conducted on vertebrate animals are strictly limited to those required for regulatory compliance. They are contracted to outside laboratories subject to oversight by the relevant ethics committees.

The Group always conducts in-depth analyses of existing literature data, thanks to constant tracking of information on Group substances, in order to use all of the available public information. As required by REACH, the Group applies, whenever possible, the rules for waiving standard testing when such tests are not justified (due to the absence of exposure) or when alternative methods can be used.

In addition, the Group participates in the work of FRANCOPA, a French platform dedicated to the development, validation and dissemination of alternative animal testing methods, using the 3Rs (reduction, refinement, replacement).
2.4 ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

REDDUCING THE ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT OF THE GROUP’S OPERATIONS

2.4.1 Environmental management

Reducing the environmental footprint of its operations is one of the Group’s five CSR commitments. To achieve it, the Group is upgrading its manufacturing practices to minimize emissions and to optimize and reduce the use of energy, water and non-renewable raw materials. By stringently tracking their effluent releases, air emissions and waste production, the plants are implementing effective initiatives.

The findings of the 2016 materiality assessment confirmed the importance of environmental topics for stakeholders, for which the Group has already defined four objectives. These objectives apply to intensive indicators, known as Environmental Footprint Performance Indicators (EFPI), which are not impacted by changes in the scope of reporting, making them more effective in tracking the Group’s industrial performance. The following charts illustrate the objectives and the progress made since the 2012 baseline.

- **WATER**
  - Reduction by 20% of chemical oxygen demand emissions (COD)

- **ENERGY**
  - Reduction by 15% of net energy purchases

- **AIR**
  - Reduction by 33% of volatile organic compound emissions (VOC)

- **CLIMATE CHANGE**
  - Reduction by 50% of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG)
**CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY**

**Environmental information**

**AIR** (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS EFPI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012*</th>
<th>2014*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2025 target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFPI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLIMATE** (DIRECT GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS EFPI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012*</th>
<th>2014*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2025 target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFPI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WATER** (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND EFPI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012*</th>
<th>2014*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2025 target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFPI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENERGY** (NET ENERGY PURCHASES EFPI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012*</th>
<th>2014*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2025 target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFPI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data prior to 2015 exclude Bostik.

NB: change in the EFPI indicators is expressed in relation to an index base 1 in 2012.

In addition to the progress made in these four intensive indicators, the Group reports absolute figures for every parameter used to track the Group’s environmental footprint.

To meet these targets, the Group has undertaken initiatives at two levels:

- continuous improvement programs, based on regulatory monitoring, employee training and an action plan deployed in every unit; and
- a certification process, completed by internal audits, to assess the performance of each plant’s environmental management system.

**Regulatory and compliance monitoring**

The Group ensures that its HSE network properly understands EU regulations, such as Phase III of the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) or the Industrial Emissions directive (IED), as well as the latest environmental data reporting rules which concern it, thanks to the organization of awareness-building sessions. The Group also performs regulatory compliance audits every three years at the US facilities. A similar process is being rolled out in Asia and especially in China. European facilities can monitor their compliance with applicable regulations using specific IT applications dedicated to each country legislation.
Instilling an environmental culture through employee training and information

As regard the environment, Group employees are trained and made aware of the main characteristics of their plant, the real-world consequences of their actions, the operational management of all types of releases and emissions, the environmental impact of turnaround or installation restart operations, and waste sorting.

At the 52% of Group facilities that earned ISO 14001 or, in the United States, RCMS certification in 2016, a dedicated environmental training program is offered after an environmental risk analysis has been performed in each workshop. At an increasing number of facilities, feedback on environmental incidents is being tracked in a common system for reporting incidents and following up corrective actions. The training program is regularly repeated to maintain employee awareness of the importance of critical parameters.

Details on employee training and the new-hire induction process may be found in the “General HSE training” paragraph in paragraph 2.6.3.2 of this chapter. Environmental training totalled 19,029 hours (1) in 2016, or an average of 6.3 hours per employee per year. In all, 3,012 employees, or 18% of the Group headcount, attended at least one environment-related course during the year (excluding e-learning) (1).

Management engagement

Initiatives underway to reduce the environmental footprint are extensively reviewed and discussed within the Group:

• each business’s entire environmental footprint, including its energy footprint, is annually reviewed in individual meetings with the business’s managing director and industrial Vice-President(s) and the Group Safety and Environment and Sustainable Development Vice-Presidents. During this process, the managers concerned are assigned an environmental target for the following year. This target is a criterion for their annual performance review and compensation;

• the Group’s annual environmental and energy reports presenting results for the reporting and prior years, along with historical environmental footprint data (excluding energy) for the trailing six years, are issued to all the departments concerned. These reports track the initiatives that helped to improve the Group’s environmental performance. In 2016, for example, 126 initiatives were highlighted. They covered the full range of environmental-related topics, including water withdrawals, the reduction in water effluent releases, GHG and COV emissions, soil contamination and waste production; and

• each year, the Group Safety and Environment Vice-President and the Sustainable Development Vice-President provide the Executive Committee with overviews of, respectively, the Group’s environmental performance and the progress made in the key indicators towards the 2025 targets.

In addition to internally tracking the improvement plans deployed in each entity, the Group ensures alignment among the environmental management systems through an outside certification process.

A certified environmental management system

The Group deploys environmental management systems in its production plants, most of which have also earned environmental certification in accordance with the ISO 14001 standard. Depending on local conditions, certain facilities have been certified to other standards, such as the Responsible Care® Management System (RCMS) in the United States.

The decline since 2014 reflects both changes in the scope of consolidation and readjustments to the plants’ certification needs.

The ISO 14001 or, in the United States, RCMS certification systems, require each production facility to identify its environmental impact in terms of water, air (including greenhouse gas emissions), waste, noise, odors, soil, use of resources and logistics flows, and then to define an action plan with priority areas for improvement. Periodic environmental assessments enable the facilities to measure progress and determine new improvement targets.

To harmonize the identification, assessment and analysis of environmental risks, the Group rolled out in 2013 a new methodology, with global application, while a dedicated IT system was deployed in Europe, the United States and Asia in 2016.

This process is being supported on every site by environmental audits performed by the Internal Audit department, AIMS audits conducted by the Group Safety and Environment department and certifications by third-party accreditation bodies, depending on the country.

Environmental declaration

The Group’s statement concerning its environmental indicators is based on the principles of relevance, representativeness and consistency. The methodology applied is described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of facilities ISO 14001 or RCMS-certified</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

1 In entities at least 50%-owned and employing more than 30 people.
2.4.2 Resources

Reducing the environmental impact of the Group industrial sites consists of optimizing their use of raw materials, energy and natural resources like water. New manufacturing units are designed to incorporate environmental footprint considerations into the choice of processes and equipment. Special attention is also paid to operating conditions and maintenance and development investments are regularly undertaken to reduce the Group plant’s use of water, energy and raw materials.

2.4.2.1 RAW MATERIAL CONSUMPTION

The Group wants to contribute to optimizing the consumption of non-renewable raw materials used in its manufacturing process with the primary goal of reducing their use by deploying process control initiatives and developing best operating practices. These initiatives are described in more detail in section 1.6 of this document.

In addition, to optimize its own and its customers’ raw materials use, the Group undertakes, independently or in partnership with suppliers, such programs as recycling the reaction solvents used in its production processes. It also offers customers other recycling solutions and deploys circular economy initiatives that are described in paragraph 2.4.5.2 of this chapter.

Lastly, the Group is expanding the use of renewable and especially bio-based raw materials in its products. The Group resulting products are presented in section 1.4.4.2 of this document. This ongoing commitment was demonstrated in 2016 by the fact that products at least 20% made from renewable raw materials accounted for around 10% of Group sales. The decline compared to the 13% reported in 2014 was mainly due to the consolidation of Bostik in 2015 and the disposal of the activated carbon and filter aids business in 2016.

2.4.2.2 ENERGY CONSUMPTION

The Group uses a variety of energy sources, primarily in its industrial operations. To optimize energy consumption, the Group set the following target:

**2025 TARGET**

Reduce net energy purchases by 15% in EFPI terms by 2025, corresponding to an average reduction of 1.5% a year over the 2012-2020 period and of 1% a year through 2025.

To this end, the Group is rolling out the Arkenergy program in every subsidiary through a global network of Energy Leaders in the Business Lines, facilities and relevant Procurement and Technical departments. It focuses on optimizing the energies used in the production facilities and processes, which account for 98% of consolidated energy consumption. Moreover, Arkenergy is structured to meet the following priorities:

- continuously optimize energy use and cost, from equipment design and procurement to day-to-day on-site operations;
- deploy an energy management system to systematically embed best operational practices, define site-specific targets and periodically review them; and
- ensure compliance with energy efficiency legislation, regulations and other applicable standards.

As well as improving energy efficiency, the program is also contributing to reinforce the production plants’ competitiveness. The Arkenergy process mainly consists in:

- rolling out energy efficiency audits worldwide, focusing on the facilities with the highest net energy purchases. To date, a total of 75 energy efficiency audits (including 14 in 2016) have been initiated or performed, of which 54 in Europe, 19 in North America and two in China. The audited plants account for 82% of total consolidated energy consumption;
- implementing the ISO 50001 energy management system in Europe and Asia. By the end of 2016, 21 plants had been ISO 50001-certified, of which one in the Americas; and
- allocating a dedicated capital expenditure budget specifically for Arkenergy initiatives. In 2016, 51 investments were funded out of the budget, including 31 in Europe, nine in the Americas, 10 in Asia and one in Africa.
**Focus: An Arkenergy Success**

Thanks to an investment in process control, the distillation unit at the plant in La Chambre, France significantly reduced its annual steam consumption, by the equivalent in MWh of the electricity used every year by around 800 households.

**Absolute indicator for energy purchases**

The chart hereafter presents consolidated net energy purchases in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated in terawatt-hours according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this document.

Net energy purchases by region and by type of energy break down as follows:

- **Americas**: 32%
- **Europe**: 53%
- **Rest of the world**: 15%
- **Electricity**: 33%
- **Fuels**: 53%
- **Steam**: 14%

91% of the terawatt-hours generated by fuel were natural gas-fired.

17% of the net terawatt-hours purchased by the Group, regardless of source, were from low-carbon electricity.

**Intensive indicator for energy purchases**

The chart below presents the net energy purchases EFPI for the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this document. Net energy purchases are calculated using the Group’s biggest net energy purchasing entities, which account for more than 80% of the consolidated total.

The indicator showed significant improvement in 2016, demonstrating the effectiveness of the investments made as part of the Arkenergy project, which in some cases have only made a full-year contribution since 2016. The energy performance of Group installations was also considerably improved by the high utilization rates in the production units and a favorable product mix.
2.4.2.3 WATER USE

Water is used in the Group’s industrial operations to:

- provide a reaction medium for certain production processes, cool production installations and clean products and equipment;
- generate steam; and
- operate hydraulic barriers to treat groundwater contaminated by legacy pollution on historical sites.

To contribute to optimizing the use of fresh water, whether withdrawn from the surface or the ground table, the Group is upgrading production practices by installing water-saving systems and closed loops. These initiatives can cover a wide range of solutions, such as tracking usage more effectively, installing flow meters, deploying leak detection programs, changing technologies, upgrading fire-fighting systems, recovering rainwater and recycling water from scrubbing or boiler condensates.

In 2016, as part of the operational excellence program, the Group launched the “Optim’O” project to improve/optimize its production units’ water management. The analyses carried out as part of this project found that:

- 80% of water withdrawn from the natural environment is returned as surface water; and
- 90% of consolidated water use is attributable to some 35 plants, none of which are located in a water-stressed region.

A water management program will be gradually rolled out at the 19 facilities located in water-stressed areas, depending on their criticality. These facilities represent less than 2% of total consolidated water use.

The chart below presents consolidated water withdrawals in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water use</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total water withdrawn (in millions of cu. m)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

The slight increase in water withdrawals in 2016 was attributable to improvements in the reporting and mapping processes as part of the “Optim’O” water project.

2.4.3 Land and biodiversity

The Group wants to limit its land footprint and use as well as its impact on biodiversity.

2.4.3.1 MANAGING LEGACY POLLUTION AND PROTECTING THE SOIL

The Group responsibly manages soil and groundwater contamination caused by legacy pollution, including the storage of waste from operating facilities that have been operated, sold or acquired. The Group’s environmental responsibility is managed to ensure control of health risks and protection of the environment over the long term, with an appropriate allocation of funds.

In addition, the Group implements prevention policies at all of the operating facilities, with mechanical integrity programs, dedicated incident reporting systems and experience sharing. When soil or groundwater contamination is suspected at a facility, an inquiry is conducted to determine the extent of the area concerned and ascertain the impact. The Group cooperates with authorities to define the appropriate management response, in line with applicable legislation.

A wide range of remediation programs are also being led, using new techniques.
Focus

Success of the pilot in situ groundwater remediation facility using permeable reactive barrier technology at the Mont plant in France.

Four years after a pilot facility was built to develop a permeable reactive barrier to remediate groundwater contaminated by chlorinated solvents, the technology has been recognized as reliable and effective. It has now been deployed in all of the contaminated areas.

Brownfield redevelopment

To redevelop certain brownfield sites, the Group is partnering with local officials, academics and specialized companies. They use these brownfield sites either for biomass production projects or for the installation of photovoltaic panel projects.

Provisions for the management of legacy pollution

The amount of provisions for environmental risk at 31 December 2016 may be found in note 20.3 to the consolidated financial statements, in paragraph 4.3.3 of this document.

2.4.3.2 Biodiversity

Measures to protect flora, fauna and biodiversity in general

Preserving biodiversity primarily means protecting all of the flora and fauna species liable to be impacted by emissions from the Group’s operations.

The initiatives underway are therefore designed to reduce each plant’s releases into the surrounding water, soil and air. Periodic environmental assessments enable the facilities to identify their environmental impact and the species liable to be affected, define priority objectives for their environmental protection action plans, and measure the improvements. Additionally, new manufacturing units are designed to incorporate environmental footprint considerations into the choice of processes and equipment.

In this way, the compliance and other initiatives being led by the Group have enabled:

- a reduction in chemical oxygen demand (COD) in the effluent discharged into rivers, thereby preserving the dissolved oxygen that is essential to all aquatic life;
- a reduction in the amount of volatile organic compounds (VOC) released into the air, thereby limiting the formation of ground-level ozone, a super-oxidant harmful to flora and fauna;
- a reduction in SO2 and NOx emissions, thereby helping to prevent the formation of acid rain which, in addition to its direct impact on plant life, can also alter soil characteristics; and
- the pursuit of soil remediation projects at sites with long-standing industrial operations, as described in the preceding paragraph, so as to protect all of the species that depend on their land or groundwater.

Measures to develop biodiversity

Despite occupying only a limited amount of land, the Group is leading a number of initiatives to help enhance biodiversity on sites where part of the land is not allocated to industrial operations. One of the purposes is to encourage revegetation and the development of local species on and around the sites.

The Group promotes certain initiatives to improve biodiversity around former production units. In Italy, for example, hundreds of olive trees are being tended on the grounds of the Gissi facility, helping to safeguard the surrounding plant and animal ecosystem.

2.4.4 Emissions

The Group is leading an active policy of managing and reducing the impact of its operations on air emissions, effluent releases and waste production.

As part of this process, released substances are identified and their amounts calculated by category, so that appropriate measures can be taken to manage each one, in compliance with host country legislation.

In this way, the manufacturing plants are cutting their releases by optimizing their use of raw materials, energy or natural resources, so that they produce fewer emissions and less waste. Following the findings of the environmental assessments conducted according to the Group methodology, production units are also being constantly improved with process upgrades and the installation of effluent treatment facilities.
2.4.4.1 AIR EMISSIONS

The Group’s objective is to minimize its emissions of the most harmful compounds, particularly greenhouse gases (GHG), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), acidifying substances (nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide) and dust.

Climate change: direct greenhouse gas emissions

The Group’s direct greenhouse gas emissions (Scope 1 GHG) arise from:

- hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) emissions from its fluorogas production units;
- fugitive emissions from cooling circuits using GHGs;
- the burning of fuel oil and gas in production operations; and
- processes that generate carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O) or methane (CH₄) as a product, by-product, co-product or waste, and gas discharges from processes such as thermal oxidation, which converts VOCs into CO₂.

Absolute indicator for direct greenhouse gas emissions

The chart below presents direct greenhouse emissions from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated in kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO₂ eq.) according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

GHG emissions rose by 3.6% in 2016, as more reliable reporting of emissions from the Taixing plant in Asia and technical difficulties encountered at certain sites led to an increase in reported figures. This increase was partially offset by the continued improvements at the Calvert City plant in the United States and the exclusion of data reported from the activated carbon and filter aids business sold in 2016.

The acquisition of Bostik in 2015 had only a slight impact on these data, because its operations release few greenhouse gases. Direct GHG emissions, expressed in kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO₂ eq.), may be analyzed by region as follows:

![Graph showing GHG emissions by region]

To reduce its impact on global warming, the Group has undertaken a number of actions and deployed effective measures to minimize direct GHG emissions, such as:

- installing emissions scrubbers, notably at the plants in Calvert City, United States, Pierre-Bénite, France, and Changshu, China;
- introducing systematic leak detection programs at the fluorogas production facilities, so as to minimize fugitive emissions; and
- replacing boilers with more efficient installations as part of the Arkenergy program (see paragraph 2.4.2.2 of this chapter).

* Data prior to 2015 do not include Bostik.
**Intensive indicator for direct greenhouse gas emissions**

The chart below presents the direct greenhouse emissions EFPI from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter. The index base is 1 for 2012. Emissions are calculated using the Group’s biggest GHG emitters, which account for more than 80% of the consolidated total.

**DIRECT GHG EMISSIONS EFPI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>EFPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 target</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data prior to 2015 do not include Bostik.

The improvement in this indicator in 2016 was led by the improvements at the Calvert City plant in the United States and the generally good level of production during the year.

**2025 TARGET**

Reduce GHG emissions, expressed in EFPI terms, by 50% compared with 2012.

**Internal carbon price**

In 2016, to strengthen its long-term approach, the Group set an internal price for Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions, expressed in terms of CO2 equivalent, known as “internal carbon price”. It is used to analyze strategic industrial investments and to steer investment decisions under the operational excellence program towards the lowest carbon solutions.

**Indirect greenhouse gas emissions**

The Group analyzes the following indirect GHG emissions:

- Scope 2 GHG emissions from the use of purchased electricity and steam; and
- Scope 3 GHG emissions (Category 9) from transport-related activities using chartered vehicles.

The chart below presents the Scope 2 and Scope 3 emissions from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, as defined above and calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

**INDIRECT GHG EMISSIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>(Kt CO2 eq.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

**INDIRECT GHG EMISSIONS BY REGION**

- Americas: 39%
- Europe: 24%
- Rest of the world: 37%

The year-on-year reduction in Scope 2 GHG emissions in 2016 was mainly due to the decrease in the Group’s net energy purchases and the increase in steam sales by certain plants.

The Group deploys a wide range of actions to reduce Scope 2 emissions as part of both the Arkenergy program (see paragraph 2.4.2.2 of this chapter) and its operational excellence strategy (see section 1.6 of this document).

**INITIAL SCOPE 3 EMISSIONS INVENTORY**

In 2016, the Group began to inventory its Scope 3 emissions based on 2015 data, in accordance with the GHG Protocol calculation guidance issued by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). The guidance also supports compliance with French legislation and standards, including the provisions of Law no. 2015-992 of 17 August 2015 concerning the energy transition to drive green growth.
According to the WBCSD, Scope 3 emissions arise from 15 categories of activities across the corporate value chain. This first analysis enabled the Group to benchmark its findings against analyses previously performed by peers. This benchmark shows that the Group’s initial Scope 3 inventory is fairly similar to its peers:

- Category 9 – “Downstream transportation and distribution”, concerning transport-related activities in chartered vehicles represents the equivalent of nearly 7% of the consolidated Scope 1 emissions. As a result, the Group will continue to report these emissions and to implement its action plans in this area;

- the initial estimates show that emissions in Category 1 – “Purchased goods and services” and Category 12 – “End-of-life treatment of sold products” represent a major source of GHG emissions. They will continue to be inventoried in 2017;

- given their complexity, additional initiatives will be required to inventory emissions in Category 2 – “Capital goods”, Category 3 – “Fuel- and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2”, Category 4 – “Upstream transportation and distribution”, Category 5 – “Waste generated in operations” and Category 15 – “Investments”. Consequently, their data were not reported in 2016; and

- two other categories, Category 6 – “Business travel” and Category 7 – “Employee commuting”, each represent less than 2% of the direct Scope 1 emissions in 2015. As relatively minor GHG emission sources, they are therefore not considered as priorities and have not been included in the reporting process.

Building on this initial analysis, the Group will improve the data collection process and continue, in 2017, to inventory its Scope 3 emissions in the categories identified as material, with the goal of preparing effective action plans to reduce them.

In early 2017, Scope 3 emissions from Category 9 – “Downstream transportation and distribution” activities were estimated on the basis of the 2016 data at 260 kt of CO₂, plus or minus 15%, according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this document. As the Group’s use of different means of transportation remained relatively unchanged in 2016, the 50 kt or so increase compared with 2015 stemmed from the inclusion of Bostik over the full year, the growth in output and the reporting of more accurate data.

**Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions**

Group production facilities are reducing their VOC emissions in several ways, including:

- collecting and treating effluent containing VOCs, particularly with thermal oxidizers or vent scrubbing; and

- conducting regular campaigns to detect and eliminate VOC leaks.

The Group is also reducing its emissions of acidifying substances by:

- firing boilers with low or ultra-low sulfur fuels, or replacing fuel oil with natural gas; and

- installing new low-NOₓ burner technologies.

### Absolute indicators for air emissions

The indicators in the table below present air emissions from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air emissions</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acidifying substances (t SO₂ eq.)</td>
<td>3,570</td>
<td>4,430</td>
<td>4,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide (CO) (t)</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>3,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (t)</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>5,010</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust (t)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

The exclusion of the activated carbon and filter aids facilities sold in 2016 led to a reduction in air emissions over the year, in particular in carbon monoxide.

The steady decline in acidifying substances since 2014 attests to the success of the initiatives undertaken by several production plants to significantly reduce their emissions. Several investments were made to upgrade the boilers, either to run on natural gas instead of fuel oil or to equip them with vented emission treatment systems, so that these emissions were significantly reduced. In addition, flare gas burn-off data from the Lacq, France site was reported for the first time in 2016 following the purchase of OP Systèmes, the company in charge of these operations.
The significant decline in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) resulted from improvements in operating efficiency at certain sites, which partially offset the impact of the more reliable (and therefore higher) data reported from certain Bostik plants.

In addition to the change in the scope of reporting, the temporary shutdown of a facility in China helped to reduce dust emissions.

### Intensive indicator for air emissions

The chart below presents the volatile organic compound emissions EFPI from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter. Emissions are calculated using the Group’s biggest VOC emitters, which account for more than 80% of the consolidated total.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND EFPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data prior to 2015 exclude Bostik.

The improvement in this indicator in 2016 was led by the progress made by several plants following the investments made in previous years and the temporary shutdown of a facility in China. Action plans are being deployed to sustain the improvement dynamic through to 2025.

---

### 2025 TARGET

Reduce VOC emissions by 33% compared with 2012.

---

#### 2.4.4.2 EFFLUENT RELEASES

Reducing effluent and other water discharge is one of the Group’s main environmental objectives, with particular attention paid to effluents with high chemical oxygen demand (COD) and/or suspended solids.

The Optim’O project, presented in paragraph 2.4.2.3 of this chapter under its water consumption aspects, is also aiming to reduce the amount of effluent discharged by the Group. It is contributing to:

- continuously optimize water use and the efficiency of the water treatment process, from the initial design of the installations to their daily operation, through the use of advanced technologies and the development of innovative solutions, thanks in particular to the “Water management” innovation platform; and
- ensure compliance with applicable legislation or address forthcoming standards, such as the Best Available Techniques reference documents (BREFs) and the Common Waste Water (CWW) document issued by the European Union.

#### Absolute indicators for effluent releases

The environmental indicators in the table below present present effluent released from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent releases</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxygen demand (COD)</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>3,030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

In recent years, several initiatives have helped to reduce COD emissions from certain plants. In 2016, the Optim’O project helped to strengthen this process through better reporting, targeted investments and better facilities management.

One third of the year-on-year decline in COD in 2016 was attributable to the improvement in reporting, with the product mix and a decrease in output at certain sites also making a contribution.

The significant reduction in the suspended solids indicator primarily reflected process improvements at the Jarrie plant in France.
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Intensive indicator for effluent releases

The chart below presents the COD effluent EFPI from the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter. Emissions are calculated using the Group’s biggest COD effluent emitters, which account for more than 80% of the consolidated total.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND EFPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 target</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data prior to 2015 exclude Bostik.

In 2016, the improved results illustrate the positive influence of the Optim’O project and the factors described in the previous paragraph. As announced in the 2015 reference document, the further deployment of the project in 2017 could possibly lead to a revision of the 2025 target, which remained unchanged when environmental objectives were updated in 2015.

2025 TARGET

Reduce COD emissions, expressed in EFPI terms, by 20% compared with 2012.

2.4.4.3 WASTE

While inherent to its industrial operations, the Group ensures that its waste production is managed at every stage of its business activity and that resource recovery and/or recycling solutions are found whenever possible.

This commitment is reflected in a number of areas:

- reducing waste at source, by designing products and processes that generate as little waste as possible;
- recycling waste in the product value chain, in compliance with the REACH regulation; and
- recovering the energy potential of waste by burning it as fuel, wherever possible.

In recent years, the Group has in particular:

- explored new ways to recover and reuse certain types of waste, for example, to replace conventional fuels in boilers;
- recycled cleaning solvents and optimized cleaning cycles; and
- installed filters to reduce sludge volumes.

The following chart shows the amounts of hazardous and non-hazardous waste generated by the Group’s operations in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NON-HAZARDOUS AND HAZARDOUS WASTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste excluding material recovery (of which landfilled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>219 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>231 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>149 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

In 2016, the increase in hazardous and non-hazardous waste was driven by the growth in output at various Group plants around the world. In the case of non-hazardous waste, the year-on-year increase also stemmed from the purchase of a company that, among other activities, treats effluent from the Lacq facility in France. The increase in hazardous waste was partially offset by the decline in output at other plants undergoing scheduled maintenance turnarounds and by more reliable reporting.

The Group’s objective is not only to reduce overall waste production, but also to recycle waste or recover its energy potential by burning it as fuel.

The following table shows the amounts of hazardous waste that were either recycled or burned as fuel in 2016, 2015 and 2014, calculated according to the methodology described in section 2.8 of this chapter.
Recovering waste for reuse as fuel is continuing to gain ground across the Group. Accordingly, in 2016, 15% of hazardous waste produced by the Group worldwide was recycled on- or off-site to recover useful materials, and 49% was burned as fuel.

2.4.4.4 OTHER EMISSIONS

Another major focus of the Group’s environmental policies is to ease the impact of other pollutants from its operations on people living in nearby communities. Every year, projects are undertaken to attenuate such other pollutions as:

- odors, by upgrading incinerators to cut SO2 emissions;
- noise, by improving air compressor soundproofing; and
- visual pollution (smoke), by firing boilers with natural gas rather than fuel oil.

The Group has put in place communication systems to alert stakeholders in real-time about any event likely to result in noise, odors, or visual pollution in and around a production site. In addition, most facilities now have a system for receiving and responding to complaints from local residents so that they can address the issues and minimize the nuisances to the extent possible. Complaints are investigated and action plans defined accordingly in liaison with local authorities.

2.4.5 Products and services

The Group strives to optimize its environmental footprint by participating in recycling and circular economy initiatives and assists customers in assessing the environmental performance of its products.

2.4.5.1 LIFE-CYCLE ASSESSMENTS

To assess the environmental performance of certain products and in response to customer requests, life-cycle assessments (LCAs) are used to convert the entire inventory of a product’s process material and energy inputs and environmental emissions into environmental impacts. The Group has developed dedicated LCA expertise at its Rhône-Alpes research center in France. It has also set up the global Arkema’s LCA Network, which is instilling this LCA culture across the organization, in particular through periodic employee training courses, and endurably embedding it into the Group’s CSR process.

The Group is supplying LCA data to certain customers to enable them to assess the environmental footprint of a given product all along its value chain. This particularly concerns the Rilsan®, Rilsamid®, Pebax®, Kynar® and Forane® ranges, as well as Bostik adhesives. Assessments are also performed for acrylic monomers and PMMA through trade associations.

Depending on the type of product, internal experts assess the impacts in such areas as climate change (greenhouse gas emissions), ozone depletion potential, contribution to acidification, and energy, water and land use. Their scope is generally limited to a cradle-to-gate analysis, i.e. to production operations and upstream factors.

LCAs are performed in accordance with the recommendations of the International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Handbook and the international ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 standards describing the principles and framework for LCAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental information</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste recycled into materials</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste burned as fuel</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total waste (including recycled)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
2.4.5.2 RECYCLING AND CIRCULAR ECONOMY PROJECTS

The Group is contributing to preserve non-renewable fossil-based raw materials by reusing the by-products of its industrial processes, supporting the recyclability of its own and its customers’ products, and extending the lifespan of customers’ products.

Recycling

The Group is developing a number of solutions that are making it easier for customers to recycle their products.

For example, Elium® liquid thermoplastic resins are produced using the same equipment and processes as thermoset composites. Their properties make them easy to recycle, unlike parts made from thermoset resins such as epoxy.

The Group has also developed technologies to protect glass bottles (Kercoat®) and hide scuffs (Opticoat®), which significantly improve the appearance and useful lives of bottles by tripling the number of times returnable beer and other bottles can be reused.

CECA has developed a solution that increases the recycling rate of roadwork scrap. Using Cecabase RT® additives in the asphalt mix increases the aggregate recycling rate by 10% to 15% compared with conventional techniques. These additives also reduce the asphalt mix’s workable heating temperature.

Circular economy

The Group markets numerous by-products from the production of its leading products by finding suitable commercial applications linked to their inherent properties.

In addition, the Group is seeking solutions to transform certain types of industrial waste, which otherwise would be discarded, into products that can be used in other industries. In 2015, the Group formed an inter-business working group to step up these efforts and tighten coordination with partners.

In 2016, some 15% of hazardous waste produced worldwide was recycled on- or off-site to recover useful materials.

For example, the Mont facility in France has long marketed the sodium-water produced as part of a monomer purification process to the paper industry for use in the Kraft paper and cardboard production process. The basic, organic-rich water helps to minimize sulfur loss in the process regeneration loops.

At the Hebei Casda Biomaterials Co. Ltd plant in Hengshui, China, the residual sulfuric acid generated by the sebacic acid manufacturing process is neutralized to obtain a sodium sulfate solution, which is then concentrated and crystallized. Instead of discharging the residual acid as waste, the plant now uses the new process to produce 50,000 tonnes a year of solid sodium sulfate for sale.

In another long-standing example, by-products from the conversion of castor oil into undecanoic acid 11 at the Marseille plant have been sold for many years through stable marketing channels.

**Focus: Reverplast**

A “Commitment to green growth” was signed in 2016 with the French government to promote a complete recycling chain for PMMA as part of the Reverplast project in France.

Extending the lifespan of customer products

The Group is constantly enhancing the performance over time of both its own and its customers’ products.

The Group has developed a range of organic peroxides for crosslinking rubber, which is then used to manufacture automotive and other parts that last longer than their conventional counterparts.

Kynar® coatings are particularly durable in general, and their Aquatec® version, used for reflective roofs (see paragraph 2.5.2 of this chapter), retains a virtually intact white finish maintenance-free for an especially long time.
PLACING SOLUTIONS FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AT THE HEART OF THE GROUP’S APPROACH TO INNOVATION AND PRODUCT RANGE

2.5.1 Managing innovation to support sustainable development

In a fast-changing world characterized by global warming, a rising world population, the increasing difficulty in accessing energy and safe drinking water, and the growing scarcity of certain resources, manufacturing companies like Arkema must constantly innovate and adapt their product range to offer solutions addressing these challenges.

To address these major changes, the Group has structured its innovation strategy around six innovation platforms, described in section 1.4 of this document that are developing and delivering for its customers usable, innovative and environmentally friendly solutions in such areas as bio-based products, new energies, water management, electronics solutions, lightweight materials and design, and home efficiency and insulation.

These six platforms are addressing issues that are of rising interest, as evidenced by the entry into force on 1 January 2016 of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) defined by the United Nations in their “2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”, and to which governments, civil society and companies are being encouraged to contribute.

The Group has identified six SDGs where its expertise and innovation efforts will enable it to offer new solutions and thus to contribute to their achievement. These SDGs are:

- “Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns” (SDG 12);
- “Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all” (SDG 7);
- “Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all” (SDG 6);
- “Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation” (SDG 9);
- “Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts” (SDG 13); and
- “Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable” (SDG 11).

The materiality assessment performed in 2016 and presented in section 2.1 of this chapter confirmed that the development of sustainable, innovative solutions was one of the important aspects of the Group’s CSR approach.

**FOCUS: 116**

Number of patent applications filed by the Group worldwide in 2016, covering innovative solutions that address the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) defined by the United Nations in its “2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development”. The Group filed 121 patents around the world in 2015 and 128 in 2014 (excluding Bostik). These results confirm the efforts made by the Group in this area, in particular in the fields of bio-based polymers (SDG 12) and solutions for lightweight materials and design (SDG 13).

The Group’s ambitious partnership and open-innovation policy is supporting internal R&D efforts, as described in paragraph 1.4.2.3 of this document. The 276 non-disclosure, cooperation and intellectual property agreements signed by Arkema France in 2016 attest to the dynamic in place.

In addition, the Group is developing new processes and upgrading the manufacturing technologies used on its production sites so as to attenuate the environmental risks relating to their operations and reduce their emissions of potential pollutants as well as to optimize their use of energy and raw materials. These initiatives, described in section 1.6 and paragraphs 2.4.2 and 2.4.5 of this document, will also contribute to the achievement of certain of these SDGs.

Information on industrial safety, environmental and climate change risks for the Group may be found in paragraph 1.7.2.3 of this document.
2.5.2 Innovations to address sustainable development challenges

The Group has developed a range of innovative solutions that respond perfectly to six of the UN’s sustainable development goals, as shown in the examples described below.

“Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns”

The growth in the world’s population, the improvement in living standards and the rapid pace of industrialization are all driving the increased use and therefore growing scarcity of the planet’s fossil raw materials.

By developing products using renewable raw materials within its “Bio-based products” innovation platform, the Group is helping to preserve non-renewable fossil materials and to introduce eco-design solutions with optimized environmental footprints, for example through recycling or as part of the circular economy (see paragraph 2.4.5.2 of this chapter).

The Group’s ongoing commitment was demonstrated in 2016 by the fact that products at least 20% made from renewable raw materials accounted for around 10% of Group sales. These products are described in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document. The decline compared to the 13% reported in 2014 was mainly due to the consolidation of Bostik in 2015 and the disposal of the activated carbon and filter aids business in 2016.

Leveraging their more than 60 years of expertise, the Group’s R&D teams will continue their efforts in this field to further expand the range of solutions offered.

The Group is also striving to reduce its own use of raw materials, particularly petrochemical feedstock, as part of the continuous improvement in its production processes (see paragraph 2.4.2.1 of this chapter).

“Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all”

New energies are one of the Group’s important research areas. By offering innovative solutions in this area, the Group is helping to preserve the planet’s fossil resources and fight against climate change.

With its “New energies” innovation platform, the Group offers innovative new solutions for solar power, photovoltaics, wind power and energy storage, which are described in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document.

The Group is very active in photovoltaic panels with its Kynar® PVDF resins. In addition, its new Elium® recyclable thermoplastic resin is being tested for the production of composites to make wind turbine rotor blades.

Lastly, a laboratory operated jointly with Hydro Quebec is working to expand the range of solutions for the battery market beyond Kynar® resins.

“Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all”

An expanding global population and growing urbanization are sharply impacting water demand and tightening access to safe drinking water. Access to high-quality water for everyone is therefore a major challenge. In addition, industrialization is increasing the need for wastewater treatment and driving the emergence of new needs among the Group’s customers.

To help prevent the risk of a shortage of water resources, the Group is undertaking, with its “Water management” innovation platform, to develop materials that will make filtration processes more effective, more energy-efficient and less costly. These solutions, detailed in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document, include the Rilsan® powders chosen for decades by many cities to coat their drinking water network and wastewater treatment installations and a special grade of Kynar® PVDF resins used in multi-layered drinking water pipes.

In addition, the Group is continuing its efforts to optimize its own water consumption in its production processes. As part of this process, the Group has launched “Optim’O”, a program to improve water management. These initiatives and their impacts are described in more detail in paragraph 2.4.2.3 of this chapter.

“Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation”

This SDG addresses the important social challenge of sustainable and inclusive industrialization. Two of its main drivers are technology and innovation, particularly digital technologies and electronics, which will enable manufacturers in the developed world to optimize their production facilities and supply chain. In developing countries, they will help to give equal access to information and knowledge to the more than four billion people living without internet access in these countries. The Group is positioning itself, with the “Electronics solutions” innovation platform, as a player in the upstream part of this chain.
The electronics industry is characterized by strong growth and very short time-to-market cycles for new solutions. With its Technical Polymers range, the Group offers solutions for the smartphone and tablet segments, as described in paragraph 1.2.1.3 of this document.

In addition, an ambitious research project in the area of nano-scale semiconductor etching, housed in the incubator, aims at using the directed self-assembly process, based on ultra-pure block copolymers, where the Group enjoys unique expertise. By enabling the fabrication of even smaller silicon chips, this innovation offers a promising pathway to increasing microprocessor storage capacity, a key factor in the spread of digital technology. These products are described in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document.

"Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts"

An increasing global population, growing urbanization, rising living standards (with a corresponding increase in the number of cars and air travel) and the faster pace of industrialization in emerging markets all contribute to the gradual global warming of the planet and to climate change. The fight against global warming is therefore a major challenge that mobilizes the entire international community.

To actively participate in this fight, the Group is developing, within its “Lightweight materials and design” innovation platform, solutions that reduce vehicle weight and thereby their fuel consumption. In doing so, they are helping to limit carbon emissions in the transportation industry. These products include the Altuglas® ShieldUp nanostructured PMMA sheet that replaces glass in automobile windows, the Rilsan® HT polyamide 11 and, more recently, the Kepstan® PEKK to substitute metal, and thermoplastic composites such as the Elium® resin. In addition, Bostik adhesives and the Platamid® offer automotive and aerospace manufacturers lightweight bonding solutions for materials assembly.

For example, in the case of cars, the use of Altuglas® ShieldUp roofs can reduce fuel consumption by 0.4 liters and reduce CO₂ emissions by seven kilograms per 100 kilometers traveled. Assuming that 100,000 vehicles driving 20,000 kilometers a year were equipped with this innovation, CO₂ emissions would be reduced by 140,000 tonnes a year.

The Group’s lightweight materials solutions are presented in detail in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document.

In addition, within the Fluorogases Business Line, the Group is developing chemicals with low global warming potential (GWP), in particular low-GWP HFO refrigerants with zero ozone-depletion potential (ODP) (see paragraph 1.2.2.3 of this document).

"Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable"

With growing urbanization, access to high-quality, sustainable housing is becoming increasingly problematic. The “Home efficiency and insulation” innovation platform offers solutions that insulate buildings more effectively, which in turn improves the energy efficiency of their air-conditioning systems. These innovations are also helping to fight against global warming.

One of them is the Kynar Aquatec® PVDF resin, a water-based formulation for the white paint on reflecting roofs, whose use reduces a building’s energy consumption in high-sunlight regions by 20%, or 20 kilowatt-hours per square meter a year. For ten buildings with a roof area of 15,000 square meters, this would represent a total reduction of 1,500 tonnes of CO₂ a year, assuming an emission coefficient of 0.5 tonnes of CO₂ per 1,000 kilowatt-hours.

In addition to addressing these energy efficiency challenges, the Group is also participating in the development of solutions that reduce a home’s environmental footprint and make it healthier and more comfortable for residents. In particular, these solutions are being tested in the Smart House by Arkema, a world-unique laboratory-house for sustainable construction.

The Group’s home efficiency and insulation initiatives and solutions are described in more detail in paragraph 1.4.4.2 of this document.

2.5.3 R&D organization and outcomes

R&D organization and outcomes are described in section 1.4 of this document. The key R&D indicators are presented in the table of CSR indicators in paragraph 2.8.3 of this chapter.
2.6 SOCIAL INFORMATION

PROMOTING THE INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE DEVELOPMENT OF ALL ITS EMPLOYEES

2.6.1 Social management

The Group’s success is deeply linked to its 19,637 employees in some 50 countries around the globe, who each contribute to its development and performance. The Group is benefiting from a strong corporate culture, rooted in the four core values of simplicity, solidarity, performance and accountability.

The Group’s human resources policies are designed to encourage employee development by providing career opportunities within an innovative, global company, and to attract and retain the best talent. This implies an environment offering good working conditions and guaranteeing fair treatment in every circumstance.

These policies cover both personal development initiatives and programs focused on the workplace environment as follows:

- personal development initiatives concern hiring, training and career development, with the aim of improving each employee’s skills and capabilities. Career management policies help to build career paths that enhance the expertise of employees and, by extension, the entire Group. They are supported by training programs that provide the knowledge and practices required to take up a new position or acquire new job skills. Employee development is also being encouraged by a policy of proper recognition and fair compensation, regularly benchmarked against peer groups; and

- programs focused on working conditions that are designed to drive continuous improvement. They include initiatives to improve the working environment and preserve employee health and safety. They are also designed to foster positive employee relations, by paying close attention to employee feedback, maintaining social dialogue and broadening the diversity of national origins, profiles and educational backgrounds among employees.

Based on the materiality assessment carried out in 2016 (see paragraph 2.1.2 of this chapter), the Group has decided to reinforce the employee relations aspects of its CSR process with two new targets focused on diversity.

2025 TARGETS

- 23% to 25% of senior management and executive positions to be held by women; and

- 42% to 45% of senior management and executive positions to be held by non-French nationals.

The Group ensures that it consistently complies with the constitutional texts, treaties, conventions, laws and regulations in force in the countries and regions in which it operates, as detailed in paragraph 2.7.3 of this chapter.
2.6.2 Employment

Through its human resources policies, the Group endeavors to offer its employees varied career paths and opportunities, in particular by encouraging transfers among subsidiaries and businesses and by developing their capabilities.

The Group supports the personal development of every employee, provides opportunities for promotion and transfer and is actively broadening the diversity of its teams.

2.6.2.1 TOTAL HEADCOUNT AND EMPLOYEES BY REGION, GENDER AND AGE

Data in this section concern all of the companies that are more than 50%-owned by the Group. They describe how the workforce breaks down by various criteria and how the Group manages its human capital.

Every reporting company considers as an employee, any person hired under an employment contract. The number of employees, which does not include interns or temporary workers, is calculated on a headcount basis, regardless of working hours.

For further details on the methods used to collect and calculate this data and their possible limitations, please see the note on methodology in section 2.8 of this chapter.

TOTAL HEADCOUNT AND BREAKDOWN BY REGION

The total workforce over the past three years is the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total headcount</th>
<th>31 December 2016**</th>
<th>31 December 2015</th>
<th>31 December 2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUP TOTAL</td>
<td>19,637</td>
<td>18,912</td>
<td>14,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>7,145</td>
<td>7,282</td>
<td>6,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of Europe</td>
<td>3,838</td>
<td>3,120</td>
<td>1,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>3,694</td>
<td>3,568</td>
<td>2,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>4,061</td>
<td>3,979</td>
<td>2,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which permanent (1)</td>
<td>18,607</td>
<td>17,801</td>
<td>13,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which fixed-term</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) See the note on methodology in section 2.8 of this chapter.
* Excluding Bostik.
** Including Den Braven.

The number of employees rose by 3.8% from 2015 to 2016. This was primarily due to the net positive impact of the acquisition of Den Braven (+982 employees) and the disposal of the activated carbon and filter aids business (-319 employees).
The consolidation of Den Braven, which has extensive operations in Europe outside France, impacted the breakdown by region. Consequently, the headcount breaks down by region as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2014*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe excluding France</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
** Including Den Braven.
HEADCOUNT BY REGION AND GENDER

Women represented 24.6% of Group employees. This proportion has been gradually rising in recent years, with a 0.8-point increase in 2016.

The fact that the majority of employees are men reflects the high percentage of jobs traditionally held by men in non-managerial positions (supervisors and operators), who account for 73.8% of the workforce. The regions with the lowest proportion of women are North America and Europe (excluding France), particularly Germany where the percentage of working women is traditionally lower.

* Excluding Bostik.
** Including Den Braven.
HEADCOUNT BY CATEGORY AND GENDER
At 31 December 2016, managers accounted for 26.2% of Group employees. The following chart shows the breakdown of the workforce by category over the past three years.

At 26.2%, the percentage of managers rose slightly by 0.4 points compared to 2015, corresponding to an increase of 562 people. Nearly 20% of these people were promoted from within (excluding Den Braven), attesting to the dynamic career development process deployed across the Group.

Women accounted for an average 28.3% of managers, an increase of 0.9 points year-on-year, and 3.7 points higher than the percentage of women in the workforce as a whole (24.6%).

* Excluding Bostik.
** Including Den Braven.
These age pyramids are typical of the chemicals industry. The preponderance of employees over 30 reflects the fact that, compared to other industries, both managers and non-managers spend a longer time in professional education and training. This means that the Group can build its growth on well-trained, experienced employees. Human resources policies are also designed to ensure that, over time, this expertise is transferred to a new generation of employees. However, the high proportion of employees over 50 is prompting the Group to address the foreseeable departure of nearly a quarter of its current workforce over the next ten years, by leveraging its hiring and career management policies to gradually replace them.
2.6.2.2 RECRUITMENTS AND DEPARTURES

The Group’s recruitment policies are designed to attract talented, highly skilled individuals to support its growth.

In keeping with its founding values of simplicity, solidarity, performance and accountability, the Group attaches a great deal of importance to finding applicants with cultural awareness, teamwork skills, a solutions-driven approach and an entrepreneurial spirit.

A recruitment charter has been issued to help promote the principles of fairness and non-discrimination in the selection of job applicants.

In 2016, the Group revised its employer brand in order to integrate the brand identity of Bostik which was acquired in 2015. The new employer brand has been deployed across the organization through a variety of communications media, with an ample presence on social media so as to reach the broadest possible audience of young graduates and potential applicants. It was supported by a revamp of the careers section of the corporate website, which enhanced existing content concerning the Group’s human resources policies and jobs with testimonials from employees based at locations around the world.

**Proactively attracting talented young graduates**

In order to continuously improve the recruitment and hiring process, the Group nurtures special relationships with the best educational and training institutions for all its professions.

**In France**

The Group takes part in a large number of school events, such as job forums, presentations and plant tours. These initiatives seek to promote the Group and its professions to the students of general engineering schools (Mines de Paris, Centrale Paris and Polytechnique), chemical engineering schools (such as ESPCI, Chimie Paris, ENSIC and ENSIACET), business schools (particularly HEC, ESSEC and ESCP-Europe), and technical schools in the fields of safety and maintenance.

Each year, the Group offers numerous opportunities for internships, apprenticeships, doctoral research positions and jobs under France’s International Volunteers in Business (IVB) program. In 2016, for example, 19 IVB contracts were offered in the United States, Asia and Europe. The Group aims to further broaden these international opportunities by offering students from partner schools the possibility to intern abroad. Final-year internships, IVB contracts and doctoral research projects are managed at the corporate level to monitor the future recruitment pool more effectively.

Another major objective in France is to develop work-study programs, whose participants represent an important source of new hires. The objective is for one-third of positions open to young graduates to be offered or open to people already on a work-study contract with the Group. Students on work-study programs accounted for 3.9% of the workforce in 2016.

**In the United States**

Arkema Inc. is nurturing close relations with universities whose students can meet the Group’s hiring needs. In 2016, for example, a series of meetings was organized between Group researchers and students from MIT, the University of Massachusetts, Cornell University and Pennsylvania State University. In addition, 51 internships were offered during the year. The Group also recently invested in an MBA Rotational Leadership Development program with the goal of recruiting candidates with technical backgrounds who are capable of moving into management positions. The two-year program offers participants experience in a variety of corporate positions.

**In China**

To meet its hiring needs, operations in China are fostering closer relations with selected universities. In 2016, six university visits were organized, with 32 job offers for young graduates. These initiatives attracted 1,350 students and almost as many applications.
RECRUITMENTS UNDER PERMANENT CONTRACTS BY REGION

In 2016, the Group hired 1,694 people under permanent contracts, compared with 1,450 in 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitments under permanent contracts</th>
<th>31 December 2016</th>
<th>31 December 2015</th>
<th>31 December 2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUP TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>1,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of Europe</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
These data do not include Den Braven.

The geographic distribution of recruitments shows that Asia and North America have been the most active regions in recent years, in line with the Group’s expansion in Asia and the higher turnover in both regions.
RECRUITMENTS UNDER PERMANENT CONTRACTS BY REGION AND GENDER

Women accounted for 24.7% of recruitments in 2016. This figure has been about the same for the past three years and corresponds to the percentage of women in the total workforce:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe excluding France</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
These data do not include Den Braven.

The chart above shows a relatively even distribution by region, albeit with a higher proportion of women among recruitments in France.
In 2016, 26.3% of recruitments concerned managerial positions, compared with 25.9% in 2015. This corresponds to the proportion of managers in the total workforce (26.2%), thereby ensuring an efficient succession process.

The percentage of women among managerial hires continued to increase in 2016, to 34.1% from 31.9% in 2015. This was significantly higher than the percentage of women hires in general (24.7%). These proportions are in line with the percentage of women among the applicants for Group jobs. The growing proportion of women among new managerial hires also attests to the Group’s decision to gradually hire more women across the organization, as described in paragraph 2.6.5.2 of this chapter.
AGE PYRAMID FOR RECRUITMENTS UNDER PERMANENT CONTRACTS BY GENDER IN 2016

Recruitment practices are designed to provide the skills and expertise that the technical, sales and administrative professions need. The recruitment of people under 40 illustrates the initiatives in place to proactively respond to the wave of departures projected over the next ten years.

Data by gender and category are summarized in the following two charts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 30 years</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 30 to 39 years</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 40 to 49 years</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 50 to 59 years</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data do not include Den Braven.

AGE PYRAMID FOR RECRUITMENTS UNDER PERMANENT CONTRACTS BY CATEGORY IN 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Managers</th>
<th>Non-managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 30 years</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 30 to 39 years</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 40 to 49 years</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from 50 to 59 years</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 years and over</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data do not include Den Braven.

These two charts show that the proportion of women among new hires under 60 is about 25%, and is therefore independent of age. On the other hand, the proportion of managers among new hires increases with age. People 50 years old and over account for 7.9% of recruitments and people under 30 years old for 42%.
DEPARTURES
In 2016, the Group recorded 2,023 employee departures, versus 1,914 in 2015, which break down as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Rest of Europe</th>
<th>North America</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>Rest of the world</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DEPARTURES</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>2,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which resignations</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which dismissals</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which departures</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Disposal of the activated carbon and filter aids business (CECA).
These data do not include Den Braven.

RESIGNATIONS
The following table shows employee turnover, corresponding to resignations as a percentage of the total headcount, for 2016, 2015 and 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resignations</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover (resignations as a percentage of employees under permanent contracts)</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
These data do not include Den Braven.

Concerning 2016 resignations, turnover by region and global turnover were both within the industry average.
At constant scope, recruitments exceeded dismissals and resignations by 446 people during the year, reflecting the Group’s further expansion and active management of its human capital to support its global growth.

2.6.2.3 ORGANIZATION OF WORKING TIME
In every country, the Group implements working times that comply with the relevant statutory and professional requirements.
Employees work full time and, to a lesser extent, part time. At Arkema France, for example, full-time employees work 1,575 hours a year while part-time hours range from 50% to 80% of the full-time equivalent. In the United States, full-time employees work 1,960 hours a year and part-time hours range from 50% to 90% of the full-time equivalent. For the Group overall, part-time employees accounted for 3.8% of the total workforce at 31 December 2016, compared with 3.1% in 2015.
Given the specific features of the Group’s industrial operations, some employee categories may work on continuous, discontinuous or semi-continuous shifts.
In response to a sudden demand increase or unusual difficulties, the Group may make use of fixed-term employment contracts, overtime, subcontractors or temporary employment agencies, in compliance with local legislation and depending on the local labor market.
2.6.2.4 ABSENTEEISM

Absenteeism, which includes sickness, accident and maternity leave as well as strikes and unpaid leave, is presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of hours of absence (excluding authorized leave) / number of hours worked</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik. These data do not include Den Braven.

The following table presents the percentage of hours of medical leave:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of hours of medical leave/number of hours worked</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik. These data do not include Den Braven.

The absenteeism rate is low, in line with the average 3.5% to 4.5% reported by the global manufacturing industry.

This indicator is often used to measure employee engagement and satisfaction. The Group’s good performance attests to the positive impact of the quality of work life improvement programs underway in such areas as optimizing workstation ergonomics, preventing stress, supporting teleworking and improving safety (see paragraph 2.3.2 of this chapter).

2.6.2.5 COMPENSATION AND THEIR EVOLUTION

A key component of the Group’s human resources policies, total compensation is designed to recognize and equitably reward each employee’s contribution to the Group’s success.

The compensation structure comprises a fixed base salary, an individual bonus and a collective bonus, which are applied differently depending on the position and the country. This structure fulfills a number of objectives:

- compensate individual and collective performance;
- enhance each employee’s awareness of his or her responsibilities and involve everyone in meeting objectives;
- offer fair compensation consistently across the organization; and
- manage costs.

In addition, the compensation structure is regularly benchmarked. 31% of employees receive some form of individual bonus, whose amount depends on their fulfillment of personal objectives and their contribution to the collective performance of a business, a country organization or the Group. A significant portion of their bonus depends on a safety or other CSR objective.

68% of employees are eligible for some form of collective bonus, which give them a stake in the Group’s expansion and financial performance. This is the case for the incentive and profit-sharing schemes in effect in France.

Nearly all employees, i.e. 99.2% of the total workforce, are covered by a guaranteed minimum compensation agreement. In the few countries where there is no minimum wage, Group companies regularly perform benchmarking studies and are in line with standard chemical industry practices.

Employees may also receive various forms of long-term or deferred compensation, such as performance shares and employee share ownership plans.

### Employee share ownership

Since its 2006 stock market listing, Arkema has encouraged employee share ownership, with plans offered every two years in around 20 countries to enable the purchase of Company shares on preferential terms.

In 2016, Arkema carried out a new share capital increase reserved for employees, the fifth since its stock market listing ten years ago and the first since Bostik employees joined the Group in early 2015. It was offered to employees in 26 countries around the world. The participation rate reached a new record, both in France (67%) and abroad (21%), with an average 40% of employees investing in their company.

As a result, 5.4% of outstanding shares were owned by employees as of 31 December 2016, collectively making them one of the Group’s main shareholders.

For more details, please refer to paragraph 5.2.7 of this document.
Performance shares

Performance share plans, which are granted every year by the Board of Directors, concerned close to 1,200 grantees, or around 6% of the workforce, in 2016. The shares were granted to executives and employees who had demonstrated remarkable performance or whom the Group wished to incentivize and involve more closely in its long-term development.

For more information, please refer to section 3.5, paragraph 5.2.6 and note 28 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in paragraph 4.3.3 of this document.

2.6.3 Training and personal development

The Group is committed to fostering a workplace environment that encourages the personal and professional development of its employees and to offering resources that help them to effectively meet this objective and improve their performance.

Around the world, annual performance reviews provide one-on-one opportunities for employees and managers to set objectives for the coming year and to discuss the employee’s desired career path. They also review the training completed over the year, and on that basis, determine the further training needed to improve the employee’s expertise and capabilities. Performance reviews are conducted for every employee, regardless of category.

In all, 99% of Group companies conduct annual performance reviews.

In addition to these reviews, meetings with career managers provide an opportunity to review the employee’s career path, their expectations and how they could advance their career in other Group professions.

2.6.3.1 TRAINING POLICY

Professional training concerns all employees regardless of their job, level of responsibility or age. It enables everyone to develop or acquire the skills needed to hold a position, move to a new position and fulfill the Group’s expectations in terms of technical expertise or management practices. This is why the Group has reaffirmed its desire to provide every employee with access to lifelong learning and continued employability.

Training hours are reported for entities at least 50%-owned and employing more than 30 people, corresponding to 92% of the total workforce.

2.6.2.6 PENSION, HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFITS

In most countries in which the Group operates, employees are covered by mandatory public schemes addressing risks related to death, disability, work incapacity, pensions and healthcare costs.

In addition to this statutory coverage, Group entities in France and abroad are responsible for implementing and updating health, welfare and employee benefit schemes, with a preference for defined contribution plans, in compliance with the approved annual budgets and in line with local requirements and practices.

Nearly 94% of Group employees thus receive supplementary life cover and 89% supplementary disability cover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF TRAINING HOURS (EXCLUDING E-LEARNING)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of training hours, Group-wide</td>
<td>464,706</td>
<td>463,065</td>
<td>334,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training hours per employee per year</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of permanent employees having attended at least one course</td>
<td>16,256</td>
<td>17,062</td>
<td>11,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of permanent employees having attended at least one course during the year</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.
These data do not include Den Braven.

The number of training hours has increased over the past three years, reflecting the momentum in the deployment of the Group’s training policies.

In 2016, they leveled off somewhat after rising sharply in 2015 when Safety Academy training was rolled out to every employee.

In France, the vocational education and training reform that came into effect in 2015 did not have a negative impact on the number of courses offered, despite the removal of compulsory training.
E-learning courses continue to grow in popularity, as measured by both the number and percentage of participating employees. In 2016, the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics training module (described in paragraph 2.7.3 of this chapter) was rolled out around the world, backed by a dedicated employee communication campaign. In early 2017, employees will also be able to sign up for an energy saving awareness module, developed to support the Arkenergy project.

### 2.6.3.2 SPECIAL PROFESSIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR EMPLOYEES

The Group’s training policies are especially designed to improve employee skills in the areas of safety, health, the environment, its businesses and management.

International initiatives include:

- the Safety Culture process that was deployed in 2016 at Bostik via the Safety Academy module; and
- a further session of the Arkema Executive Program which was organized in 2016 in association with INSEAD. Offered every two years, the program is attended by some forty high-potential managers from a variety of businesses and countries across the Group.

Regional initiatives:

- in December 2016, 32 Asian managers attended a Share Strategic Challenges seminar held in China, enabling them not only to deepen their understanding of Arkema’s strategy and projects but also to embrace its changes and challenges; and
- during the year, two programs based on the Arkema Cornell Leadership Program already offered in the United States were introduced in Asia and Europe. Known together as the Arkema Leadership Academy, these courses focus on developing leadership skills for managers, offering a valuable addition to the courses dedicated to the Group’s high potentials.

### 2.6.3.3 TALENT MANAGEMENT

One of the cornerstones of the Group’s human resources development process, talent management helps to diversify the experience that employees acquire along their career paths, while steadily enhancing their capabilities, which is a fundamental driver of the Group’s development.

This process therefore focuses on both:

- ensuring that the Group has the expertise it needs to secure its successful development, today and over the medium-term; and
- helping employees to build their career paths, by enabling them to increase their skills and achieve their objectives, based on the possibilities and opportunities available in the Group.

Employee talent management is led by career managers, whose responsibilities include:

- overseeing talent management at the corporate level for managers in France and grade 15 jobs and higher internationally; and
- working in collaboration with other career managers in each country or facility for administrative, technical and supervisory employees.

---

**NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WHO TOOK AN E-LEARNING COURSE**

To facilitate access to training, the Group offers e-learning modules, which are easier to use, particularly for courses on safety and corporate subjects. The curriculum currently consists of more than 20 modules in French, English and sometimes Chinese, or else subtitled in German or Italian, depending on the course. One reason for their growing popularity is the ease of enrollment, given that almost every employee has a log-in and access to a computer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees who took an e-learning course</td>
<td>9,298</td>
<td>8,218</td>
<td>4,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of employees having taken at least one e-learning course during the year</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik. These data do not include Den Braven.
Talent management policies are based on the same principles regardless of employee category, country, age or gender, as follows:

- providing each employee the resources and support he or she needs to manage every phase in his or her career;
- leading a proactive promotion-from-within policy;
- identifying and developing high-potentials to encourage acceptance of responsibility and support career development;
- encouraging mobility among subsidiaries and geographies; and
- enabling every employee to move up in the organization and enrich his or her experience and skills, while ensuring organizational flexibility.

In every country, a career development program has been rolled out Group-wide for high-potentials, based on feedback to participants after their self-assessment has been compared with those of their manager and their manager’s manager. This system provides input for preparing personalized action and improvement plans involving coaching, new experience and training.

In France

In addition to the recruitment targets for young people and seniors (see paragraph 2.5.1.2 of this chapter), the Provisional Management of Employment and Skills agreement renewed in 2016 for Group companies in France includes measures for recognizing experts through skills/professions charts, in addition to the Hay classification. The agreement also includes specific measures to manage career endings for seniors, such as knowledge transfer, retirement counseling, and the possibility of working 80% of full-time equivalent at 90% of pay for the 24 months preceding retirement.

In the United States

To support the talent management process, human resources teams are using the SAP SuccessFactors software to manage hiring, career development, annual performance reviews, training and performance initiatives for all US employees. The system is now being introduced worldwide to support a holistic, global vision of employee career paths.

In China

In 2015, a talent management leader was appointed and tasked with encouraging the development of employee skills not only in China, but also across all of Asia, in liaison with the country human resources managers.

2.6.3.4 INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE

The Group, which mainly operates in Europe, North America and Asia, is actively pursuing an international job mobility policy designed to ensure that it has the skills and capabilities it needs at all its sites, and to broaden employee skills by offering them opportunities to work in different environments.

This policy is being applied through five programs aligned with the different international mobility objectives, as follows.

Expertise

This program enables employees who are contributing to implement strategic Group projects in a country where the requisite skills are not yet available to gradually transfer those capabilities to local employees.

Development

This program concerns employees who are going to take up a position in their area of expertise for a set period (on average three years) in a country where similar capabilities exist locally, with the goal of broadening their skills and returning home with their newly acquired experience.

International

This program is for employees whose career is exclusively international, with no further reference to their country of origin.

Expatriation in Europe

This program enables French employees to work on strategic projects or develop their careers in another European country.

Talent Program

Introduced in 2016, this new program offers an international experience to talented junior employees identified after being hired for an initial position or completing an IVB contract with the Group.

On average, only around 80 employees are working as expatriates, reflecting the Group’s priority focus on hiring locally whenever possible, including for executive or high responsibility positions.
2.6.4 Social dialogue

The Group respects the fundamental freedoms of its employees, such as the freedom of association and expression, protects their personal data and respects their privacy, as defined in its Code of Conduct and Business Ethics.

Among the fundamental principles and rights at work, the right to freedom of association and to collective bargaining is a vector of social progress that the Group encourages wherever it operates. Accordingly, in addition to complying with host country legislation, the Group facilitates employee representation in order to support suitable collective bargaining processes. In countries where the law does not provide for employee representation, specific bodies can be set up locally. A consultation and dialogue structure has been implemented at the European level with the European Works Council.

The social dialogue process and the results of the collective agreements signed within the Group are presented in paragraph 2.6.4.1 of this chapter.

Lastly, the Group strives to develop two-way feedback and consultation with employees, either directly in the form of surveys or via employee representatives.

2.6.4.1 THE SOCIAL DIALOGUE ORGANIZATION

As part of its employee relations policy, the Group fosters ongoing dialogue with employee representatives in every entity, in accordance with local cultural norms and legislation.

The memo describing Group human resources policies also explains the principles for implementing social dialogue, which are in full compliance with the provisions of the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics.

At the European level

The social dialogue body is the 26-member European Works Council, which holds a one-day plenary meeting once a year to discuss issues within its remit, including:

- business issues: market trends, commercial situation, activity level, main strategic priorities, growth outlook and objectives;
- financial issues: review of the consolidated financial statements, annual report and investments;
- labor issues: human resources policy and the employment situation and outlook;
- environmental issues: Group policy and emerging European regulations; and
- organizational issues: significant changes in the Group’s organization, developments in the businesses and the creation or termination of operations affecting at least two European Union countries.

In 2016, two plenary sessions were held on 7 and 8 July and 7 October at the Arkema head office.

The European Works Council’s liaison office, consisting of 11 members appointed from among the Council’s employee representatives, meets yearly with management for updates on the Group’s progress. In 2016, it met on 22 March.

In the United States

Employees at unionized facilities are covered by collective bargaining agreements negotiated with local and national trade unions for an average period of three years. They deal with such issues as compensation, the safety of people and processes, and quality of work life.

In China

The first Employee Representatives Congress (ERC) of Arkema China Investment Co. Ltd, the Group’s main local subsidiary, was elected in late 2007 and began operations in January 2008. It currently has 34 members. The ERC has a broad remit, ranging from pay negotiations to safety and training. It complements the labor unions already in place at the Group’s local production plants.
Around the world, a high percentage of employees were represented by elected bodies or unions in 2016, as shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of Europe</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GROUP TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>90%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data do not include Den Braven.

### 2.6.4.2 Employee Relations with Regard to the Group’s Development

The Group acts within the framework of a structured, permanent consultation approach with employee representative bodies that aims to accommodate changes in the Group.

In particular, when a reorganization project is approved and implemented, in-depth discussions are held with the representatives as part of information and consultation procedures, both at corporate level and locally. Moreover, after the project is announced, management is careful to engage with employee representatives for at least three months before implementation begins. Similarly, much attention is paid to responding responsibly to the social impact of these changes. The employee support measures undertaken during a reorganization primarily concern transfer or outplacement opportunities for the employees whose jobs have been eliminated, offered under the best possible conditions and in compliance with national legislation.

For example, ahead of the European Union’s ban, effective 1 January 2017, on the use of certain fluorogases in automotive air conditioning systems, in September 2016 Arkema France submitted a plan to shut down the Pierre Bénite plant’s production unit for R134a fluorogas, which was specifically banned by the new regulation. The unit will be shut down, with the loss of 38 jobs, and have an organizational impact only as from March 2017. However, the project will not involve a redundancy plan because all of the employees concerned are offered transfers to other Group units.

### 2.6.4.3 Collective Agreements

Since the Group was founded, its collective bargaining policy has led to the signing of a wide range of agreements in each facility or company.

In France, some agreements are Group-wide and therefore applicable to every Group company in the country, while others have been negotiated only for a given company or facility.

In other countries, collective bargaining procedures are aligned with national employee representation practices and legislation. Negotiations are designed to raise the social status of employees in correlation with the Group’s development and the macroeconomic environment. The main issues negotiated in 2016 concerned total compensation (salaries, health and welfare plans, health insurance, employee savings plans and other employee benefits), employment and skills management, quality of work life, workplace health and safety, workplace equality and diversity, and social dialogue. In France, five major agreements were signed in the subsidiaries or Group-wide during the year.

Collective agreements have a positive impact on working conditions, as illustrated in France by the measures taken in favor of people with disabilities, described in paragraph 2.6.5.3 of this chapter, and the second agreement signed in 2016 concerning workstation ergonomics, described in paragraph 2.3.2.4 of this chapter.
2.6.5 Diversity, equal opportunity and equal treatment

As part of its policy of non-discrimination, workplace equality and diversity, the Group commits to promote the elimination of all forms of discrimination in its operations, encourage diversity as a valuable asset in its global business and hire people solely on the basis of its needs and each applicant’s personal qualities, as defined in its Code of Conduct and Business Ethics and its human resources policy memo.

Workplace equality is one of the major priorities of the Group’s human resources policy, along with the prevention of discrimination in general. A special attention is given to ensure gender equality in the workplace, facilitate the integration of disabled employees and prevent discrimination on the basis of age or nationality. Initiatives undertaken to encourage workplace equality and deliver measurable results include:

- a program that periodically revises job descriptions to ensure that they are non-discriminatory and consistent across each profession, with a particular focus on accurately describing the related tasks and responsibilities. In addition, the positions, job titles and requisite profiles are reviewed once a year, department by department; and
- recruitment policies based on the sole criterion of suitability for the job. In the United States, for example, Arkema Inc. gives training to people involved in the recruitment and hiring process, provides them with job descriptions and applicant profiles, and remedies any situation where there is a significant underrepresentation of minorities or women in the workforce.

Diversity is an important issue for the Group, as a powerful lever for driving team performance and attracting the finest talent. This is why the Group is also aiming to enhance its employer brand image. It has therefore set two new diversity objectives, as specified in paragraph 2.6.1 of this chapter and described in detail in the following sections.

2.6.5.1 MEASURES TO FOSTER INTERNATIONAL DIVERSITY

In every country and region where the Group operates, it is committed to developing local skills and capabilities, with a preference for hiring locals in every aspect of the business, from shop floor to executive teams. The Group also offers career opportunities abroad. Several expatriation programs have been designed, including the recent “Talent Program” for the most junior employees.

Encouraging the presence of non-French executives was also an important issue identified during the 2016 materiality assessment. The Group has therefore set the following objective for 2025:

2025 TARGET

42% to 45% of senior management and executive positions to be held by non-French nationals.

In 2016, 39% of senior management and executives were non-French nationals.

2.6.5.2 MEASURES TO PROMOTE GENDER EQUALITY

The Group ensures that women enjoy the same career development opportunities as their male counterparts. In recent years, a policy of gender equality and equal pay has been deployed, with initiatives in the following four areas:

- strengthening the principle of non-discrimination in the hiring process;
- ensuring equal pay for equal work;
- encouraging and facilitating career development; and
- encouraging parenting across the organization.

The second diversity objective set for the human capital aspects of the CSR process concerns promoting women to executive positions, where the proportion of women needs to increase. This issue was also identified during the materiality assessment performed in 2016. Since 2015, an action plan has been in place to encourage female talent. Today, 35% of middle managers are women, who therefore represent a promising source for meeting the 2025 target.

2025 TARGET

23% to 25% of senior management and executive positions to be held by women.

In 2016, women accounted for 18% of all senior managers and executives across the Group, compared with 17% in 2015. This figure is consistent with the average 0.5% a year increase required to meet the 2025 target.

In France

In 2015, Arkema France signed an agreement on gender equality and diversity, covering such issues as hiring and induction, compensation and promotions, access to training and work/life balance.
In 2016, the Group strengthened its policy of hiring and promoting women. Practical initiatives were deployed, in particular the expansion of a mentoring program run by senior Group executives to help women move into positions of responsibility. To lead the entire process, a diversity steering committee comprising business Managing Directors and corporate Vice-Presidents was formed in 2016, with the goal of approving and recommending initiatives to support gender diversity.

In the United States
Arkema Inc. has prepared an action plan supporting workplace equality and equal pay for all employees and job applicants, with similar qualifications, regardless of race, ethnicity, national origin, religion or gender. The plan, which is specific to each facility, is updated every year for the period from 1 June to 31 May. In addition, to encourage diversity in hiring, Arkema Inc. vacancies for outside applicants are posted on job search sites intended to reach veterans, women and the disabled, and mailed to local community organizations that help people in these categories find employment.

2.6.5.3 MEASURES TO PROMOTE THE RECRUITMENT OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
One of the flagship commitments of the Group’s disability policy is to hire and maintain the employability of people with disabilities, through dedicated training programs and workstation modifications. In addition, the Group’s recruitment procedures make it possible to offer disabled talents real job opportunities. The following paragraph describes the measures taken in France that illustrate the approach taken by the Group. For the other regions, similar measures have been implemented taking into account local conditions and legislation.

In France
A third agreement signed by Arkema France with all of the unions and covering the 2014-2016 period has confirmed the Group’s commitment to retaining disabled employees and guaranteeing their access to training and career development opportunities, while leading an open hiring and integration policy and expanding partnerships with social enterprises.

The agreement includes the following recruitment targets: raise the percentage of disabled employees to 4.45% of the total, and increase the amount spent on disabled contractor labor by 5%, compared with the 2011-2013 period.

Given that the amount spent on disabled contract labor rose 4.46% in 2014 and by 4.54% in 2015, the Group is expected to have exceeded that target at the end of 2016. Data are available only at the end of the first quarter of the next reporting year. In addition, actions in favor of the disabled have been pursued and strengthened in the following areas:
• retention: performing a wide variety of workstation ergonomic studies; installing appropriate upgrades; and training occupational health services and correspondents;
• hiring and integration: diversifying methods of approaching the labor market; making the new-hire safety videos and corporate website pages accessible; and expanding the network of disabled employment correspondents;
• increasing the use of social enterprises and work centers: identifying a partner to support the sites in contracting more business with social enterprises; appointing a correspondent in the Procurement department; and expanding local partnerships;
• training: continuing to support “Manufacturing Operator” job certification in partnership with industry peers; and launching a new project to train disabled laboratory technicians; and
• communication and awareness training: continuing to raise employee and manager awareness through local initiatives and corporate information.

2.6.5.4 MEASURES TO HIRE AND RETAIN SENIORS
In France, the issue of recruiting and retaining seniors was included in the 2013 strategic workforce planning (SWP) and intergenerational management agreement signed by two unions. Under the agreement, which defined “seniors” as people over 50 years old, the Group pledged to undertake initiatives in the following areas:
• a target of having seniors account for 10% of people recruited under permanent contracts;
• retaining senior employees;
• supporting career-endings;
• transitioning to retirement; and
• knowledge transfer.
In 2016, 295 people were recruited under permanent contracts in France, of whom 27 were over 50. This came to 9% of all new recruitments, close to the targeted 10% but down from 12% in 2015.

Changes in French legislation concerning retirement and senior employment resulted in a modification to corresponding employee-related liabilities as described in note 19 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in paragraph 4.3.3 of this document.
ENCOURAGING OPEN DIALOGUE WITH ALL THE GROUP’S STAKEHOLDERS

2.7 Societal management

The Group’s societal management practices integrate a certain number of international documents and principles. For example, the Group has endorsed the ten principles of the United Nations Global Compact, which concern such issues as respect for human rights, international labor standards, environmental protection and the fight against corruption. The Group’s values are also shaped by the principles of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Labour Organization and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

Lastly, the Group complies worldwide with the principles of the International Council of Chemical Associations’ (ICCA) Responsible Care® Global Charter, whose declaration of support was signed on 16 November 2006.

All of these principles are expressed in corporate reference documents, such as the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics; the Supplier Code of Conduct; the Health, Safety, Environment and Quality Charter; and the Energy Policy.

In addition to complying with international conventions and host country legislation, the Group is committed to complying with competition rules and to rejecting all forms of corruption and fraud. It also condemns fraud and corruption and works to prevent it in business transactions with its partners.

2.7.2 Institutional initiatives

As a responsible chemicals producer, the Group interacts with public authorities in every country where it operates, in particular to contribute preparing legal and regulatory frameworks favorable to the development of its businesses, in full accordance with its values and social responsibility commitments. As part of this process, it may take part in public debate on issues directly related to its businesses, while maintaining a position of strict political neutrality.

These public initiatives fully comply with the lobbying rules in each host country. For example, the Group has been entered in the European Union Transparency Register and has pledged to comply with the related Code of Conduct. In the same way, it is registered as a lobbyist with the French National Assembly.

The Group is also active in several business federations or associations, such as the French Association of Private Enterprises (AFEP) and the Cercle de l’industrie in France, and chemical industry trade associations, such as Union des industries chimiques - UIC in France, CEFIC in Europe and the American Chemistry Council in the United States.

Employees in charge of institutional relations are responsible for monitoring public initiatives at the local, national or international level that may impact the Group and, in response, defending or promoting the interests both of the Group and of the chemical industry in general. The priority issues addressed concern business competitiveness, both globally (i.e., at Group level, such issues as taxation, particularly on output, payroll taxes, employment law, regulation in general, etc.) and locally (i.e., at the plant level, such issues as health, safety and environmental legislation, and support for expansion projects and reorganizations), the energy transition (secure access to competitive energy over the long term, energy efficiency, etc.), climate change (carbon markets and prices), and the circular economy. The consolidated budget allocated to institutional relations amounted to around €400,000 in 2016.

In the United States, Arkema Inc. files quarterly activity reports with both houses of Congress, as required under section 5 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. Expenses reported for 2016, calculated according to the prescribed rules, stood at around $500,000. Two Arkema Inc. employees have been registered as lobbyists to Congress, particularly on the issue of refrigeration gases.
2.7.3 Compliance and ethics

THE CODE OF CONDUCT AND BUSINESS ETHICS

The Code of Conduct of the Group is effective since 2006 and was revised and renamed in 2013 as the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics.

Built on Arkema Group values and the principles of the Global Compact, the code describes the good business practices to be applied in every aspect of the Group’s operations.

It may be downloaded from the corporate website and covers the following main points:

- employees may not offer, provide or accept, directly or indirectly, any unfair advantage, be it pecuniary or otherwise, whose purpose is to secure business relations or any other business advantage. The counterparties concerned include people in positions of public authority, business intermediaries, customer employees and political parties;
- employees must scrupulously comply with all applicable rules relating to antitrust legislation in every country in which the Group operates; and
- employees must comply with import and export regulations.

PROCESSES FOR IDENTIFYING AND REDUCING THE RISKS OF NON-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES, CORRUPTION AND FRAUD

The Group has put in place a compliance and business ethics program, whose cornerstone is the Code of Conduct. The compliance program mainly covers antitrust, export control and anti-corruption legislation. It defines and describes guidelines, procedures and risk management processes applicable throughout the Group.

To ensure that the program runs smoothly, the following resources have been implemented:

- training to build employee awareness of the need to comply with competition rules, export control regulations and anti-bribery practices;
- a practical guide to competition covering rules and recommended behaviors issued to employees;
- the verification of business intermediaries prior to appointment, according to the business intermediary procedure, to minimize the risks of corruption-prone situations arising;
- systematic prior approval required for any export to countries subject to commercial or financial restrictions, according to the export control procedure; and
- the introduction of a Code of Conduct e-learning module and an annual compliance statement, which designated employees are expected to sign, thereby attesting that they will abide by the Code of Conduct’s principles. In 2016, the statement was signed by 99% of the designated senior level employees across the global organization, including subsidiary Managing Directors, business line Managing Directors, corporate Vice-Presidents and plant or facility Managing Directors.

Application of the compliance program is overseen by the Compliance Committee and the ethics mediator.

The Compliance Committee, whose members are appointed by the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and which reports to the Executive Committee, consists of the Internal Audit and Internal Control Vice-President, a Human Resources department representative, the Sustainable Development Vice-President, the Group Safety and Environment Vice-President, a representative of the Legal department, a representative of the Finance/Treasury/Tax department and, since October 2016, a member of the Executive Committee.

It is responsible for monitoring compliance Group-wide in the following areas: antitrust laws, business intermediaries, fraud, business practices and integrity, work environment integrity and environmental stewardship. The committee met four times in 2016.

Appointed by the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the ethics mediator is fully familiar with the Group’s operations and businesses, with a career situation that ensures independent judgment.

He or she is bound by an obligation not to disclose the identity of people raising ethics issues to third parties, or to disclose information likely to help identify such people. This obligation may be tempered and third-parties informed on a need-to-know basis as strictly required to address and solve the question raised or to handle the case concerned, the said third parties then also being bound by the same obligation of confidentiality.

In the host regions, the regional Vice-Presidents are appointed as correspondents of the ethics mediator.

For all practical questions regarding an ethical issue in general, and particularly any problem in applying the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics, an application may be made to the Compliance Committee and the ethics mediator either by executive management or by an employee.
Lastly, as part of the global risk management process, the Internal Audit and Internal Control department regularly performs audits in the subsidiaries. These include an analysis of the management processes to help detect possible risks of fraud and to define, where appropriate, the necessary corrective measures (for more information on the global risk management process, see paragraph 1.7.1 of this document).

COMMITMENT TO HUMAN RIGHTS

The Group recognizes the importance of protecting human rights wherever it operates, both in conducting its business and in its supplier relationships.

Its CSR policies are guided by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the ten principles of the United Nations Global Compact, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the eight fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organization (ILO) concerning respect for freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation, the elimination of forced or compulsory labor and the effective abolition of child labor. In line with this, the Group ensures that the human rights and dignity of its employees, contractors, temporary workers and suppliers are consistently upheld, by undertaking to exclude all forms of discrimination, harassment, forced labor and child labor, as well as any infringement of the freedom of association.

The Group also undertakes to comply with labor legislation in every country in which it operates, in alignment with the ILO’s fundamental conventions.

PROMOTING AND RESPECTING THE FUNDAMENTAL CONVENTIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANIZATION

The Group endeavors to comply with the constitutional texts, treaties, conventions, laws and regulations in force in its host countries and regions. All of this information may be found in detail on the arkema.com website.

2.7.4 Procurement, suppliers and subcontracting

The Group has integrated labor, environmental and social issues into its procurement process and strives to build long-term, balanced, sustainable, trust-based relationships with its suppliers and subcontractors. These relationships are managed transparently and in accordance with negotiated contractual terms, including those related to intellectual property. In support, the following resources have been deployed.

THE SUPPLIER CODE OF CONDUCT

The Group’s responsible procurement process is guided by the ethical principles expressed in the Code of Conduct described in paragraph 2.7.3 of this chapter. The Group has also signed the national inter-company charter of the French purchasing managers’ organization and the state-sponsored inter-company mediation initiative Médiation Inter-Entreprises, which is based on ten responsible procurement commitments. As part of this process, a dedicated Code of Conduct for suppliers summarizing all of the related CSR aspects was issued in 2014.

The Supplier Code of Conduct’s guidelines also cover environmental stewardship and the quality and safety of supplied products and services. Lastly, as part of the focus on business integrity and transparency, suppliers must comply with laws governing competition, corruption, conflicts of interest, confidentiality and the transparency and accuracy of reported information. The Code has been posted on the corporate website and the process of informing existing suppliers was completed in 2015. New suppliers are systematically informed of the Code’s provisions. While their selection process continues to focus on bids offering the best combination of performance, cost and quality, it now integrates their CSR performance as well.

RESPONSIBLE PURCHASING TRAINING AND AWARENESS

Group buyers are all trained to apply the Supplier Code of Conduct, with regular follow-up meetings to inform and maintain awareness.

ASSESSMENTS BY THREE PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENTS

The Goods and Services Procurement department regularly assesses the employee safety performance of the leading contractors working on Group sites. As explained in section 2.3 of this chapter, the safety of contractor employees is considered just as important as that of Group personnel, and their incidents are recorded in the consolidated indicator.
Logistics services contracts are awarded on the basis of the provider’s safety, security and environmental performance, while highway hazardous materials transporters are selected based on third-party assessments, such as the Safety and Quality Assessment System (SQAS) in Europe and the Road Safety and Quality Assessment System (RSQAS) in China. Similarly, vessels chartered worldwide for the bulk transportation of Group products are first vetted by a third party.

To assess raw materials suppliers, pre-approval questionnaires are used to assess their management system, compliance with the principles of the Responsible Care® program and certification to ISO-type standards.

SUPPLIER AND PROCUREMENT PROCESS AUDITS

Under the Supplier Code of Conduct, suppliers agree to meet all of the Group’s CSR expectations and to cooperate with its audits of their Code compliance.

Supply chain service providers are regularly audited with visits to transportation companies and outside warehouses and assessments of their performance. These audits are supported by third-party assessments, such as the Safety & Quality Assessment System (SQAS) for overland transportation, the Chemical Distribution Institute for maritime shipping, and the European Barge Inspection Scheme for river shipping. A certain amount of packaging is also inspected.

In addition, every year, the Internal Audit and Internal Control department audits subsidiaries by conducting a range of tests on supplier approval and assessment processes and on the practices and risks associated with the raw materials and goods and services procurement.

MEMBERSHIP FOR THE TOGETHER FOR SUSTAINABILITY (TFS) INITIATIVE

To base its requirements on accepted standards and avoid the need for duplicate supplier assessment procedures, in 2014 the Group joined the Together for Sustainability (TFS) initiative, founded by six European chemical companies. This global program is designed to encourage social responsibility across the chemical industry service chain, in line with the principles of the United Nations Global Compact and the Responsible Care® Global Charter. It enables member companies to share the findings of assessments or audits of their suppliers’ CSR performance conducted by Ecovadis or independent third parties. Ecovadis analyzes supplier documents and questionnaires on the basis of CSR criteria in line with international standards, and ensures a 360° watch on information reported by external stakeholders.

In the coming years, the Group will use its supplier risk analyses to determine which suppliers to assess and audit as part of the TFS program.

THE IMPACT OF SUBCONTRACTING

The Group subcontracts for two main purposes: for the production of certain finished products representing very limited quantity, and for maintenance. Subcontracting therefore accounts for part of the €240 million in capital expenditure dedicated to safety, the environment and the maintenance of industrial units.

Under the Group’s procurement policy, contractors are bound by the Supplier Code of Conduct and its general purchasing conditions.

Following the amendment to France’s Grenelle II legislation, the amount of food waste in company cafeterias was assessed. The vast majority of providers have already implemented or are preparing to implement improvement plans in compliance with the law.
2.7.5 Direct and indirect socio-economic impact

In the 50 countries where it operates, the Group’s business operations are contributing to develop the local economy, by creating and maintaining direct and indirect jobs, developing local skills and expertise, purchasing local goods and services, forming business partnerships and paying taxes.

In particular, the Group focuses on hiring locally at every level of the business, including the senior management teams of its non-French subsidiaries. In this way, more than 80% of the executives at the operating facilities outside France were hired locally.

As seen in this document, and particularly chapter 4, the Group’s economic contribution to host communities includes a wide range of components – sales, capital expenditure, operating expenses, wages and salaries and payroll taxes, income and other business taxes, dividends, etc. – that together make up the Group’s economic and social footprint.

In addition to contributing to the local economy, the Group is deploying a policy of revitalizing regional labor markets and supporting scientific research upstream from industrial innovation.

REVITALIZATION OF REGIONAL LABOR MARKETS

The Group pays close attention to the possible consequences of business relocation. When such reorganization is called for in France, the Group endeavors to offset any job losses, wherever possible, and contributes to revitalize the impacted labor markets. These initiatives are legally defined in accordance with the revitalization agreements signed with public authorities and include a variety of measures, such as:

- financial support for the creation or acquisition of companies; and
- a search for new business activities and support for their development.

More generally, the Group has a policy of supporting innovative small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in related business areas through joint projects and equity investments. Each research center, for example, works closely with neighboring schools or laboratories as part of clusters while creating possibilities for partnerships with local SMEs. The Group is a founding member of Axeler, a world-class competitiveness cluster in the field of chemistry and the environment that brings together and coordinates players from industry, research and education in the Auvergne Rhône-Alpes region in France.

These kinds of local partnerships are contributing to stimulate innovation, while deepening the Group’s local roots. For example, at the Lacq site in France, the Group provides technical and infrastructure support to innovative young businesses setting up in the Chemstar’up business incubator.

It is also positioned as a key early-stage player in strategically crucial industries such as thermoplastic composite materials, renewable raw materials and new energies.

SUPPORT FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Under its ambitious innovation policy, the Group maintains close ties with the scientific and educational ecosystems in its host regions worldwide, in particular through a wide variety of partnerships with universities and public and private research laboratories. These partnerships, such as the ones in France with Compiègne Technology University for the Smart House by Arkema and with Hydro Québec in Lacq, are described in section 1.4 of this document, which looks at open innovation programs.
2.7.6 Corporate citizenship and philanthropy

As a responsible company in an increasingly interconnected world, the Group is particularly attentive to the need to nurture close ties with all its stakeholders. Around the world, the Group is deploying nearness communication initiatives to foster high-quality, trust-based relationships with host communities. This open dialogue also helps the Group to better understand the expectations of people living in nearby communities and ensure that they are properly addressed in its CSR strategy.

THE COMMON GROUND® INITIATIVE

Formalized and introduced nearly 15 years ago, the pioneering Common Ground® initiative takes community relations beyond the legal minimum requirements by actively encouraging local dialogue and exchange in every host country. It is based on three key principles, designed to improve the social acceptability of chemical plants:

- Listening to understand expectations
  Understanding the concerns of people living in nearby communities is key to effectively addressing their concerns about industrial and chemical risks;
- Engaging in dialogue and informing communities about the Group’s activities
  At the core of the initiatives are events and tours that enable neighbors to discover what the plant does, the products it makes and the processes it uses, and get a reassuring first-hand view of how the site runs and what its projects are; and
- Risk prevention and progressing
  In addition to continuously improving the safety, health and environmental performance of its production facilities, the Group is deploying a risk prevention culture in every host country. As part of this proactive approach, incident or accident drills are regularly organized to test emergency response resources and procedures, along with the systems for alerting, informing and protecting employees and the local community.

BUILDING BETTER RELATIONS AROUND THE WORLD

In 2016, more than 850 Common Ground® initiatives were carried out worldwide, with 86% of production plants actively participating. These initiatives broke down by region as follows over the past three years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>North America</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Rest of the world</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014*</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding Bostik.

In all, 92% of production plants took part in these initiatives in the United States, 84% in Europe, and 93% in Asia.
These initiatives are primarily aimed at local communities, academia and associations, as shown in the following breakdown over the past three years.

* Excluding Bostik.

The decrease in the number of Common Ground® initiatives, from 1,014 in 2015 to 866 in 2016, primarily reflected the decrease in the number of local community initiatives after additional security measures were deployed by the plants.
Initiatives involving local communities and the public
In 2016, around 70% of Group facilities conducted public tours, in particular to explain how the solutions offered by chemistry and chemicals can benefit everyone in their daily lives.
In the United States and Asia, many plants also take part in information meetings organized by local resident associations.
In recent years, the Group has partnered two important science and industry events in France:
• the Fête de la Science, an initiative of the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research to encourage interaction between research scientists and the general public; and
• the Semaine de l’Industrie, a week-long event that gives young people and career seekers insights into the world of industry and its job opportunities.

Educational initiatives
Around the world, the Group gives priority attention to strengthening its ties with schools and universities.
Programs and events are regularly conducted in cooperation with schools, to provide young people with information on careers in the chemicals industry and to promote the development of a scientific culture. Locally, the production facilities periodically organize tours for school groups, take part in educational initiatives, and speak at conferences at higher education venues, such as Rho University in Italy.
The Group also offers opportunities for socially disadvantaged young people, and helps them to earn academic qualifications. To promote access to the prestigious ENSIC chemical engineering school, Fondation de France and the Group founded Fondation ENSIC to grant scholarships to students experiencing financial hardship. Since it opened, the foundation has provided support for around a hundred students.
In the United States, the Arkema Inc. Foundation, set up in 1996, runs a number of disinterested initiatives focused on science and education at all levels. Its yearly Science Teacher Program has reached hundreds of researchers and teachers.

In 2016, the Group began working with France’s C. Génial Foundation to support its programs designed to promote and valorize sciences among middle and high school students in France. With the partnership, Arkema reaffirmed its commitment to attracting young people to science and developing bridges between business and academia by taking part in the Foundation’s flagship initiatives.

FOCUS: ARKEMA CHEMART GREEN INNOVATION CLASS
In 2016, a number of educational initiatives were offered in schools near Group plants in China, whereby employees met with children and talked to them about safety and the need to protect the environment. In addition, the Group also donated a large number of recycled computers and books to improve the schools’ educational materials.

Initiatives involving associations
The Group’s values of solidarity and responsibility show through in the initiatives being led in partnership with non-profit associations in its host regions. Many examples around the world attest to the dedication of Group employees to helping the neediest and to actively participating in their local communities.
The Group regularly leads or partners a broad range of community outreach initiatives in such areas as:
• jobs for the disabled, with support for several associations that are helping disabled people to enter the mainstream workforce;
• health and community, with corporate and employee participation in a large number of charitable campaigns; and
• the environment, with programs to improve biodiversity (see paragraph 2.4.3 of this chapter).
2.8 REPORTING METHODOLOGY

2.8.1 Methodological note on environmental and safety indicators

2.8.1.1 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING TOOLS AND SCOPE

Absolute data

Absolute environmental data are compiled by its Reporting of Environmental and Energy Data (REED) system, which is accessible worldwide via the web platform of a service provider. The values of the absolute indicators, once published after review by the independent third-party auditor, are not amended in the REED system. Any subsequent retroactive modifications made due to a change in the estimation method or a correction are addressed in section 2.4 of this document.

The data are entered by the plant Health, Safety and Environment departments and validated at two levels, geographic and corporate.

The scope of consolidation for environmental reporting covers all Group industrial sites for which operating and emissions permits were held in the name of the Group or a majority-owned subsidiary at 31 December 2016. On this basis, the scope covered 99% of the Group’s industrial operations in 2016.

The scope of consolidation for environmental reporting covers all of the industrial sites operated by the Group or by majority-owned subsidiaries, head offices and research and development centers, corresponding to around 91% of the production base. It should be noted that this scope covers more specifically 98% of the industrial sites operated by the Group or by majority-owned subsidiaries.

Operations sold or discontinued in 2016 were removed from the scope of reporting for the year, but remain in prior-year data. This was the case in 2016 for the activated carbon and filter aids business.

For activities that were acquired in 2016, such as OP Systèmes in Lacq, France, all operations for the year are included in the scope of reporting. However, the operations of Den Braven, acquired on 1 December, were not included in the 2016 scope.

In China, the Taixing plant, which reported partial environmental data during its first reporting campaign in 2015, reported data in line with Group standards in 2016.

Operations that started up in 2016 reported data from their start-up date.

Intensive data (EFPIs)

To manage its environmental performance more efficiently and report consolidated data that more accurately track this performance year by year, Arkema uses a methodology that enables production facilities to report relative indicators, known as Environmental Footprint Performance Indicators (EFPIs). This method of calculating the intensity of emissions or resource consumption relative to production volumes, compared with a baseline year, minimizes the impact of any changes in the business base and plant output, as well as any changes to the method used to estimate or calculate environmental footprint variables.

These relative environmental data are compiled by the same REED environmental reporting system, which is accessible worldwide via the web platform of a service provider.

EFPI data are entered by facility Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) departments and validated first by the Industrial Vice-President then at Group level. They are subject to a large number of consistency tests.

The scope of EFPI reporting covers facilities for which operating and emissions permits were held in the name of the Arkema
Group or a majority-owned subsidiary at 31 December 2016 and which rank among the biggest contributors to consolidated data. In all, these sites account for around 80% of the total prior-year emissions or consumption.

Operations sold or discontinued in 2016 were removed from the scope of reporting for the year, but remain in prior-year data.

Operations started up in 2015 have been included in the scope of EFPI reporting in 2017, compared with their 2016 performance.

Operations acquired in 2016 will be included in the 2018 scope of EFPI reporting for all of their 2018 activities, compared with their 2017 performance.

The EFPI methodology allows new reporting units to be included in prior-year performance data. Should the inclusion of a large number of new units result in a significant change to the confidence interval in the calculation of the Group’s EFPIs, consideration will be given to whether an adjustment factor should be applied or whether the use of a new baseline year should be used.

### 2.8.1.2 SAFETY DATA REPORTING TOOLS AND SCOPE

Safety data:
- are recorded in the SafetyLog application accessible on the employee intranet;
- are entered by the reporting units and validated at corporate level;
- cover all of the industrial sites operated by the Group or by majority-owned subsidiaries, head offices and research and development centers, corresponding to around 99% of the scope;
- include Bostik as from 2015 unless specified otherwise; and
- do not include Den Braven in 2016, because it was acquired on 1 December of that year.

### 2.8.1.3 CHOICE OF INDICATORS, MEASUREMENT METHODS AND USER INFORMATION

Group indicators have been designed to track the emissions and consumption levels that concern its operations, in accordance with the French New Reporting Requirement Act and its associated decree of 20 February 2002. They were introduced at the time of the Group’s creation and have been tracked since 2006. They also comply with the standards defined in Articles L. 225-102-1 and R. 225-104 et seq. of the French Commercial Code as amended by the “Grenelle II” Law no. 2010-788 of 12 July 2010.

The environmental reporting system is governed by an Environmental Reporting directive, an EFPI Reporting directive and an Energy Reporting directive issued by the Group Safety and Environment (DSEG), Sustainable Development (DDD) and Energy Procurement (DAMPE) departments and accessible to all employees on the corporate intranet.

Calculation and estimation methods are subject to change, for example due to changes in national or international legislation, measures to improve consistency among regions, or problems with their application.

The directives may then be expressed in guidelines and handbooks, which are supported by training sessions in each region as required.

The safety reporting process is covered by a Monthly Safety Reporting directive issued by the Group Safety and Environment department and accessible to all employees on the intranet.

### 2.8.1.4 CLARIFICATIONS CONCERNING THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SAFETY INDICATORS

The following information is provided to clarify the definition of the indicators applied by the Group.

#### Total acidifying substances

This indicator is calculated using sulfur oxide (SOx), ammonia (NH₃) and nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions converted into tonnes of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) equivalent.

#### Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

The list of products regarded as VOCs may vary from country to country, in particular between Europe and North America. The VOC definitions used by the Group are those recommended in Europe by directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions, known as the Industrial Emissions directive (IED).

Emissions from US sites are therefore obtained by adding products such as fluorinated organic compounds to national reported data.

#### Chemical oxygen demand (COD)

For reporting purposes, COD is measured in effluent released into the natural environment.

In cases where wastewater from a Group facility is treated in an external plant, the reported data takes into account the effectiveness of the treatment process.

In cases where a Group facility takes in COD-laden water, the reported data concerns the net COD load effectively introduced in the ecosystem by the Group (outgoing less incoming).
Waste
The distinction between hazardous and non-hazardous waste may vary from one region to another. The definitions used by the Group are those of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal.
By-products that are sold to third parties for reuse without processing at a Group site are not counted as waste.

Water use
All sources of water are included in the reported data, including groundwater/wells, rivers, seawater, public or private networks and drinking water, excluding rainwater collected in separate networks.

Energy use
Reported use corresponds to net energy purchases. It does not include self-generated energy, which corresponds to the energy produced by exothermic chemical reactions and therefore does not draw down the planet’s energy resources.
Sales of energy are deducted from purchases of energy. This is the case, for example, for facilities fitted with combined heat and power plants that generate steam and electricity from purchased gas (reported), then sell the electricity (deducted).
In cases where sites do not have any December data due to late reporting by energy providers, the values for the year are extrapolated from the data at end-November.

Direct greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
For reporting purposes, direct GHG emissions correspond to those defined in the Kyoto Protocol.
Their impact is calculated in equivalent tonnes of carbon dioxide (t CO₂ eq.).
In this report, 2012 emissions have been calculated using the Global Warming Potential values published in 2007 by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
For relative data, EFPI calculations include fluorinated greenhouse gases that are not listed in the Kyoto Protocol but are listed in the Montreal Protocol.

Indirect greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
For the purposes of this report, indirect Scope 2 CO₂ emissions were calculated using electricity and steam consumption and emission factors in tonnes per kilowatt-hour (KWh) or tonnes of steam reported by suppliers. Where this was not possible, they were calculated using figures provided by local authorities, such as those available in the EPA-2012 database in the United States, the 2013 Baseline Emission Factors for Regional Power Grids issued by China’s National Development & Reform Council (NDRC) for China, SEMARNAT data issued by Mexico’s Federal Environmental Agency for Mexico and data issued by the French environmental agency, ADEME, for a variety of countries.

For the purposes of this report, Scope 3 indirect CO₂ emissions were estimated using Group company logistics data, which account for 99% of consolidated shipments. By shipment, the Group means the transportation of products to customers as well as any post-production logistics. Emissions are calculated by taking such logistics data as tonnes transported, number of shipments, and average kilometers for each mode of transportation (road, rail, air, etc.) and applying the emission factors defined in the Guidelines for Measuring and Managing CO₂ Emissions from Freight Transport Operations published by the European Chemical Transport Association (ECTA) and the European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) in March 2011, based on the work of Professor Alan McKinnon of Heriot-Watt University in Edinburgh, UK. The reporting period runs from 1 October to 30 September of the following year. A detailed explanation of the reporting methodology is available to stakeholders upon request.

The methodology has proven effective and was further improved in 2016 to make it more reliable and applicable to a wider scope of reporting. Current reporting practices are showing their limits, particularly as concerns operations outside Europe. These limits mainly relate to reported distances, with average distances used in the absence of actual data, and emissions factors, with standard factors used in the absence of transporter data. These methodological limits mean that 2016 data are accurate to within plus or minus 15%.

Accidents
Total recordable incident rates (TRIR) and lost-time incident rates (LTIR) are calculated for both Group and on-site contractor employees on the basis of US standard 29 CFR 1904.

Process safety
The safety performance of a plant’s production processes is assessed by means of performance indicators that measure and analyze process safety incidents. The Group reports and classifies process safety indicators in accordance with European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) guidelines.

AIMS-audited sites
The Group tracks the increase in the percentage of facilities that have been audited in accordance with the AIMS standard. Depending on their specific features and size, some sites may have had the option since 2016 of performing simplified self-assessments. This is the case for Bostik in particular.
2.8.2 Note on methodology used for employment, social and R&D information/indicators

2.8.2.1 REPORTING TOOLS AND SCOPE
Employee data are taken from several different reporting processes.

The workforce data presented in section 2.6:
- are recorded in the AREA 1 application accessible via the corporate intranet;
- are entered by the human resources managers or company Managing Directors (depending on their size);
- are validated at the Arkema, Altuglas International, Bostik, CECA, Coatex and Sartomer group levels;
- cover all companies at least 50%-owned;
- cover Bostik from 2015 unless specified otherwise;
- include employees of Den Braven, acquired on 1 December 2016.

The quantitative and qualitative data concerning other employee and social information:
- are recorded in the AREA 2 application accessible via the corporate intranet;
- are entered by human resources employees of the companies or regional organizations;
- are validated by the regional human resources managers or subsidiary Managing Directors;
- cover all companies at least 50%-owned and employing more than 30 people, corresponding to 96.5% of the total workforce;
- cover Bostik from 2015 unless specified otherwise;
- do not include Den Braven in 2016, because it was acquired on 1 December of that year.

Any modifications or corrections to prior-year data are noted in section 2.6 of this document.

2.8.2.2 CHOICE OF INDICATORS, MEASUREMENT METHODS AND USER INFORMATION
The Group has defined indicators that are relevant to its activities and its employee relations policies.

Indicators concerning employees and safety performance were introduced at the time of the Group’s creation and have been tracked since 2006.

Additional employee information and indicators, and social data have been reported since 2012 via the AREA 2 compilation system. They were expanded in 2013, in particular with the tracking of reported training hours.

The information and indicators also comply with the regulatory requirements of Article 225-I of the French “Grenelle II” Law no. 2010-788 of 12 July 2010 and its application decree of 24 April 2012.

Employee data reporting is covered by different procedural documents in the form of AREA 1 and AREA 2 guidelines, which have been provided to all of the contributors and validators.

The calculation methods may have limitations and be subject to change, for example due to varying national labor legislation and practices, difficulties in reporting certain information in some regions, or the unavailability of certain data in some countries.

2.8.2.3 DETAILS ON EMPLOYEE INFORMATION AND INDICATORS

Headcount

For the purposes of reporting, the headcount includes employees on payroll (employees present and employees whose employment contract, of any type, has been suspended) at 31 December of the reporting year.

Permanent employees are defined as employees that have signed an employment contract for an indefinite period of time. Outside France, employees hired on fixed-term contracts for periods of more than 12 months and renewed more than once are also included among permanent employees.
Employee categories
Data are presented by professional category. In France, manager status (cadre) is determined by the collective bargaining agreements governing the company concerned. Outside France, employees with a Hay job level of 10 or more are considered managers.

New hires
These data cover only the hiring of employees under permanent contracts, including the transformation of contracts (fixed-term into permanent contracts in France, for example).

Compensation
Collective bonus components are defined as components that vary depending on overall business criteria and the business and financial results of the employee’s company. In France, these take the form of incentive and profit-sharing schemes.

Health and welfare
Health and welfare cover refers to benefits from a collective or mutual insurance plan providing cover for incapacity/disability/death risks.

Training
Training hours are defined as the hours spent in training by employees on permanent contracts (excluding e-learning).

During verification by the independent third-party auditor for 2015 reporting, an error was detected in the 2014 data reported by Arkema Inc. The figure of 136,992 training hours reported under “education assistance” should have read 13,692 hours. The corrected consolidated figure for 2014 is therefore 334,000. This correction does not impact the consolidated environmental and safety training data reported in 2014.

Absenteeism
The absenteeism rate corresponds to the total number of hours of absence in the year (due to sickness, injuries, maternity leave, strikes and unpaid leave but excluding paid leave) divided by the total number of hours worked in the year.

Departures
Since 2016, departures are recorded only when the person leaves the Group, so that reported data no longer include inter-subsidiary transfers. The 2014 and 2015 data in this chapter have been recalculated using the method applied for the 2016 data.

2.8.2.4 DETAILS ON R&D INFORMATION AND INDICATORS

Sustainable Development Patents
Number of original patent applications filed in the reporting year by the Group in response to sustainable development issues related to the UN Sustainable Development Goals, as described in section 2.5 of this document.

R&D expenditure
R&D expenditure is expressed as a percentage of consolidated revenue for the year.

Number of non-disclosure, cooperation and intellectual property agreements
The number of contracts corresponds to the non-disclosure, cooperation and intellectual property contracts signed by Arkema France during the year and recorded by the R&D department in its Athena database.
## 2.8.3 Indicators

### SAFETY (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total recordable injury rate (TRIR) per million hours worked</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost-time injury rate (LTIR) per million hours worked</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites audited according to Arkema Integrated Management System (AIMS) standards</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of sites having implemented peer observation</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety, environment and maintenance expenditure £m</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of OHSAS 18001-certified sites</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of OHSAS 18001-certified sites in Europe</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of OHSAS 18001-certified sites in the Americas</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of OHSAS 18001-certified sites in Asia</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Process Safety Incidents (PSIs)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ENVIRONMENT (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ISO 14001/RCMS-audited sites</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ISO 14001-audited sites in Europe</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of RCMS-audited sites in the Americas</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ISO 14001-audited sites in Asia</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### AIR EMISSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>t SO₂ eq</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acidifying substances</td>
<td>3,570</td>
<td>4,430</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>3,030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>5,010</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organic compound (VOC) EFPI</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EFFLUENT RELEASES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>t O₂</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxygen demand (COD)</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>3,030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical oxygen demand (COD) EFPI</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WASTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>kt</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste (excluding material recovery)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste recycled into materials</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste burned as fuel</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-hazardous waste</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## REPORTING METHODOLOGY

### RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total water withdrawn</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy purchases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the Americas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the rest of the world</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy EFPI</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy purchases by type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• fuel</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• electricity</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• steam</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas in net purchases of fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-carbon electricity in net energy purchases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of energy efficiency audits in progress or completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in Europe</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in North America</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in Asia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Arkenergy investments</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in Europe</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the Americas</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the rest of the world</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ISO 50001-certified sites</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sites working to achieve ISO 50001 certification</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct greenhouse gas emissions corresponding to the Kyoto Protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which CO₂</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which HFC</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,510</td>
<td>2,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct greenhouse gas emissions corresponding to the Kyoto Protocol, by region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Americas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rest of the world</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope 2 indirect greenhouse gas emissions of CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the Americas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which in the rest of the world</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope 3 indirect greenhouse gas emissions of CO₂ (to within 15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct GHG emissions EFPI</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADAPTING TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF CLIMATE CHANGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of sites exposed to a severe risk of storms and/or flooding</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales from products made in full or in part from renewable raw materials</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMPLOYMENT (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total headcount at 31 December</td>
<td>19,637</td>
<td>18,912</td>
<td>14,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which permanent employees</td>
<td>18,607</td>
<td>17,801</td>
<td>13,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which fixed-term employees</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women in senior management and executive positions (Hay grade 15 or higher)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-French nationals in senior management and executive positions (Hay grade 15 or higher)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitments</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>1,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women recruitments</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New hires aged 50 and over</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New hires aged under 30</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departures</td>
<td>2,023</td>
<td>1,914</td>
<td>1,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which resignations</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which dismissals</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• of which following a divestment/merger</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time employees</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRAINING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of training hours</td>
<td>thousands</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of training hours per employee</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees under permanent contracts who received training, excluding e-learning</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,256</td>
<td>17,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees who took an e-learning course</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,298</td>
<td>8,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of safety training hours</td>
<td>thousands</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of safety training hours per employee</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees who received safety training (excluding e-learning)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,289</td>
<td>8,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees who took safety-related e-learning courses</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,479</td>
<td>5,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of environment-related training hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,029</td>
<td>20,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of environment-related training hours per employee</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees who received environment-related training (excluding e-learning)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,012</td>
<td>2,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of work-study students (Arkema France)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group companies conducting annual performance reviews</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HEALTH AND WELFARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absenteeism</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours off work on medical grounds as a % of hours worked</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from medical care</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from supplementary disability cover</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from supplementary life cover</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees covered by death benefits representing at least 18 months salary</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMPENSATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from minimum compensation guarantees</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from collective variable compensation components</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from individual variable compensation components</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REPRESENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefiting from employee and/or trade union representation</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SOCIETAL (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Common Ground® initiatives</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group industrial sites taking part in Common Ground®</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European industrial sites taking part in Common Ground®</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American industrial sites taking part in Common Ground®</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian industrial sites taking part in Common Ground®</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRODUCT STEWARDSHIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of substances with REACH registration</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of GPS sheets voluntarily published</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNOVATION (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable development patents addressing SDGs</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D expenditure as a percentage of consolidated revenues</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-disclosure, cooperation and intellectual property agreements signed by Arkema France</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Indicators are defined in detail in the methodological notes in sections 2.8.1 and 2.8.2 of this document.
2.8.4 Grenelle II, GRI-G4 and SDG concordance table

The concordance table for social and environmental information corresponding to France’s Grenelle II legislation may be found in paragraph 7.3.2 of this document. The GRI G4 in accordance option is “Essential Criteria”.

### CONCORDANCE TABLE FOR THE FOURTH GENERATION OF THE GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE GUIDELINES (GRI G4) AND THE UN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRI indicator</th>
<th>Sustainable Development Goal</th>
<th>Registration document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL STANDARD DISCLOSURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRATEGY AND ANALYSIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-1</td>
<td>Statement from most senior decision maker</td>
<td>2.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-2</td>
<td>Description of key impacts, risks, and opportunities</td>
<td>1.1.2/1.3/1.4/1.5/1.6/1.7/2.1.1/2.1.3/2.1.4/2.5/2.5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORGANIZATIONAL PROFILE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-3</td>
<td>Name of the organization</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-4</td>
<td>Primary brands, products and services</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-5</td>
<td>Location of the organization’s headquarters</td>
<td>Last page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-6</td>
<td>Number of countries where the organization operates</td>
<td>1.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-7</td>
<td>Nature of ownership and legal form</td>
<td>1.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-8</td>
<td>Markets served, sectors served, and types of customers</td>
<td>1.2/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-9</td>
<td>Scale of the organization</td>
<td>1.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-10</td>
<td>Employment by contract type, work time and gender</td>
<td>SDG 8 2.6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-11</td>
<td>Collective bargaining agreements</td>
<td>SDG 8 2.6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-12</td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>2.1.2/2.7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-13</td>
<td>Significant changes during the reporting period</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-14</td>
<td>Position regarding the precautionary principle</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-15</td>
<td>Adherence to charters, principles or other initiatives</td>
<td>2.1.3/2.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-16</td>
<td>Memberships</td>
<td>2.7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDENTIFIED MATERIAL ASPECTS AND BOUNDARIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-17</td>
<td>Entities</td>
<td>2.1.2/2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-18</td>
<td>Process for defining the report content and the aspect boundaries</td>
<td>2.1.4/2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-19</td>
<td>Material aspects</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-20</td>
<td>Boundary of material aspects within the organization</td>
<td>2.1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-21</td>
<td>Boundary of material aspects outside the organization</td>
<td>2.1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-22</td>
<td>Restatements of information</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-23</td>
<td>Significant changes</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-24</td>
<td>Stakeholder groups</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-25</td>
<td>Identification and selection of stakeholders</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-26</td>
<td>Approach to stakeholder engagement</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-27</td>
<td>Key topics and concerns raised through stakeholder engagement</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY**

**Reporting methodology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRI indicator</th>
<th>Sustainable Development Goal</th>
<th>Registration document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPORT PROFILE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-28 Reporting period</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-29 Date of most recent previous report</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-30 Reporting cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-31 Contact persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2/2.8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-32 GRI content for “in accordance”</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2/2.8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-33 External assurance</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.2/2.8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOVERNANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-34 Governance structure</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-56 Codes of conduct and codes of ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 16/2.7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECONOMY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EC1 Direct economic value</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 8/1.4/2.7.5/2.7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROCUREMENT PRACTICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EC7 Infrastructure investments and services supported</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 8/2.7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EC9 Proportion of spending on local suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENVIRONMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-DMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1.3/2.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATERIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN1 Materials used by weight or volume</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 12/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENERGY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN3 Energy consumption within the organization</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 7/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN6 Reduction of energy consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 7/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN7 Reduction in energy requirements of products and services</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 7/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WATER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN8 Total water withdrawal by source</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 9/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN9 Water sources significantly affected by withdrawals</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 9/2.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIODIVERSITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN12 Impacts of activities on biodiversity</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 15/2.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMISSIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN15 Total direct (Scope 1) GHG emissions in tonnes of CO2 equivalent</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 13/2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN16 Total indirect (Scope 2) GHG emissions in tonnes of CO2 equivalent</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 13/2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN17 Other indirect (Scope 3) GHG emissions in tonnes of CO2 equivalent</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 13/2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN18 GHG emissions intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN19 Reduction of GHG emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 13/2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN21 Other significant air emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 13/2.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI indicator</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
<td>Registration document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EFFLUENTS AND WASTE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN22</td>
<td>Total water discharge</td>
<td>SDG 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN23</td>
<td>Total weight of waste</td>
<td>SDG 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN24</td>
<td>Significant spills</td>
<td>SDG 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN25</td>
<td>Waste deemed hazardous</td>
<td>SDG 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRODUCTS AND SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN27</td>
<td>Mitigation of environmental impacts of products and services</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN28</td>
<td>Packaging materials reclaimed by category</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSPORT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN30</td>
<td>Impacts of transporting products, goods and materials, and members of the workforce</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUPPLIER ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN32</td>
<td>Suppliers screened using environmental criteria</td>
<td>SDG 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-EN34</td>
<td>Number of grievances about environmental impacts filed, addressed, and resolved</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOCIAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-DMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMPLOYMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA1</td>
<td>Employee turnover</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA2</td>
<td>Benefits provided to full-time employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA4</td>
<td>Minimum notice periods regarding operational changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA5</td>
<td>Health and safety committee</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA6</td>
<td>Type of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and total number of work-related fatalities</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA7</td>
<td>Workers exposed to diseases related to their occupation</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA8</td>
<td>Health and safety topics covered in formal agreements with trade unions</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINING AND EDUCATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA9</td>
<td>Employee training</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA10</td>
<td>Programs for skills management and lifelong learning</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA11</td>
<td>Regular performance and career development reviews</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIVERSITY AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA12</td>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>SDG 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQUAL REMUNERATION FOR WOMEN AND MEN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA13</td>
<td>Ratio of basic salary and remuneration of women to men</td>
<td>SDG 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA14</td>
<td>Suppliers screened using labor practices criteria</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-LA15</td>
<td>Significant impacts for labor practices</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRI indicator</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
<td>Registration document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUMAN RIGHTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA-HR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-DISCRIMINATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-HR1</td>
<td>Agreements and contracts that include human rights clauses</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-HR4</td>
<td>Freedom of association and collective bargaining</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-HR5</td>
<td>Child labor</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-HR6</td>
<td>Forced or compulsory labor</td>
<td>SDG 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOCIETY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA-SO</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL COMMUNITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-SO1</td>
<td>Operations with implemented local community engagement</td>
<td>SDG 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLIANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-SO3</td>
<td>Operations assessed for risks related to corruption and the significant risks identified</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-SO4</td>
<td>Communication and training on anti-corruption policies and procedures</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-SO8</td>
<td>Compliance with laws and regulations</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIER ASSESSMENT FOR IMPACTS ON SOCIETY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-SO9</td>
<td>Suppliers that were screened using criteria for impacts on society</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRODUCT RESPONSIBILITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA-PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1.3/2.3.5/2.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSTOMER HEALTH AND SAFETY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-PR1</td>
<td>Assessments of health and safety impacts</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-PR2</td>
<td>Compliance with laws and regulations</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCT AND SERVICE LABELING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-PR3</td>
<td>Type of product and service information</td>
<td>SDG 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-PR4</td>
<td>Compliance with laws and regulations</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARKETING COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4-PR7</td>
<td>Incidents of non-compliance with regulations and voluntary codes concerning marketing communications</td>
<td>SDG 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8.5 **Independent third-party opinion pursuant to Article L. 225-102-1 of the French Commercial Code**

REPORT BY ONE OF THE STATUTORY AUDITORS, APPOINTED AS INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY, ON THE CONSOLIDATED SOCIAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIETAL INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THE MANAGEMENT REPORT.

This is a free English translation of the statutory auditors’ report issued in French and is provided solely for the convenience of English-speaking readers. This report should be read in conjunction with, and construed in accordance with, French law and professional standards applicable in France.

For the year ended 31 December 2016

To the Shareholders,

In our capacity as statutory auditor of Arkema S.A., appointed as independent third party and certified by COFRAC under number 3-1049 (1), we hereby report to you on the consolidated social, environmental and societal information for the year ended 31 December 2016 included in the management report (hereinafter named “CSR Information”), pursuant to article L. 225-102-1 of the French Commercial Code (Code de commerce).

**Company’s responsibility**

The Board of Directors is responsible for preparing a company’s management report including the CSR Information required by article R. 225-105-1 of the French Commercial Code in accordance with the procedures used by the Company (hereinafter the “Guidelines”), summarised in the management report and available on request from the Company’s head office.

**Independence and quality control**

Our independence is defined by regulatory texts, the French Code of ethics (Code de déontologie) of our profession and the requirements of article L. 822-11-3 of the French Commercial Code. In addition, we have implemented a system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with the ethical requirements and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

**Independent third party’s responsibility**

On the basis of our work, our responsibility is to:

- attest that the required CSR Information is included in the management report or, in the event of non-disclosure of a part or all of the CSR Information, that an explanation is provided in accordance with the third paragraph of article R. 225-105 of the French Commercial Code (Attestation regarding the completeness of CSR Information);
- express a limited assurance conclusion that the CSR Information taken as a whole is, in all material respects, fairly presented in accordance with the Guidelines (Conclusion on the fairness of CSR Information).

Our work involved eight persons and was conducted between October 2016 and February 2017 during a fourteen week period. We were assisted in our work by our CSR experts.

We performed our work in accordance with the order dated 13 May 2013 defining the conditions under which the independent third party performs its engagement and with the professional guidance issued by the French Institute of statutory auditors (Compagnie nationale des commissaires aux comptes) relating to this engagement and with the international standard ISAE 3000 (2) concerning our conclusion on the fairness of CSR Information.

---

(1) For which the scope is available on the site www.cofrac.fr.

(2) ISAE 3000 – Assurance engagements other than audits or reviews of historical financial information.
1. Attestation regarding the completeness of CSR Information

Nature and scope of our work

On the basis of interviews with the individuals in charge of the relevant departments, we obtained an understanding of the Company’s sustainability strategy regarding human resources and environmental impacts of its activities and its social commitments and, where applicable, any actions or programmes arising from them.

We compared the CSR Information presented in the management report with the list provided in article R. 225-105-1 of the French Commercial Code.

For any consolidated information that is not disclosed, we verified that explanations were provided in accordance with article R. 225-105, paragraph 3 of the French Commercial Code.

We verified that the CSR Information covers the scope of consolidation, i.e., the Company, its subsidiaries as defined by article L. 233-1 and the controlled entities as defined by article L. 233-3 of the French Commercial Code within the limitations set out in the methodological note, presented in 2.8 section of the management report.

Conclusion

Based on the work performed and given the limitations mentioned above, we attest that the required CSR Information has been disclosed in the management report.

2. Conclusion on the fairness of CSR Information

Nature and scope of our work

We conducted around forty interviews with the persons responsible for preparing the CSR Information in the departments in charge of collecting the information and, where appropriate, responsible for internal control and risk management procedures, in order to:

- assess the suitability of the Guidelines in terms of their relevance, completeness, reliability, neutrality and understandability, and taking into account industry best practices where appropriate;
- verify the implementation of data-collection, compilation, processing and control process to reach completeness and consistency of the CSR Information and obtain an understanding of the internal control and risk management procedures used to prepare the CSR Information.

We determined the nature and scope of our tests and procedures based on the nature and importance of the CSR Information with respect to the characteristics of the Company, the human resources and environmental challenges of its activities, its sustainability strategy and industry best practices.

Regarding the CSR Information that we considered to be the most important:

- at parent entity level, we referred to documentary sources and conducted interviews to corroborate the qualitative information (organisation, policies, actions), performed analytical procedures on the quantitative information and verified, using sampling techniques, the calculations and the consolidation of the data. We also verified that the information was consistent and in agreement with the other information in the management report;
- at the level of a representative sample of sites and entities selected by us (i) on the basis of their activity, their contribution to the consolidated indicators, their location and a risk analysis, we conducted interviews to verify that procedures are properly applied and to identify potential undisclosed data, and we performed tests of details, using sampling techniques, in order to verify the calculations and reconcile the data with the supporting documents. The selected sample represents 43% of headcount considered as material data of social issues and between 17% and 100% of environmental data considered as material data of environmental issues (listed in the table of environmental indicators below) and 17% of “Common ground” initiatives as a main characteristic of societal data.

(i) Social information: Arkema France S.A.; Bostik S.A.; Arkema Inc. (USA); Arkema Hydrogen Peroxide Co. Ltd. Shanghai (China).

   Environmental information: Arkema France S.A.: Mont, Laca, Carling, Pierre-Béénite, Jarrie, La Chambre, Marseille, Lannemezan; Bostik S.A.: Ribécourt; CECA S.A.: Feuchy; Arkema Hydrogen Peroxide Co. Ltd. Shanghai (China); Arkema Delaware Inc. (USA): West Chester, Clear Lake; Arkema SRL (Italy): Spinetta.

   Societal information: Arkema France S.A.
SOCIAL INDICATORS
Total headcount as at 31/12 and breakdown by age, gender and geographical area
Recruits and leavers
Number of training hours
Absenteism
TRIR (Total Recordable Injury Rate)
LTI (Lost Time Injury Rate)
Percentage of sites implementing peer observation
Percentage of AIMS (Arkema Integrated Management System) audited sites
Percentage of employees benefiting of personnel representation and/or trade union representation
Percentage of employees benefiting from regular medical check-ups
Percentage of women in management position
Percentage of OHSAS 18001 certified sites by area

ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS
Net purchases of energy
Direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions including CO2 (Scopes 1, 2 and 3)
HFC emissions
VOC emissions (Volatile Organic Compounds)
All substances contributing to acidification
Water withdrawn
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
Hazardous waste
Percentage of ISO 14001 and ISO 50001 certified sites by area
Number of first patent applications filed by the Group in response to sustainable development issues

SOCIAL INDICATORS
Number of “Common Ground®” initiatives

QUALITATIVE INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social topic</th>
<th>Occupational health and safety conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social dialogue</td>
<td>Anti-discrimination policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental topic</th>
<th>Company organisation to take environmental issues into account and, as necessary, environmental evaluation and certification processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employee environmental protection training and information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resources dedicated to preventing environmental and pollution risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measures to prevent, reduce or remedy discharges into the water, air and soil that have serious environmental effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water consumption and water supply adapted to local constraints, in particular the “Optim’O” project initiated to strengthen the water resources management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy consumption and measures implemented to improve energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measures for prevention, recycling, reuse, or other forms of recovery and disposal of waste</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Societal topic</th>
<th>Relationships with individuals or organisations affected by the group’s operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance of subcontracting and consideration, in the relationship with subcontractors and suppliers of their social and environmental responsibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration of social and environmental issues in the company’s purchasing policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions taken to prevent corruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures implemented to promote consumers health and safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the remaining consolidated CSR Information, we assessed its consistency based on our understanding of the company. We also assessed the relevance of explanations provided for any information that was not disclosed, either in whole or in part. We believe that the sampling methods and sample sizes we have used, based on our professional judgement, are sufficient to provide a basis for our limited assurance conclusion; a higher level of assurance would have required us to carry out more extensive procedures. Due to the use of sampling techniques and other limitations inherent to information and internal control systems, the risk of not detecting a material misstatement in the CSR information cannot be totally eliminated.

Conclusion
Based on the work performed, no material misstatement has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the CSR Information, taken as a whole, is not presented fairly in accordance with the Guidelines.

Emphasis of matter
Without qualifying the above conclusion, we draw your attention to the methodological limitations noted on the indicator “Greenhouse gas emissions related to the transport and distribution of products”, as mentioned in paragraph 2.8.1 of the reference document including the management report. The limits are clearly explained and the Group is committed to improving the reporting processes at the subsidiaries level in order to move towards a more precise data.

Paris La Défense, 27 February 2017
French original signed by

KPMG S.A.

Anne Garans
Associée
Sustainability Services

François Quédimianc
Associé

2.8.6 Contacts

See section 7.2 of this document.
**APPENDIX 1**

### 1.4 R&D STRATEGY AND INNOVATION

#### 1.4.1 R&D objectives

Research and development (R&D) is one of the key drivers in the Group’s growth strategy. R&D is focused on four primary goals:

- contributing to the Group’s operational excellence by making innovative improvements to production facilities, thereby enabling the Group to produce safely and competitively with the smallest environmental footprint possible;
- developing the Group’s products by continuously improving their performance in existing markets and by systematically exploring new markets;
- anticipating technological and market changes and developing products today that meet society’s needs in the coming years; and
- introducing disruptive innovations that secure the Group’s technological positioning in the medium term.

In a constantly changing world, achieving these last two goals is largely contingent on the Group’s ability to anticipate the main challenges of tomorrow. For this reason, the Group takes ongoing measures to identify the main megatrends shaping society, a strategy that has led it to define and leverage research platforms in the six following areas:

- bio-based products;
- new energies;
- water management;
- lightweight materials and design;
- electronics solutions; and
- home efficiency and insulation.

The R&D department is also responsible for preparing the Group for future changes by developing disruptive innovations, be they new products or new technologies. To do so, it relies on a dedicated unit called the “incubator”, which supports these innovations until they are ready to be brought to market. When the products or technologies have reached an appropriate level of maturity, they are transferred to the relevant businesses for commercial development.

In 2016, the Group was listed as one of the world’s 100 most innovative companies for the sixth year in a row in the “Top 100 Global Innovators” survey by Clarivate Analytics, formerly Thomson Reuters.

#### 1.4.2 R&D resources

##### 1.4.2.1 QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION

R&D expenditure represented around 2.9% of Group sales in 2016, and the Group’s R&D teams comprised more than 1,500 researchers, spread across three regional research and innovation hubs in Europe, Asia and North America.

R&D efforts break down among the Group’s three divisions and its corporate research program as follows:

- the High Performance Materials division accounts for 52% of the Group’s R&D expenses, with a particular focus on the materials of tomorrow. Innovations combining performance with sustainable development include materials made from renewable feedstocks, materials and adhesives with a low environmental impact, lightweight materials for transportation, structural adhesives used to assemble these materials, and functional adhesives for the construction and manufacturing industries. High Performance Materials fuels its growth by expanding its product range and by adapting the performance and functions of its products to new market demands. The division’s technical excellence is reflected in the strong reputation of brands such as Rilsan®, Pebax®, Luperox® and Bostik®, and in the widespread consumer awareness of retail brands like Sader® and Quelyd®;
- the Industrial Specialties division represents 20% of the Group’s R&D expenses, with an emphasis on ensuring the division’s processes are competitive and finding new applications and end markets for its products. One of its primary objectives is to continuously improve its main processes, such as those used for fluorochemicals, thiochemicals and hydrogen peroxide, in order to make them safer, more reliable, more productive and therefore more competitive, while minimizing their environmental impact. To this end, R&D teams study the benefits of new raw materials, test new catalysts and reactor types and develop new synthesis pathways. They also contribute to the development of new products such as the new HFO low global warming potential (GWP) refrigerants;
1.4.2.2 ORGANIZATION

The R&D department reports directly to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. It coordinates all of the Group’s research programs worldwide, the development of research platforms and the implementation of partnerships.

To steer and coordinate initiatives, the R&D department relies on a scientific committee made up of the Group’s scientific directors, who are specialized in either a major scientific field or a region, the global R&D managers for the businesses, and the head of intellectual property. Outside experts may also be invited to take part to this committee.

The R&D department ensures that all projects funded by the various businesses are scientifically and technologically relevant and in line with the Group’s overall strategy, notably in terms of sustainable development. It also creates and steers corporate R&D programs, identifies development opportunities and new research areas and manages open innovation tools.

To do this, it leverages the following resources:

- research centers spread across the three regional hubs in Europe, North America and Asia;
- the Intellectual Property department, which directs patent filing Group-wide and coordinates the management of intellectual property, a fundamental part of the Group’s asset base (see section 1.4.3 of this document); and
- the “incubator”, which develops the Group’s disruptive innovations until they are ready to be brought to market.

This organization is supplemented by R&D partnerships, which sometimes extend to shared laboratories (see section 1.4.2.3 below).

1.4.2.3 COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH

The R&D department implements an ambitious strategy based on partnership and open innovation. By collaborating with partners around the world, the Group can keep up to date with the latest scientific innovations, refine its technology watch, more effectively monitor social, industrial and technological megatrends and therefore more accurately define its research priorities.

Partnerships

Partnerships are a fundamental prerequisite for research excellence.

Research partnerships may take the form of upstream partnerships with scientific bodies, research contracts, for example with doctoral or postdoctoral students, and original and innovative structures. In France, for example, the Group takes part in industrial endowment programs, such as the Industrial Organic Electronics Chair in Bordeaux. It has also formed special partnerships as part of major strategic research programs, such as with the laboratory of the ESPCI physics and chemistry engineering college in Paris, France.

The Group also forms downstream partnerships with industrial partners as part of joint research programs with customers, suppliers and even competitors to develop new products and technologies. As part of this, the Group establishes many research partnerships with customers in order to better understand market demand and to accelerate the development and time-to-market of innovative technical solutions.

Numerous structuring tools have been put in place both nationally and internationally to encourage the implementation of collaborative research programs. The Group has made the use of these tools a key priority in its research policy. Many collaborative programs have been undertaken with the European Commission, such as Horizon 2020, and with various French bodies like the national research agency ANR, the environment and energy management agency ADEME, and the interministerial fund. These partnerships allow the Group’s R&D to benefit from joint funding with the public sector and from active collaboration with multiple partners. In France, the Group has been particularly active in the various aspects of the French government’s Investissements d’Avenir investment program by taking part in both collaborative research projects and multidisciplinary bodies such as technological research institutes. In 2015, the Group became a partner of the “Raw Materials” Knowledge Innovation Community (KIC) in Europe, which aims to address the problem of European access to critical raw materials and to develop projects in the areas of mineral extraction, recycling and rare product substitution.

FOCUS

In Asia, the Group has completed its research footprint by opening an innovation center in South Korea. Located on the campus of the Hanyang University in Seoul, the new laboratory is the result of a long-standing partnership between the Group and the university. Its integration into the campus reflects the Group’s vision of partner-based research as a bridge between industry and academia. The center will specialize in high performance polymers and renewable energies, two areas in which the university excels.
Open innovation

In addition to research-contract partnerships, the Group has implemented a dynamic policy on open innovation. Two examples of this policy are outlined below:

1. The R&D department has set up several shared laboratories that team Group employees with staff from another organization, most frequently on the latter’s premises. Examples of partners include:
   - French atomic energy agency CEA, with the creation of three shared laboratories within the following organizations:
     - French solar energy institute INES, with the aim of improving polymer performance in photovoltaic applications,
     - IT electronics laboratory LETI for organic electronics and micro-electronics, and
     - new energy technology innovation laboratory LITEN for new energies and new materials;
   - the Lorraine-based Pôle de Plasturgie de l’Est (PPE) for the development of thermoplastic composites; and
   - Hydro-Québec, Canada’s largest electricity producer, which in 2015 set up a shared energy storage R&D laboratory with the Group at Arkema’s Lacq Research Center in France. The laboratory will primarily work on developing the next generations of materials used to make lithium-ion batteries.

2. The R&D department has a technology acquisition policy that involves targeting high value-added SMEs and startups and supporting them through the development process, allowing them to grow in an application-oriented environment thanks to the Group’s resources and expert staff. These equity interests enable the Group to position itself in the ultra-innovative product and high-tech markets.

1.4.3 Patent and trademark management

The Group attaches great importance to industrial property rights in respect of both trademarks and patents in order to protect the innovations that result from its R&D and to promote its products among customers. Together, the Group’s patents and trademarks represent a key asset for its business.

1.4.3.1 PATENTS

Protecting the Group’s technologies, products and processes with patents is key in optimally managing its business. Consequently, the Group files patent applications in its main markets in order to protect new chemical compounds, new high technical performance materials, new synthesis processes for major industrial products and new product applications.

The number of patents granted and the number of patent applications filed annually are good indicators of how much a company invests in R&D, and how promising the results are. In 2016, the Group filed 196 priority patent applications. At 31 December 2016, it held 7,678 patents and had 5,031 patent applications pending (1). The high ratio of pending patent applications to patents filed per year is due to the lengthy examination process.

Patent protection in countries where the Group seeks it is typically granted for the maximum legal duration of twenty years, calculated from the application date. The level of protection varies from one country to another, depending on the patent type and scope. The Group seeks patent protection in many countries and regions, primarily in Europe, China, Japan, South Korea, North America, India and South America.

To actively protect its markets, the Group monitors competitors and takes legal action against any third-party infringements of its patents. The Group also challenges third-party patents that are granted without justification and takes legal action to have them declared null and void.

The expiration of a basic patent for a product or process can lead to increased competition as other companies bring new products to market. In some cases, however, the Group may continue to benefit commercially from a patent after its expiration by leveraging expertise related to a product or process or by filing for application or improvement patents.

The Group also has a policy of obtaining and granting patent licenses to meet operating requirements. For inventions by employees, the Group continues to use the system that it implemented in 1989, whereby it grants additional compensation to employees whose inventions have given rise to a commercially exploited patent.

(1) All patent applications filed as part of a centralized process – with the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), for example – are counted as a single application, even though the application may result in several patents being granted depending on the number of countries subsequently selected.
1.4.3.2 TRADEMARKS

Trademark protection varies from country to country. While in most countries, trademark rights are the result of registration, in some, they may be based on usage regardless of registration. Trademark rights are obtained by registering the trademark nationally, internationally or even supra-nationally in the case of EU trademarks. Registrations are usually granted for a ten-year term and can be renewed indefinitely.

The Group implements a centralized, dynamic trademark registration policy that draws on a worldwide network of intellectual property advisors.

In particular, the Group holds the trademark rights to its main products. Examples from the Group’s flagship brands include Pebax®, Rilsan®, Forane®, Altuglas® and Plexiglas®, which is used exclusively on the American continent. The Group has also trademark protected the names of its latest innovations, such as Kepstan®, Nanostrength® and Apolhya®. The Group further expanded its brand portfolio with the Bostik acquisition in February 2015, adding well-known trademarks such as Bostik®, Sader® and Quelyd®.

Mindful of the importance of its brand portfolio, the Group monitors trademark registrations by competitors in similar business sectors and has a policy of taking legal action against infringements.

1.4.4 The incubator and the six innovation platforms

1.4.4.1 THE INCUBATOR

The incubator was set up to develop disruptive innovations. Since its creation, it has notably developed piezoelectric polymers via the Piezotech subsidiary, a new PEKK polymer capable of withstanding ultra-high temperatures, and nanostructured PMMA for automotive glazing under the Altuglas® ShieldUp brand.

The incubator was also behind the 2016 launch of the Group’s commercial thermoplastic composites line, which includes:

- the Elium® range of solutions for infusion molding and resin transfer molding (RTM) technologies; and
- the Polystrand® range of continuous fiber-reinforced thermoplastic solutions in tape or sheet form, for thermo compression, thermo-stamping and lamination technologies.

1.4.4.2 INNOVATION PLATFORMS

The Group has six innovation platforms: bio-based products, new energies, water management, electronics solutions, lightweight materials and design, and home efficiency and insulation. Their purpose is to keep the Group’s R&D in line with the megatrends shaping our world now and in the future.

“Bio-based products” platform

Mindful of the need to reduce the use of non-renewable fossil resources, the Group has long been involved in the development of bio-based products such as bio-based polyamides.

• Bio-based Rilsan® and Pebax® polyamides

The Group has developed a wide range of bio-based polyamides derived from the castor oil plant, which is mainly cultivated in water-scarce regions of India. These unique products are used in a wide variety of markets, including the automotive, energy, optics and electronics markets.

The Group’s portfolio of bio-based polyamides has expanded considerably since 1947, when polyamide 11 entered mass production. With the Pebax® Rnew range, for example, the Group has developed thermoplastic elastomers that combine blocks of polyamide 11 with blocks of flexible material. Boasting outstanding energy return, lightness, shock resistance and durability as well as a broad spectrum of flexibility, this range of polymers has become the standard for ski boots and sport shoe soles.

FOCUS: PARTNERSHIP WITH MIZUNO RUNNING

Mizuno, a major sportswear player and long-standing Group partner, once again confirmed its trust in the Group by using Pebax® for its latest-generation running shoe.

The Group has also leveraged its expertise in bio-based technology to develop the flexible yet temperature-resistant Rilsan® HT range. These polyamides offer outstanding performance enabling them to replace metal automotive parts, thereby helping to lighten vehicles and, by extension, reduce vehicle emissions.
The highly transparent Rilsan® Clear Rnew polyamides offer another, equally renewable-based variation of this range, with notable applications in the eyewear industry.

Lastly, the Group has developed a range of new, highly rigid materials under the Rilsan® XD brand for the production of numerous small parts found in telephones, computers and tablets. The castor oil production channel is not, however, limited to polyamides. 2-octanol, for example, is obtained from castor oil as a co-product of the polyamide synthesis process. It is used to synthesize 2-octanol acrylate, a new, largely bio-based product currently undergoing customer evaluation.

- Bio-methionine development partnership
  Together with South Korea-based CJ CheilJedang, the Group participated in the technical development of L-methionine, which is also partly based on the use of renewable raw materials. Currently, virtually all methionine worldwide is produced from a chemical pathway using propylene. CJ CheilJedang has developed a completely different pathway that produces methionine from renewable sources by replacing the use of propylene with a unique bio-fermentation process, for which the Group developed a special sulfur-based intermediate. Implemented in the Kerteh facility in Malaysia, this highly innovative process has given rise to a number of patents. Its remarkable results have also led the Group to study biocatalysis as a synthesis process for other products in its portfolio.

“Lightweight materials and design” platform
  Lightweight materials, particularly for transportation applications, can reduce fuel consumption while increasing vehicle speed and autonomy. The strong trend toward their development offers benefits for both users and society as a whole. Polymers developed by the Group are ideally positioned to support this trend, be they high-temperature polyamides designed to replace certain metal components in car engines (Rilsan® HT), structural adhesives that substitute for metal attachment systems, or composite materials.

  The development of thermoplastic composite materials is a good illustration of the research platform’s work. Current carbon- or glass-fiber-based composites make heavy use of thermoset polymers, for which the crosslinking process is irreversible. Examples include polyester and epoxy resins, which present two limitations: they cannot be recycled and their production cycle time makes them difficult to use in high throughput industries such as automotive.

  To address this challenge, the Group has developed thermoplastic-polymer-based composites by adapting its high-level chemical expertise in areas such as acrylics and polyamides to the specific needs of various markets. The Elium® resin, for example, is used in applications in the automotive and wind turbine industries. In the wind turbine market, where this resin’s recyclability represents a major advantage, 25-meter-long Elium® blades have been installed on a demonstration turbine for qualification tests. The Group has also developed, in partnership with IRT M2P, an industrial demonstration pilot showcasing fast resin transfer molding (RTM) technology that uses Elium® resin. With the help of its partners, the Group hopes to quickly reduce cycle time to under two minutes, thereby fulfilling a highly important criteria for automotive applications. In terms of performance, replacing steel parts with Elium® substitutes is expected to deliver weight savings of between 30% and 50%.

  The “Lightweight materials and design” platform places particular emphasis on fast manufacturing technologies, such as 3D printing. The Group regularly expands its product range with a view to becoming a key player in this fast-growing market, where strong demand is being driven by the aerospace, electronics, automotive and healthcare industries. The Group has significantly diversified its product range over the past years and now caters to the demands of most additive manufacturing technologies. The Rilsan® and Orgasol® polyamide powders in the Invent® range, for example, are used in selective laser sintering (SLS), offering such benefits as an excellent finish, ease of use and superior mechanical properties. The ultra-high performance Kepstan® PEKK makes it possible to obtain particularly hard-wearing and flame-retardant parts that meet the stringent specifications of the aerospace industry. And the Group’s range of UV-curing acrylic resins developed through the Sartomer subsidiary have been specially designed for PolyJet and stereolithography technologies, which are widely used in the 3D printing industry. In 2016, Sartomer launched the new NextDimension™ range of high performance solutions to meet this market’s growing requirements for mechanical performance and esthetics.

“New energies” platform
  The development of new energies is a far-reaching social megatrend driven by the world transition to a less fossil-fuel-dependent economy. Innovative polymer materials and chemicals are used to varying degrees in all available new energy solutions, including rechargeable batteries, supercapacitors, solar photovoltaic (PV) panels, wind turbines and heat pumps. Thanks to its technological expertise, the Group can offer these various markets a number of innovative solutions, including:

- Materials for rechargeable batteries
  The Group’s main material in this field is the Kynar® resin, a fluoropolymer used in lithium-ion batteries for several applications, such as the binder for the active phase and the membrane separating the electrodes. These products play a very important role in the battery’s lifespan and performance. For this reason, innovative research is constantly being undertaken to improve their adhesion, chemical resistance, ease of use and other properties.
The Group also develops lithium salts, which move lithium ions from one electrode to the other in lithium-ion batteries. Battery manufacturers need lithium salts that can withstand the increasingly challenging conditions in which their products are used, including high temperatures and rising electrochemical potential. The Group has developed a synthesis process for innovative salts in its laboratories, in partnership, for example, with one of the world’s industry leaders, Hydro-Québec. Following the success of these laboratory tests, the process is now being extrapolated to the pilot phase prior to commercial-scale production.

- **Materials for photovoltaic cells**
  Photovoltaic cells are made up of a number of highly technical organic materials that protect the silicon from outside elements. The Group has harnessed its performance materials expertise to bring this market a large number of innovations, such as:
  - the Apolhya® grafted polyethylenes, which are used for their high transmittance and UV resistance. Apolhya® nanostructured copolymers were used for the first time in 2014 in backsheets for PV cells;
  - highly effective fluoropolymers for backsheet applications, and in particular the Kynar® resin films, which offer excellent UV resistance, chemical stability and mechanical performance. In 2014, the Group brought to market the new Kynar® SUM200 film which, thanks to its innovative formulation, provides effective protection for the backs of solar modules while offering customers competitive price in this highly competitive industry; and
  - Bostik Vitel® polyester adhesives, which are used for binding photovoltaic backsheets (PVDF on PET).

The Group’s research also benefits wind turbines, supercapacitors and many other fields related to new energies. In addition, Group R&D is also attentive to future industry developments, such as lithium-sulfur and lithium-air batteries.

**“Water management” platform**

An important part of the Group’s technological research into process improvement is aimed at decreasing discharges to water. To this end, a global water management project, known as “Optim’O”, has been launched within the Group (for more details, see section 2.4.2.3 of this document).

In terms of its product range, the Group develops innovative solutions for water treatment, transportation and filtration. Acrylic acid, for example, serves to manufacture polyacrylates that are used in water treatment plants to ensure the flocculation of suspended solids. The Group is also pursuing its developments to use more hydrogen peroxide to disinfect cooling systems. Unlike the chlorinated products typically used, this solution avoids chlorinated water discharges.

In terms of water transportation, the Group has launched a Kynar® PVDF grade that is suitable for multi-layered pipes used to transport drinking water and can be implemented without additives. Thanks to its purity, this solution delays the growth of thin layers of bacteria. The grade has received KTW certification from the German water and gas agency. Similarly, Rilsan® fine powders have been chosen by many cities to coat their drinking-water pipe networks and wastewater treatment plant equipment because of their strength, durability and flow properties.

However, the Group deploys its main water management innovations in the area of filtration. Filtration membranes for waste and drinking water treatment are typically based on fluoropolymers, notably PVDF. Kynar® resin delivers outstanding performance in this market. When implementing water filtration systems, one of the key factors to monitor is the gradual clogging of the membranes by biofilms. With this in mind, the Group and Polymem, a French SME specialized in hollow-fiber membrane filtration modules, jointly developed new, more efficient and less energy-intensive hydrophilic ultrafiltration membrane technology that consistently produces excellent quality water.

**“Electronics solutions” platform**

With its range of technical polymers (specialty polyamides and fluoropolymers), the Group brings innovative solutions to the electronics market, which is currently experiencing strong growth in the smartphone and tablet segments, among others.

The Group markets materials designed to meet the most exacting specifications, be it for electronic devices’ internal structural parts, which are required to be increasingly fine and made using the same simple injection molding process as well as offering ultra-high rigidity, or for external parts such as the casing, cables and stylus, which need to be stain and shock resistant. Thanks to the Group’s global network and the close collaboration between teams in research centers in France, the United States and Asia, new technical solutions are constantly being developed to meet the needs of the main manufacturers.

A new polyphthalamide, for example, was successfully launched on the portable devices market in 2014, opening up new design possibilities thanks to its exceptional rigidity, dimensional stability and fatigue resistance. In addition, the Pebax® MH and MV range delivers a full spectrum of antistatic additive solutions, particularly for electronics packaging.

One of this platform’s most ambitious projects concerns directed self-assembly (DSA), where block copolymers are used for nanoscale semiconductor etching.

Traditionally, lithography has been used to etch the structure of microprocessor and memory chips onto silicon wafers. The lithography process uses UV light to project a pattern of the structure onto the substrate, meaning that performance is governed by the laws of optics. However, this process has today reached its limits due to the use of extremely small patterns of just some dozen micrometers that are much smaller than the wavelengths of visible and UV light.
DSA lithography is a major breakthrough, converting lithography from an optical technology to a molecular one by harnessing polymer-phase separation to trace the desired structure. With this process, a 50/50 block copolymer will form nanometric lamellar structures whereas a 30/70 block copolymer will form cylinders. These forms are correctly aligned thanks to precise control of the surface energies, enabling patterns to be etched onto the surface of the silicon substrate before being transformed into electrical contacts.

In November 2015, the Group, which owns proprietary technology for the synthesis of ultra-pure block copolymers with perfectly defined structures, formed a special partnership with Brewer Science, a major industry player. Numerous other partnerships have since been developed with major semiconductor players to assess this technology and, if possible, launch production by 2018.

“Home efficiency and insulation” platform

Energy efficiency, health, comfort and environmental footprint are key concerns in the development of the building of the future, with consumer demand in the field regularly becoming greater and more complex. This trend is likely to continue over the long term. Against this backdrop and following the expansion of the Group’s building material and home comfort product portfolio due to the acquisition of Bostik in 2015, the Group has decided to make home efficiency and insulation a key focus of its R&D strategy and set up a sixth innovation platform in this area.

The Group offers solutions for the thermal insulation of buildings, which is achieved by combining vacuums or air, which have low thermal conductivity, with materials that provide mechanical strength, such as glass, metal and wood. In particular, the Group markets a range of high-performance adhesives and sealants, such as adhesives for making double-glazed windows and adhesives for constructing doors and insulation panels. This expertise continues to be actively developed within Bostik, where it forms a significant R&D focus. Particular attention is paid to formulations, where the Company proactively limits the use of additives with unfavorable toxicity profiles. For example, the most recent range of floor covering adhesives, Mipaflx 800, are phthalate- and solvent-free and have sufficiently low volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions to obtain an A+ rating as well as EC1 Plus, LEED and BREEAM certification.

The coating resins business also contributes to the development of healthier, more environmentally friendly homes. Most new grades of acrylic and alkyd emulsions, which are developed by this business, can be used without the addition of a coalescing agent, enabling customers to prepare very low-VOC coatings. Some grades also capture formaldehyde. In addition, the new binders for exterior paints offered by the Group have a high water content, enhanced dust resistance and excellent stability with regard to environmental conditions. Thanks to these improvements, consumers can use the coatings for a number of years, thereby reducing the environmental impact of maintenance and replacement works.

The innovation platform also benefits from the development of the Smart House by Arkema, which was inaugurated at the Venette R&D site in France in 2015. This one-of-a-kind house-laboratory was designed to bring together players in construction to cooperate on innovation and sustainable development. The purpose of the concept house is to test, develop and approve new solutions to major challenges facing the construction industry, particularly energy efficiency, environmental footprint and the health and comfort of building occupants. One year after launching the Smart House, the Group is already benefiting from the first tangible results and has opened up a dialogue with a diverse range of players on the challenges and opportunities created by the shift toward sustainable housing. Be it with economists, rental companies, architects, customers, universities or suppliers, these discussions make it possible to come to a better understanding of future needs and propose tailored solutions.
1.7. Comprehensive internal control and risk management procedures

1.7.1. General Organization: Objectives and Scope of Internal Control and Risk Management

Objectives
The Group applies the Reference Framework of the French financial markets authority (Autorité des marchés financiers – AMF), published in 2007 and subsequently reviewed and expanded in 2010, which it has adapted to its business activities, size and organization.

Internal control is a Group-wide process defined and implemented by executive management, management and employees. Its objective is to ensure:

- compliance with current laws and regulations;
- compliance with the instructions and guidelines issued by executive management;
- the smooth operation of internal processes, notably those serving to protect assets; and
- the reliability of financial information.

Generally, internal control contributes to the management of the Group’s activities, the effectiveness of its operations, and the efficient use of resources.

However, no internal control process can provide absolute assurance that these goals are met. Despite the processes and controls in place, it cannot guarantee that all Group employees will constantly comply with the internal control guidelines and apply all the defined procedures.

The Group has also implemented a risk management system that enables the Executive Committee to ensure that risks are at a level that it deems acceptable. This system contributes to:

- creating and protecting the Group’s value, assets and reputation;
- securing the Group’s decision-making and other processes so that objectives may be achieved more easily;
- ensuring consistency between Group values and actions; and
- rallying Group employees around a common vision of the main risks.

Scope
The internal control and risk management procedures are adapted to the Group’s organization, which is structured around three components:

- the three divisions, each comprising Business Lines, which are responsible for their respective performance and the implementation of internal control procedures (see section 1.1.1.2 of this document);
- the corporate departments (or support functions), which assist the divisions and the businesses in their area of competence, such as accounting, human resources, legal affairs and IT, to ensure the coherence and optimization of the Group as a whole (see section 1.1.1.2 of this document); and
- the subsidiaries, in which the Group performs its business activities (see section 5.1.2 of this document).

These internal control and risk management procedures apply to all fully consolidated Group companies. Internal control is not limited to procedures that improve the reliability of financial and accounting information.

1.7.2. Persons Involved in Internal Control and Risk Management

Board of Directors and committees
The Board of Directors, the two committees in place (the Audit and Accounts Committee and the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee), and their members, through their experience and expertise, contribute to the promotion of an internal control and risk management culture adapted to the activities of the Group.

In particular, it is the responsibility of the Audit and Accounts Committee to oversee the effectiveness of internal control and risk management systems, and assess the schedule of the internal auditors and the results of their work.

Executive Committee
The Executive Committee implements the internal control process and ensures compliance by:

- defining the internal control framework and the rules for delegating responsibility;
• setting targets for each business, corporate department and subsidiary, and providing the resources for these targets to be met;
• supervising the implementation of the control procedures that help achieve the targets it has set;
• assessing the risks specific to each project submitted to the Executive Committee; and
• carrying out a review (annually and as deemed necessary) of the Group’s major risks, based on the work of the Risk Review Committee and its risk mapping presentation. The Executive Committee relies on the Internal Audit and Internal Control department and the expertise of all its own members to help in its implementation and operation.

Each member of the Executive Committee is responsible for ensuring that the Internal Control Framework’s Group-wide rules and principles are observed in the entities and, in particular, the businesses that he or she supervises.

Risk Review Committee

A Risk Review Committee was set up in October 2007 to strengthen the formal framework of risk identification, analysis and management, and to regularly monitor the development of risk factors. It is made up of the Strategy Executive Vice-President (committee chairman), the Industry Executive Vice-President, the Chief Financial Officer, the Legal Affairs Vice-President, the Sustainable Development Vice-President, the Group Safety and Environment Vice-President, the Insurance Vice-President and the Internal Audit and Internal Control Vice-President (committee secretary).

Every six months or more often in response to specific events, the committee convenes under the chairmanship of the Group’s Strategy Executive Vice-President to review:
• summaries of audits and assessments carried out by the Internal Audit and Internal Control, the Safety, Environment and Quality and the Insurance departments;
• reports on fraud or attempted fraud prepared by the anti-fraud unit;
• a summary and progress report of ongoing disputes presented by the Legal department;
• assessments of commercial intermediaries made by the commercial intermediaries review commission;
• a list of risks identified in the surveys carried out by the Internal Audit and Internal Control, Legal, and Accounting and Management Control departments;
• a risk map prepared by the Internal Audit and Internal Control department; and
• the monitoring of corrective measures in all of these areas.

Following its review, the Risk Review Committee can decide on further corrective measures or request additional information, and can also request updates to the risk map.

The conclusions of its review are reported to the Executive Committee.

Upon completion of the process, the Executive Committee may decide whether or not to update the main risks described in section 1.7.2 of this document.

The Risk Review Committee met twice in 2016.

Internal Audit and Internal Control department

The Internal Audit and Internal Control department is made up of the Internal Audit sub-department and the Internal Control sub-department, both of which are independent functions under the responsibility of the Strategy Executive Vice-President.

The role of Internal Audit is principally to improve and develop controls in the Group’s management systems and processes and, more broadly, to ensure that the Group’s operating procedures comply with the Internal Control Framework.

All processes and management systems may be subject to an internal audit. The Internal Audit department discusses and agrees its findings with the audited entities before presenting them with a set of recommendations and related action plans that the entities commit to implementing.

An internal committee consisting of the Chief Financial Officer, the Strategy Executive Vice-President and the Internal Audit and Internal Control Vice-President regularly ensures that the recommendations have been followed.

The Internal Audit and Internal Control department defines a draft program for the audit plan based on:
• risk identification initiatives;
• interviews with the Group’s operational and corporate departments; and
• a selection of priorities from the various proposals gathered.

The final program is validated by the Executive Committee, and then approved by the Audit and Accounts Committee. In 2015 in particular, a decision was made to adjust the number of internal auditors to ensure adequate coverage of companies included in Bostik’s scope of consolidation.

In 2016, the Internal Audit department carried out the following 30 audits:
• 11 audits of industrial sites and 1 audit of a research center for Arkema France, Arkema Inc., Arkema Srl, Bostik France and Altuglas International SAS;
• 10 audits of subsidiaries in Europe, Asia, North America and South America;
• 3 process audits in Europe and North America; and
• 5 audits of businesses in Europe and North America.

The primary mission of Internal Control is to strengthen the Group’s internal control systems. Its initiatives are communicated and implemented, at subsidiary level, by a network of correspondents within the subsidiaries’ Finance and IT departments.
Internal Control is involved in the analysis and formal implementation of processes that impact financial information, for which key controls have been defined.

The methodology consists in:

- analyzing the main risks of error, omission or fraud in processes or sub-processes, which could have a material impact on the Group’s consolidated financial statements;
- identifying and implementing control procedures to minimize any risk of error, omission or fraud;
- periodically checking the existence and effective operation of these controls, carried out by the Internal Control correspondents based in the subsidiaries (self-audit) or by the Internal Audit department; and
- defining corrective measures in the event of shortcomings and overseeing their implementation.

The list of procedures covered by this methodology is based on the 14 procedures of the AMF Reference Framework application guide published in 2007 and updated in 2010. It is tailored to the specific features and size of the subsidiaries.

Virtually all subsidiaries were covered by the internal control system in 2016, with the exception of the new Den Braven entities acquired on 1 December 2016. The internal control system implemented by Total in the companies included in Bostik’s scope of consolidation is based on a detailed annual self-assessment questionnaire. These companies will gradually switch to the Group system according to a multi-year rollout plan, which started in 2015 and will finish in 2017. At end-2016, a total of 60% of Bostik’s entities, representing 83% of Bostik sales, had been integrated into the Group’s internal control system.

Divisions, Business Lines, corporate departments and subsidiaries

The Group is organized into divisions as described in section 1.2 of this document. The divisions are made up of Business Lines, which coordinate the use of resources allocated to them by the Executive Committee to meet the targets set in their respective areas. Each business is responsible for its own performance and for implementing suitable control procedures and processes, in accordance with the principles and procedures mainly defined in the Group’s Internal Control Framework, Code of Conduct and Business Ethics, charters and guidelines.

The corporate departments ensure that the Group’s organization is consistent and optimized.

Each subsidiary is placed under the responsibility of a local executive who is responsible for employing the resources defined with the businesses and the support functions to meet the subsidiary’s targets, in accordance with current laws and the rules and principles defined by the Group.

1.7.1.3 INTERNAL CONTROL AND RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

The Group’s internal control and risk management systems are based on three core principles:

- clear definition of responsibilities and delegations of authority, observing rules governing the segregation of duties (in particular distinguishing between those who perform actions and those who approve them), to ensure that any person who makes commitments to third parties on behalf of the Group has the authority to do so;
- the identification, analysis and management of risks; and
- regular reviews, notably via annual internal control assessments and the internal audit program, to ensure internal control and risk management systems operate correctly.

The Group’s Internal Control Framework defines its organization and the guiding principles behind its operating procedures. Approved by the Executive Committee and available to all employees, notably via the intranet, it is based on the Safety, Health, Environment and Quality Charter, the Users’ Guide for IT and Electronic Communication Resources, and the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics put in place by the Group. In line with the AMF Reference Framework published in 2007 and updated in 2010, the Internal Control Framework is based on five components:

- control environment;
- risk management (detailed in section 1.7.1.4 of this document);
- control activities;
- information and communication; and
- continuous assessment of internal control systems.

Control environment

The control environment is the basis for the other components of internal control and refers primarily to the organizational principles of the Group, the Group’s values as set out in the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics and the level of awareness among employees.

All employees are informed of the importance attached to observing the rules of proper conduct set out in the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics, the Health, Safety, Environment and Quality Charter, and the Users’ Guide for IT and Electronic Communication Resources.

The Group has put in place a compliance program, which mainly covers antitrust, export control and anti-corruption legislation. Each area is the subject of various procedures and/or guides, which are provided to employees. To ensure that the compliance program has been followed, the Legal department
sends a declaration of compliance each year to the heads of the businesses, the corporate departments and the main subsidiaries and sites, which they must sign and return to show that they are aware of the compliance program, that they have acted in accordance with it over the past year, and that they undertake to continue to do so in the coming year. These heads are then responsible for obtaining an identical declaration, signed by the employees concerned within their business, corporate department, subsidiary or site.

A fraud prevention procedure was put in place from 2008 onward to record and centralize situations of fraud and therefore improve their handling and prevention.

In general, the roles and duties of every operational and corporate manager are set out in a job description. Their objectives, which include an internal control dimension, are set by their respective line managers, to whom they must periodically report on their activities.

Lastly, the Group has set up a dynamic human resources management approach and a policy of ongoing training designed to ensure that employees’ skills are continuously adapted, and to maintain a high level of individual engagement and motivation.

Control activities
Control activities involve applying the standards and procedures that help ensure that Group management directives are implemented at every level of the Group.

To this end, a set of regulations has been formally documented in the Internal Control Framework, and general principles applicable to all Group entities have been defined in order to be able to control the application of the operating procedures defined by the Executive Committee. For example, delegations of authority and investment management are the subject of specific notes.

- Businesses and subsidiaries are responsible for operational processes and therefore for internal control.
- Corporate departments are responsible for defining and communicating policy and best practice guidelines relating to their area of expertise and ensuring that they are correctly applied, particularly in the following fields:
  - compliance with laws and regulations;
  - safety and environmental protection; and
  - the reliability of financial information.
- Controlling access to IT systems forms a key part of internal control and is subject to a formal management process, which involves both the departments using the systems and the IT and Telecommunications department.

The Internal Audit team conducts assessments of the Group’s compliance with its Internal Control Framework in accordance with the audit plan validated annually by the Executive Committee and approved by the Audit and Accounts Committee.

Information and communication
IT systems are a key component of the Group’s organization.

Mindful of the opportunities and risks related to the use of information technologies, the Group has set up an IT management structure to control risks while creating value and improving performance.

This approach consists in deploying Group-wide, and as part of its IT systems security policy, the ten IT management practices drawn up formally by the French IT association for major companies, CIGREF (Club informatique des grandes entreprises françaises). For further details, see section 1.7.2.6 of this document.

Additionally:
- the Group has a highly detailed financial reporting system, an essential management tool used by executive management;
- the main internal control documents are available on the Group’s intranet; and
- each support function develops professional best practices and communicates them throughout the Group via the intranet.

Continuous assessment of internal control systems
The internal control system is assessed on an ongoing basis. The Executive Committee is responsible for the overall internal control system, its performance and its oversight. However, each entity is responsible for improving internal control performance within its own scope.

In general, any weaknesses in the internal control system must be reported to management and, if necessary, to the Executive Committee.

In addition, recommendations made by the Internal Audit department on completion of its audits are systematically reviewed, and a summary is presented to the Audit and Accounts Committee. When decisions to apply corrective measures are adopted, their implementation is monitored on a formal basis.

Furthermore, as part of their engagement, the statutory auditors may alert the Group (represented by the Finance department and the Internal Audit and Internal Control department) and the Group’s Audit and Accounts Committee regarding any weaknesses that they may have identified. These factors are taken into account by the Group in its efforts to improve internal control.

1.7.1.4 RISK IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT
In the course of its business, the Group is exposed to a number of internal and external risks.

As the Group’s structure is highly decentralized, risk assessment and management is the responsibility of the businesses, corporate departments and subsidiaries. Each of these entities has a duty to reduce the risks inherent in their activities.
The Group’s risk management system is based on regular reviews of risk identification, analysis and treatment, as follows:

- every month, each business presents its results and indicators to its operational executive Vice-President, who also sits on the Executive Committee, and the Executive Committee reviews the results of the divisions and their respective businesses;
- the Accounting and Controlling department organizes a quarterly review of risks and legal disputes that may have to be reported in the Group’s financial statements. The businesses, corporate departments and subsidiaries report on their entity’s risks, which are analyzed and addressed at quarterly meetings with the Chief Financial Officer, the Accounting and Controlling department, the Legal department, and the Internal Audit and Internal Control department; and
- the Internal Audit and Internal Control department carries out an annual survey of risks amongst the Group’s main entities, namely the businesses, corporate departments and subsidiaries. The risks are identified and analyzed and the most significant risks are positioned on a risk map, which is presented to the Risk Review Committee. The Risk Review Committee then assesses the need to update the risk map and puts forward suitable action plans where necessary. The Committee’s conclusions are reported to the Executive Committee prior to the definition of the internal audit plan. This plan is drawn up on the basis of the risk map and the need to cover the Group’s scope of activity on a regular basis. Material risks known to the Group are allocated to a member of the Executive Committee. They are also examined by the Audit and Accounts Committee and presented to the Board of Directors. The main risks are set out in section 1.7.2 of this document, where they have been classified into the following sections:
  - economic and business risks,
  - supply chain risks,
  - industrial safety, environmental and climate change risks,
  - regulatory and legal risks,
  - financial risks,
  - IT risks,
  - strategic projects risks, and
  - insurance cover default risks.

1.7.1.5 ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL INTERNAL CONTROL PROCEDURES

Operational and corporate managers’ control and understanding of their business’ financial performance represent one of the key factors in the Group’s financial control system.

Organization of the finance function

The finance function is the responsibility of the Chief Financial Officer and includes:
- functions under his direct supervision, in particular:
  - the production of consolidated financial and accounting information, falling within the remit of the Accounting and Controlling department, which is responsible for ensuring the reliability of the data constituting the Group’s financial information and for providing management analyses common to the Group’s different entities, thereby facilitating the management of each entity;
  - cash management and optimization of Group financing, under the responsibility of the Financing and Treasury department, and
  - investor relations, whose remit is to establish, develop and maintain relations with investors, shareholders and financial analysts, and publish financial information once it has been approved by the Company’s Board of Directors;
- delegated functions:
  - each business has its own management control team, which monitors and analyzes the business’ performance monthly; and
  - each subsidiary is responsible for its own monthly accounts and for its half-year and full-year financial information.

Accounting reporting and management control

The fundamental financial reporting principles are set out in the financial reporting manual and the Group’s management framework. These reference documents are updated annually by the Accounting and Controlling department, following approval by the Chief Financial Officer or the Executive Committee, depending on the type of amendment and its significance.

One of the main purposes of accounting-related reporting is to analyze actual performance compared with forecasts and prior periods based on the processes described below.

Medium-term plan

Every year, the Strategy department draws up a five-year medium-term plan, which is reviewed by the Executive Committee. It enables the Executive Committee to understand the financial consequences of the Group’s major strategic choices and the main threats identified in the environment under consideration.

Budget

The budget sets out the financial performance targets for the following year in line with the medium-term plan approved by the Executive Committee.

The budget is the main benchmark to measure the actual performance of the three divisions, their respective businesses, the corporate departments, the subsidiaries and the Group overall.

The budget is prepared annually under the responsibility of the Accounting and Controlling department.

The businesses and corporate departments submit their budget proposals, prepared with the subsidiaries, to the Executive Committee members overseeing them.

The budget of each business and each corporate department is then submitted to the Executive Committee.

The process is completed when the budget is approved by the Company’s Board of Directors.
Year-end forecasts
Once approved by the Executive Committee and reviewed by the Board of Directors, the budget may no longer be modified. Based on a frequency defined by the Accounting and Controlling department, quarter-end and year-end forecasts are prepared by the businesses and corporate departments.

Monthly reporting
Every month, the Accounting and Controlling department prepares detailed consolidated reports by division and business for the Executive Committee.
Financial statements, analytical accounts and capital expenditure and cash flow details are presented together with a commentary on the past month’s significant events.
The Executive Committee analyzes these reports in detail at one of its monthly meetings.

Consolidated financial statements
The Company publishes consolidated financial information on a quarterly basis. Figures for the six months to 30 June and the twelve months to 31 December are published as full financial statements under IFRS, while the quarterly information to 31 March and 30 September is in summary form only (balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement).
The half-year financial statements to 30 June are subject to a review by the statutory auditors, while full-year financial statements are fully audited.
As part of the closing of each accounting period, the Accounting and Controlling department identifies the specific closing issues during preparatory meetings with the support functions and businesses. Similar meetings are also organized at least once a year with the Group’s main legal entities.
Each quarter, the Accounting and Controlling department receives a risk report from each business, corporate department and subsidiary.
Additionally, each entity is responsible for identifying, compiling and monitoring its off-balance-sheet commitments. The Financing and Treasury department consolidates all these commitments every six months as part of the half-yearly and annual financial statement preparation process.
The Accounting and Controlling department is also responsible for monitoring changes in accounting regulations and issues technical notes on points of specific relevance to the Group.

Parent Company financial statements
The preparation of the Company’s financial statements is part of the Accounting and Controlling department’s general process for the preparation of annual financial information. Furthermore, the Company submits management forecast documents to the Board of Directors in compliance with the appropriate regulations.

IT systems
The IT and Telecommunications department defines and coordinates the IT systems for the entire Group.
The Group is continuing its transformation program using SAP integrated software. In particular, the financial system that has been rolled out in Europe is now being extended to Asia. The customer supply chain has also started to be upgraded, and is being deployed business by business. It represents a major step in SAP integration. These developments are helping to improve the control environment of the Group, particularly through procedure review, improved automated checks, and the removal of interfaces.
Bostik has also started a program to transition its systems to SAP.

Representation letters
Each year, the Group issues a representation letter attesting in particular to the accuracy and consistency of the consolidated financial statements. This letter is then signed by the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and addressed to the Group’s statutory auditors. In support of this representation letter, the operational and financial heads of each consolidated subsidiary make an annual undertaking to observe the internal control rules and ensure the accuracy of the financial information supplied, in the form of a representation letter to the Group’s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the statutory auditors.
Following the same procedure, the Group’s half-yearly representation letter is based on the main subsidiaries’ half-yearly letters of representation, which certify that the subsidiaries’ half-yearly consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Group’s financial reporting manual.

Investor relations
Press releases concerning financial information are prepared by the Investor Relations team and reviewed, internally, by the relevant units of the Finance department, and then by the statutory auditors and the Company’s Audit and Accounts Committee. The Company’s Board of Directors approves the final text.

1.7.1.6 THE GROUP’S INSURANCE POLICY
The Group implements an insurance cover strategy that combines a prevention policy designed in close cooperation with insurers (in particular for property damage, via periodic visits to the sites together with technical recommendations followed up on a regular basis), and the purchasing of insurance policies.
The Group’s policy is to centralize its insurance against risks relating to the production, transportation and marketing of its products worldwide. The Group uses international insurance brokers to optimize its cover of all Group companies. As a general rule, the Group’s insurance cover limits apply either to each claim, or to each claim and each year, and vary according to the risks covered. In most cases, cover is limited both by certain exclusions standard to these kinds of contracts and by deductibles that are reasonable given the size of the Group.
For the financial year ended 31 December 2016, total premiums paid by the Group, and relating to the Group’s insurance policies presented here below, amounted to less than 1% of its sales for the period.

The Group’s insurance policies are drawn up to cover current risks while also accommodating any new acquisitions or disposals that may take place during the year. In this respect, all Den Braven companies were incorporated into the Group’s centralized insurance policies on the acquisition closing date.

The Group retains a certain level of risk through the deductibles on its insurance policies, and centrally through a captive insurance company that is active only in property insurance. The objective of the captive company is to optimize the Group’s external insurance costs.

Descriptions of the Group’s insurance policies are provided below to a level of detail that enables it to comply with confidentiality requirements and protect the Group’s interests and competitiveness.

The Group believes that its insurance policies are consistent with those currently available on the insurance market for groups of similar size and involved in similar business activities.

The Group selects its insurers from the best and most financially sound companies when subscribing its policies. However, the possibility cannot be ruled out that, at the time of settling a claim, one or more of these insurers could be in a difficult, even compromised, financial situation that puts payment of the compensation in doubt.

Furthermore, developments in the insurance market could result in unfavorable changes to the Group’s insurance policies and an increase in policy premiums, which could adversely affect the Group’s business, financial position or results.

The Group’s insurers, under certain conditions deemed customary in the insurance industry for the particular contract type, can prematurely terminate insurance policies in the event of a major claim. In such an event, the Group nevertheless remains covered throughout the notice period, which may vary from policy to policy.

Civil liability

The Group has contracted civil liability insurance policies with leading insurance companies. The civil liability policies are subject to applicable exclusions but cover the Group worldwide against the financial consequences of civil liability claims in the context of its business activities and in respect of physical, material or non-material damages or losses caused to third parties. These policies provide cover of up to approximately €900 million for the Group. Deductibles vary, particularly depending on the subsidiaries’ location.

Property damage

The Group’s sites are covered by leading insurance companies against material damage and any resulting business interruption. This cover is intended to avoid any significant financial loss and to ensure the resumption of operations in the event of property damage. However, certain property and types of damage can be excluded from the insurance policy’s cover depending on the country in which the loss occurs.

The cover includes a “direct damage” component and a “business interruption” component (including sub-limits for machinery breakdowns, natural disasters and terrorism), with the compensation period for the latter limited to either 24 or 36 months, depending on the site. Deductibles vary depending on the size of the site concerned. The maximum total retention in the event of a major claim is between €22 million and €26 million.

The combined cover limit of the policies in place for direct damage and business interruption can amount to €630 million.

Transportation

The Group is insured against the risk of damage to its manufacturing assets, equipment, finished or semi-finished products and raw materials during transportation or storage by third parties up to a limit of €12 million per shipment. The policy includes a deductible and several exclusions that are standard for this kind of agreement.

Environmental risks

The Group has entered into two environmental insurance programs with leading insurance companies. For production sites located in the United States, the dedicated program is limited to US$50 million. For production sites outside the United States, the program is limited to €50 million.

These programs cover, under certain conditions, environmental liabilities linked to the production sites of the Group. They include in particular damages suffered by third parties as a result of pollution generated either on Group production sites or as a result of transporting Group products.

Cyber risks

In September 2016, the Group decided to purchase and implement a cyber insurance program covering all subsidiaries worldwide, within the limits of an annual coverage ceiling of €40 million and subject to a per-claim deductible of €2 million. Coverage is effective from the first quarter of 2017.
1.7.2 Main risks

The Group carries out its business activities in a rapidly changing environment, which creates risks that may be beyond its control. The items described below do not constitute a comprehensive list of the risks and uncertainties that the Group currently faces or may face in the future. Other risks and uncertainties of which the Group is currently unaware or that it deems not to be significant at the date of this document could also adversely affect its business activities, financial position, results or future prospects. The means implemented by the Group to assess and manage risks, particularly its regularly updated risk map, are generally outlined in section 1.7.1 of this document and described in more detail below, for each of the risks to which the Group is exposed.

The occurrence of one or more of the risks described below could have a material adverse impact on the Group’s business activities, financial position, results or future prospects and, in certain cases, negatively affect the Group’s image or reputation.

1.7.2.1 ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS RISKS

The Group has identified three main types of risks related to the economic and business environment: risks related to fluctuations in supply and demand, country-related risks and competition-related risks.

Risks related to fluctuations in supply and demand

The Group’s results could be directly or indirectly affected by changes in supply and demand, both upstream of its activities (raw materials and energy resources) and downstream, in the various end markets it serves, such as the decorative paints, automotive, construction and energy markets.

Upstream of its activities, the Group uses raw materials and energy resources as part of its manufacturing processes. Some of these materials and resources, such as propylene and butadiene, are indirectly linked to the price of crude oil, while others, such as sulfur, castor oil and fluorspar, are only minimally connected or not at all. Regardless of their link to the price of crude, the prices of these raw materials can be highly volatile, with any fluctuation leading to significant variations in the cost price of the Group’s products.

External factors over which the Group has no control, such as economic conditions, competitors’ activities or international situations and events, can also lead to volatility in demand and hence changes in the sales volumes and prices of products manufactured and marketed by the Group. This may have a material adverse impact on the Group’s business activities, financial position, results or future prospects.

Risk management

The Group seeks to secure its raw material and energy supplies and to optimize their cost by diversifying its sources of supply. In some cases, it may also use derivatives such as futures, forwards, swaps and options, on both exchange and over-the-counter markets. These derivatives are matched with existing contracts (see notes 23.5 and 24 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document).

The Group also seeks to pass on to its sales prices any increases in the cost of the raw materials used to manufacture its products. Thanks to its diversified portfolio of application-oriented products and markets, and its balanced global presence, the Group manages to limit the risks and adverse effects of demand volatility. These advantages also enable it to mitigate the risk related to worsening economic conditions in any one of its end markets.

The Group is also continuing to consolidate its positioning in higher value-added niche markets, a strategy that allows it to offset potential slowdowns in its main end markets.

Lastly, the Group’s integration in certain product lines such as acrylics, fluorochemicals and specialty polyamides reduces its exposure to market cycles.

Country-related risks

The Group operates on the global market with production facilities mainly located in Europe, North America and Asia. Many of its main customers and suppliers also operate internationally, with a presence in various countries and regions. Consequently, the Group’s business and financial results are likely to be directly or indirectly impacted by any adverse changes to the economic and political environment in the countries in which the Group operates.

The direct and indirect consequences of conflicts, terrorist activities, political instability or the emergence of health risks in countries where the Group is active or markets its products could impact the Group’s financial position or future prospects, in particular by causing delays or losses in raw material and product delivery or supply, and increased safety costs, insurance premiums or other expenses needed to ensure the continuity of the operations concerned.
The Group’s international operations expose it to a multitude of local business risks. Its global success depends in particular on its ability to adapt to economic, social and political changes in each of its host countries, and to develop and implement effective policies and strategies in each of its foreign operations.

Risk management
In most countries in which it has industrial and commercial operations, the Group relies on subsidiaries, which are placed under the responsibility of a regional Vice-President. This organization helps the Group maintain relations with local authorities and economic players, defend its interests, and better anticipate changes in the local political and economic environment.

Furthermore, with its balanced geographic presence in Europe, North America and Asia, the Group is able to minimize the overall impact of variations in the economic and political environment in a given region, and to benefit from higher growth areas, particularly in Asia.

Risks related to competition
The Group faces strong competition in each of its businesses.

In the Industrial Specialties division, the commoditization of certain products can lead to significant price competition. Some of the Group’s competitors are larger and more vertically integrated, which could enable them to benefit from lower production costs for certain products that are also manufactured by the Group. Downstream of Coating Solutions and in High Performance Materials, an important role is played by differentiation, innovation, product quality and related services.

The economic emergence of certain countries, notably China, has been accompanied by the rise of local competitors and, subsequently, growing competition on certain product lines, such as fluorogases and acrylics. This could intensify in the future or extend to other products and, consequently, put lasting downward pressure on the price of these products.

The Group’s competitive position could also be affected by innovative new products, new technologies, or the emergence of new competitors on the market.

Risk management
Since it was created, the Group has implemented a policy of operational excellence and cost optimization to enhance the competitive advantages that it enjoys in its various product lines and to guarantee the quality and performance of the products offered to its customers.

The Group invests heavily in R&D, particularly in the High Performance Materials division, which has enabled it to bring to market a large number of innovative new products (see section 1.4 of this document).

The Group has also built its strategy around the development of customer and supplier partnerships with leaders in their respective fields, allowing it to build strong business relationships with its main partners.

1.7.2.2 SUPPLY CHAIN RISKS

Risks related to transportation
The Group has various hazardous, toxic or flammable materials transported by road, rail, sea and air, particularly as part of shipments to customers in countries where it operates, giving rise to the risk of accidents. Any such accidents could result in claims against the Group, in particular in its role as the shipper.

Furthermore, due to (i) stricter regulations on the transportation of hazardous materials, (ii) the temporary or permanent lack of transportation means for certain toxic or hazardous products to certain destinations, (iii) the market dominance of a single supplier, and (iv) job action affecting transportation, the Group may face the following problems:

- delays in delivery or even refusal by its carriers to collect shipments;
- difficulties in meeting certain customer demands;
- increases in certain shipping costs or shipping equipment rental costs; and
- reductions in certain shipments, unless geographical swaps are set up with other manufacturers.

The Group also owns or uses a small number of pipelines to transport hazardous chemical products. Despite the safety measures that the Group has put in place for the operation of these pipelines, the possibility of an accident can never be ruled out. In addition to the environmental impact, such an accident would adversely affect the operation of certain units at its industrial sites and could therefore have a material adverse impact on the Group’s business activities, financial position, results or future prospects.

Risk management
In order to prevent or minimize the risks related to transportation, the Group endeavors to:

- diversify its service providers and share its product movements between several carriers where possible;
- use transportation methods that are deemed less dangerous (barge, pipeline, road-rail or rail), when technical and financial conditions permit;
- strictly select suppliers based on the Safety and Quality Assessment System (SQAS), which was established under the aegis of the European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) by a consortium of European chemical manufacturers whose activities extend to the Middle East and Asia;
- assess the quality and safety performance of the carriers used;
- ensure regular maintenance of the transportation equipment that it owns, hires or leases (freight cars, ISO containers, tankers and pipelines);
- carry out systemic risk assessment studies when a modal shift is required; and
-
implement a variety of operational risk assessment measures, including vetting bulk charter vessels and having the transportation safety management system maintained by the Transportation Safety team, which reports to the Group Safety and Environment department.

For pipelines, the Group notably carries out hazard studies and develops compensatory measures to minimize risks where necessary, defines monitoring and response plans, and carries out drills with the emergency services.

Risks related to storage

The Group uses many storage and warehousing facilities located on its industrial sites and elsewhere that may present risks to the environment or to public health and safety. The Group could be held liable for accidents occurring in the storage and warehousing facilities that it uses.

Moreover, some of the storage providers that the Group uses derive substantial revenues from it in certain regions. Should one of these providers fail to perform, the Group could be compelled to renegotiate storage contracts under less favorable terms, or to store its products in other locations.

Risk management

To anticipate and minimize the above-mentioned risks related to storage, the Group endeavors to:

• diversify its service providers where possible;
• develop alternative emergency solutions combining transportation plans and distribution schemes, with a lag time for implementation;
• select suppliers based strictly on the SQAS Warehouse and CDIT (Chemical Distribution Institute – Terminals) guidelines;
and
• conduct storage audits prior to signing contracts – repeated every three years for warehouse facilities hosting hazardous materials – under the responsibility of the relevant business management.

Risks related to dependence on suppliers

The Group has developed a policy of spreading supplier risk at product line level and at geographic exposure level for its supplies of raw materials, energy and gas, services and some equipment. However, in the case of certain raw materials or equipment that are essential to its business, the Group is significantly dependent on a limited number of suppliers and, in some cases, one single supplier. For example, some of the Group’s operational units in France – in the acrylic acid, oxo alcohols and functional polyolefins segments – were built downstream of steam crackers.

These units present a particularly high level of physical integration with the production capacities supplying the raw materials.

Furthermore, the Group has entered into long-term agreements featuring minimum supply commitments with a number of its raw materials suppliers. In the event of failure to fulfill these contractual commitments or of early termination of the agreements by the Group, these suppliers could claim compensation or penalties.

Other events that could have an adverse impact on the Group’s industrial and financial performance include failure to perform by a major supplier, the non-renewal of supply contracts for certain raw materials or their renewal on less favorable terms, and significant price increases.

The Group’s main contracts are described in section 1.5 of this document.

Risk management

One of the aims of the Group’s centralized procurement policy for raw materials and goods and services is to analyze and comprehensively address the Group’s exposure to the risk of significant dependence on supplies and suppliers.

This policy is based on the following principles:

• diversification of sources of supply when technical conditions permit;
• the development of long-term partnerships and contracts for supply situations that are subject to severe structural constraints due to the supply and demand balance or the limited number of suppliers;
• careful management of the duration of contractual commitments;
• supply chain and inventory management adapted to both business and industrial requirements, particularly for strategic products;
• a thorough assessment of suppliers based on the following criteria: position in the relevant market, industrial performance, financial strength and development; and
• participation in certain investments or development projects.

With regard to the supply of propylene for the Acrylics business at the Carling site in France following the shutdown by Total Petrochemicals France of its steam cracker in Carling, a new agreement was signed on 3 September 2015 with the Total group. The Group is working with the Total group on the supply of propylene to the site beyond the end of the current agreement.

The Group has also included the risk of failure to perform by one of its suppliers in its insurance policies.
Risks related to dependence on customers
The Group has entered into agreements representing significant financial income with certain customers. It cannot be ruled out that these contracts may not be renewed, may be renewed under less favorable terms than initially agreed, or may be terminated.

Risk management
The Group has a highly diversified customer base and makes less than 25% of its sales to its top 30 customers. No customer represented more than 2.5% of Group sales in 2016.

Furthermore, the Group’s business policy is based on developing alliances or partnerships with customers in order to establish solid, long-term relationships.

However, in some exceptional cases, when the customer breaches its contractual commitments, the Group may initiate legal proceedings or arbitration to enforce its rights.

Lastly, the Group hedges its customer risk with a global credit insurance program that, given the quality of its customer portfolio and low claim rate, allows it to cover a significant proportion of its accounts receivable.

1.7.2.3 INDUSTRIAL SAFETY, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS

The Group’s business activities are subject to frequently changing international and national laws and regulations in the areas of environmental protection and health and safety. These laws and regulations impose increasingly strict obligations, particularly concerning industrial safety, emissions and discharges to air, water and land of toxic or hazardous substances (including waste), the use, labeling, traceability, handling, transportation, storage and disposal of toxic or hazardous substances and exposure thereto, the clean-up of past industrial sites, and soil and groundwater remediation.

Risks related to the operation of industrial facilities
The Group’s facilities may be subject to risks of accidents, fires, explosions and pollution due to the very nature of their operations and to the level of hazard, toxicity or flammability of certain raw materials, finished products and production or supply processes. Any accident, regardless of whether it occurs at one of the Group’s production sites or during the transportation or use of products manufactured by the Group, may cause delays in production or give rise to compensation claims on grounds of contractual liability or product liability, as appropriate.

Furthermore, the Group’s production facilities may experience extended shutdowns, particularly as a result of problems with raw material or energy resource supplies, reliability of major equipment or even job action.

In addition, the Group operates many industrial facilities, including 33 sites in Europe classified as “Seveso” establishments as per directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances, which amended and subsequently repealed Council directive 96/82/EC, known as the “Seveso III directive”. It also operates facilities outside Europe that have been given a similar classification due to their use, production or storage of hazardous substances that may present significant risks to the health or safety of neighboring communities and to the environment. In this respect, the Group could be held liable (i) following injury or damage to property or people, notably due to exposure to hazardous substances being used, produced or destroyed by the Group or present on its sites, or (ii) for having caused damage to natural resources.

Created by French Act no. 2003-699 of 30 July 2003 on the prevention of technological and natural risks and compensation for damages, Technological Risk Prevention Plans (PPRTs) form part of the Group’s risk management policy for areas hosting high-risk industrial sites corresponding to “upper-tier Seveso” establishments. The Group has completed studies for the 16 relevant French sites and is implementing the appropriate additional resources, working alongside the local authorities to further secure the facilities in compliance with the regulatory processes. The Group has estimated the cost of all measures that it is responsible for implementing in the coming years, and set aside provisions to this end. Currently, one PPRT is still pending approval.

These risks, over and above any liability issue, could have an impact on the Group’s results, financial position or business activities.

Risk management
All the Group’s facilities and activities worldwide are covered by a Group-wide safety management program adapted to the risks that each may face.

Developed in line with the Health, Safety, Environment and Quality Charter, the program is based on taking action at three priority levels:

• at the technical level, for example when designing or improving production units (process safety and ergonomics), or drawing up specifications for hazardous material transportation equipment;
• at the organizational level, by ensuring that each entity’s management system complies with the Group’s safety requirements, which are also adapted to the level of risk at each site. These requirements are reflected in the Arkema Integrated Management System (AIMS), which combines all the Group’s safety, environment and quality audits in a single audit; and
• at the human level, by developing social dialogue and a safety culture that raises everyone’s awareness of their individual responsibility and of the importance of their behavior.

These points are detailed in section 2.3 of this document.
The Group has also taken out insurance policies for civil liability and property damage with leading insurance companies (see section 1.7.1.6 of this document).

**Risks related to security**

The Group may suffer the consequences of possible malicious acts against its facilities or its employees, including theft and pilferage risks particularly in the fields of research and technology and the growing threat of cybercrime.

**Risk management**

Security directives are regularly updated in line with recommendations from the public authorities in order to strengthen the security of the Group’s industrial facilities.

The Group’s upper-tier ("seuil haut") Seveso sites in France have undergone security audits by the French authorities, with no evidence found of significant deviations from standards. The audits highlighted the Group’s high levels of security, and led to adjustments being made where necessary.

In addition, the Group has raised security levels at its industrial facilities and R&D centers since 2015 in response to the terrorist attacks in Paris that year. It has also taken additional security measures in response to deliberate acts of violence at other industrial companies in Isère and Étang de Berre, France.

The Group’s action plan also covers cybersecurity and protection from cyber attacks (see section 1.7.2.6 on IT risks). The Group has notably begun working more closely with French IT security agency ANSSI in France and developed a specific strategy to enhance cyber security.

**Risks related to health**

The Group uses and has used in the past toxic or hazardous substances to manufacture its products. Employees and former employees of the Group and, in some cases, employees of external companies and service providers, Group customers and people living near the Group’s industrial sites, may have been exposed or may still be exposed to these substances and, as a result, may have developed or may develop specific illnesses from such exposure. In addition, for certain substances currently regarded as risk-free, chronic toxicity, even at very low concentrations or exposures, could be discovered in the future.

Certain products may also be used directly or indirectly in sensitive applications, particularly medical and food applications. Furthermore, several types of serious government-declared health crises could result in the shutdown of facilities, research centers, and even head offices and other facilities. Serious health crises of this sort notably include:

- major epidemics or pandemics;
- crises related to contaminated or polluted medicines, food or vaccines;
- health crises related to climate or weather events, such as heat waves, droughts, tornadoes, cyclones and exceptional flooding; and
- the consequences of long-term, chronic exposure to a hazardous contaminant.

**Risk management**

The Group has put in place safety and monitoring procedures at the Group level and at individual production sites. It also conducts regular research into the toxicity of the products it uses, and in addition has developed a tool for monitoring individual exposure to toxic products. The various procedures in place are described in section 2.3.2 of this document.

The Group may also be forced to withdraw certain products, particularly in certain sensitive markets.

In the event of a serious health emergency, crisis units managed by trained employees are set up in the facilities, in the countries and at the Group level, to define the standards that guarantee high levels of health protection and the rules governing certain activities in order to achieve the lowest possible risk level, and to put in place response plans to address health emergencies and exceptional situations. Additionally, in the specific event of epidemics or pandemics, most Group sites around the world have set out business continuity plans with actions on two levels:

- health and organization measures to limit the transmission of viruses and protect the health of employees and subcontractors working on the sites by (i) informing all employees about health measures, raising awareness and providing alcohol-based hand sanitizers and protective masks, (ii) issuing instructions on how to contain isolated cases, (iii) reducing the number of meetings and business trips, and (iv) implementing teleworking solutions; and
- measures to adapt business activities to the level of absenteeism by creating a structure that enables a site to continue operating despite the absence of significant numbers of employees and, in extreme cases, to ensure safety and environmental protection in the absence of a very large number of employees.
Risks related to the environment

The Group has activities in business areas that entail significant environmental liability risks.

While the Group has secured insurance policies from leading insurance companies to cover environmental risks (see section 1.7.1.6 of this document), it cannot rule out the possibility that claims will be made in connection with its operations or products, seeking to hold it liable for uninsured events or for amounts exceeding the cover limits. Furthermore, any accident, regardless of whether it occurs at one of the Group’s production sites or during the transportation or use of products manufactured by the Group, may cause delays in production or give rise to compensation claims on grounds of contractual liability or product liability, as appropriate.

Should the Group be held liable for environmental claims, the amounts covered by provisions or included in the Group’s investment plans could prove to be insufficient due to the intrinsic uncertainties involved in projecting expenditure and liabilities relating to health, safety and the environment. The assumptions used to determine these provisions and investments may need to be adjusted, mainly due to changes in regulations, changes in the interpretation or application of regulations by the relevant authorities, the technical, hydrological or geological constraints of environmental remediation or the identification of as yet unknown pollutants. Achieving compliance for Group sites that are still in operation, or for sites where operations have ceased, entails a risk that could generate substantial financial costs for the Group.

Contingent environmental liabilities are detailed in note 21 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

Risk management

Environmental risk is managed by the implementation of a policy defined and monitored by the Environmental Remediation team within the Group Safety and Environment department and rolled out within the Group’s various businesses under the responsibility of the industrial Vice-Presidents. The components of this policy are detailed in section 2.4 of this document.

The Group also benefits from guarantees from subsidiaries of Total S.A. with respect to former industrial sites, which were granted prior to Arkema’s stock market listing. A description of these guarantees can be found in note 30 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

Risks related to climate change

Due to their geographic location, some Group industrial sites (35 sites) are exposed to seismic and/or climate risks such as floods, droughts and storms, the extent and frequency of which may evolve as a result of climate change. In 2016, a total of 22 sites (versus 21 in 2015) were identified as currently being exposed to climate risks. However, the Group has no data enabling it to estimate how the exposure of these or other sites may evolve in the future as a result of climate change.

The Group monitors developments in international, European and national regulations on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction, notably as concerns CO₂ quota systems. The tightening of such regulations could have a negative impact on the Group’s business activities, operating costs or profitability.

Risk management

The Group pays close attention to the publication of any works that will enable it to assess the medium- and long-term impact of climate change on its industrial operations and supply chain.

At the same time, it implements a range of Group-wide initiatives to anticipate the effects of climate change as effectively as possible. In 2016, for example, an internal carbon pricing system was introduced to steer investment toward low-carbon solutions. And in the same year, the Group implemented the “Optim’O” water management plan in a drive to further improve water management at its sites and in its production processes. For further details on these initiatives, see section 2.4.2.3 of this document.

Lastly, Fluorogases have been identified as the products that have been most exposed to regulatory developments for many years. Accordingly, the Group is already anticipating the relevant regulatory changes by developing new blends or substitutes.

Risks related to the management of sensitive data and in particular the dependence on certain technologies

In the course of its business, the Group uses both technologies that it owns and a certain number of technologies under license from third parties. Furthermore, in some cases, the Group’s activities rely on technologies that require specific skills from its employees. The Group also invests in new industrial units and is exposed to the risk of disclosure of confidential documents and of copying of processes or technologies that are critical to its production and to maintaining its international competitiveness.

If the Group were no longer able to use these technologies, it could have an adverse impact on its business activities, operating costs or future prospects.
Risk management

The Group implements a technological development policy for its processes, in particular as part of its R&D programs, to give it direct ownership over the technologies that it uses in its major activities, and to help reduce its level of technological exposure to third parties.

The Group also has an employee retention policy (see section 2.6 of this document), and ensures that skills in certain sensitive technologies are shared by a sufficient number of employees.

Furthermore, the Group only subcontracts equipment essential to its critical processes to specific companies bound by confidentiality agreements. Files and technical manuals are managed by a restricted number of individuals, specifically the business process officers and technical center engineers.

The Group has decided to strengthen its security policy and to improve the documentation to be applied in its sites worldwide, by drawing on services provided by the French State in France and on feedback from other regions. This strategy resulted in updates and improvements to application guides and procedures, particularly to take into account IT risks, the protection of sensitive data, the protection of sites and the protection of employees working in high-risk countries. The documentation includes security audit guides, vulnerability analysis guides and the classification of documents containing sensitive data.

The Group continues to strengthen employee training and awareness initiatives in this area.

Risks related to land that the Group does not own

While the Group owns most of the land on which its industrial sites are built, some industrial facilities around the world are located on land that belongs to third parties, either due to local regulations or for technical or strategic reasons. In such cases, the Group occupies the land under the terms of leases or similar agreements.

If these agreements were to be terminated or not renewed, or if a site were to be expropriated, it could adversely impact the Group’s business activities, results or financial position.

Risk management

When negotiating contracts, the Group secures its right to occupy land by implementing sufficiently long terms and lengthy notice periods. Contractual expiration dates are monitored regularly to anticipate any problems regarding renewals.

1.7.2.4 REGULATORY AND LEGAL RISKS

The Group is subject to complex and constantly changing local, national and international laws and regulations that differ depending on the countries in which the Group operates. These laws and regulations encompass a large number of fields, including safety, environmental protection, antitrust law, company law, commercial law, patent protection, labor law, tax law and customs regulations.

The Group’s corporate and regional units and subsidiaries ensure regulatory watch in their respective fields of expertise in order to maintain a high level of knowledge and anticipate possible future changes.

Risks related to product regulations

If existing regulations were to be amended to become more restrictive for the Group or if new regulations were adopted, it could (i) compel the Group to significantly scale back on production and marketing of certain products or, possibly, discontinue production and marketing altogether, (ii) restrict the Group’s ability to alter or expand its facilities, and (iii) possibly compel it to abandon certain markets, incur significant expenditure to produce substitute substances, institute costly emissions control or reduction systems or exclude the Group from certain markets if it could not develop substitute products.

A large number of these regulations, described in section 2.3.4 of this document, require chemical products to be recorded in lists, called inventories, and accompanied by files of varying degrees of complexity.

Risk management

To ensure that its products are marketed in accordance with local, national or international regulations, the Group employs regulatory experts supported by a global network of correspondents based in the industrial sites, businesses and subsidiaries, and experts in physicochemistry, toxicology and ecotoxicology who work to improve knowledge and understanding of the hazard characteristics of the substances and products manufactured, imported and marketed by the Group. All these experts also use efficient IT resources and have access to databases allowing them to follow scientific developments and regulatory changes, and to produce the documents required to comply with the regulations within the prescribed time. These experts take part in professional associations that monitor proposed regulatory changes at the state or agency level, thus helping the Group to anticipate regulatory changes and prepare accordingly.
In cases where product regulation changes lead to restrictions on the use of raw materials or the marketing of finished products, the Group relies on its R&D to develop alternative solutions. This is the case, for example, with the Group’s successful development of resins for paint formulations that meet the latest VOC requirements, such as alkyds in emulsions, VAE emulsions, powder resins and high solid resins.

Finally, in the particular case of the Registration, Evaluation and Authorization of Chemicals (REACH) regulation and local legislation described in chapter 2 of this document, the Group has put in place a specific organization to optimize the implementation of these regulations.

**Risks related to industrial property**

The Group’s patents and trademarks represent an asset that is essential for its business activities. For this reason, the Group attaches great importance to industrial property rights in respect of its trademarks and patents, in order to protect the innovations coming out of R&D. The Group is also attentive to the risk of direct and indirect patent infringement as well as all types of trademark infringements.

Patent infringement can occur when a third party uses products or industrial processes patented by the Group. Trademark infringement, on the other hand, can occur when a third party unlawfully seeks to take advantage of the advantages or reputation of Group brands in a given market. These actions have an instantly negative impact on the Group’s sales and results and can harm the reputation and the perceived quality of the products concerned as well as the image of the Company.

Patent infringement can also occur involuntarily because of the Group, particularly given the risk related to the time during which patent applications are not made public. Patent applications filed by third parties and made public only on publication could result in ongoing developments or even products recently brought to market. This situation would oblige the Group to change the product, thereby increasing the related R&D costs, or to negotiate a license to use the patented component. In either case, there would be an impact on the project’s profit margins.

Inadequate protection of the innovations resulting from its research or trademarks could therefore have a material adverse impact on the Group’s business activities, results, financial position or future prospects.

**Risk management**

The Group has developed an assertive policy to protect its innovations and know-how (registration of patents and trademarks), particularly with the support of a global network of industrial property consultants (for further details on the Group’s industrial property protection policy, see section 1.4.3 of this document). The Group is also attentive to any infringements of the rights conferred by its patents and trademarks. If, therefore, products on the market suggest that protected products or technologies or trademarks have been infringed, the Group can take what action it deems necessary to notify, end and sanction the infringement of its intellectual property rights.

This risk is managed by the Intellectual Property department, which reports to the R&D department for patent matters and to the Legal department for trademark and design matters. The role of these departments is to apply in practice the principle of respect for intellectual and industrial property rights enshrined in the Code of Conduct and Business Ethics. They go about this by ensuring customers are only offered products that are not covered by valid, third-party patents, based on the best knowledge that can be obtained by regularly reviewing competitors’ patents all throughout the development of new products. In addition, the role of the Legal department is to constitute trademark rights for certain product ranges and, where appropriate, protect them via designs. To this end, it carries out research for prior user rights before trademark and/or design applications are filed, to the greatest extent allowed by resource availability and information accessibility, in order to identify any prior third-party rights that may form an obstacle to a new project.

**Risks related to business practices**

The Group operates in many countries and, for this reason, is subject to a range of antitrust and anti-corruption laws as well as export control regulations in certain countries. Non-compliance with any of these laws or regulations may result in significant fines being levied on the Group or civil or criminal charges being brought against it and/or its employees.

**Risk management**

The Group has put in place a “Business compliance and ethics program”, which notably covers antitrust, export control and anti-corruption legislation. Procedures and/or guides have been issued on each of these topics. Training is also given within the Group to prevent risky behavior and maintain a suitable level of awareness in these areas. For further details on this program, see section 2.7.3 of this document.

The Group also exercises particular caution with regard to:

- planned export sales to countries subject to economic sanctions or other restrictive measures. In such situations, in-depth reviews are carried out to avoid any risk of violating the export control regulations; and
- the choice of commercial intermediaries used in order to minimize the risk of corruption.
Risks related to current or potential litigation

In the normal course of its business, the Group is or may become a party to a number of administrative, legal or arbitration proceedings, as a result of which it may be found liable on various grounds, such as violating antitrust laws relating to cartel behavior, full or partial failure to fulfill contractual obligations, termination of established commercial relationships, pollution, or non-conformity of products.

A description of the most significant current or potential litigation is given in note 21 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document. To the best of the Group’s knowledge, there are no other administrative, legal or arbitration proceedings currently underway, or with which the Company or the Group are threatened, that are likely to have or have had over the course of the past twelve months a material adverse impact on the results or financial position of the Company or the Group.

Provisions are made in the accounts every time the payment of a quantifiable and large indemnity is likely (see note 20 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document). However, the resulting provisions, and in particular those relating to large claims, can prove insufficient, which could have material adverse consequences on the Group’s business activities, financial position, results or future prospects.

In addition, it generally cannot be ruled out that, in the future, new proceedings, related or unrelated to existing proceedings, could be initiated against a Group entity. Should such proceedings have an unfavorable outcome, they could adversely impact the Group’s business activities, financial position or results.

Risk management

All legal risks related to current or potential litigation are subject to a quarterly review. On the first day of the last month of every quarter, each business, corporate department and subsidiary must provide the Group’s Accounting and Controlling department and Legal department with a written summary of any legal risks or proceedings that affect the Group’s business activities, financial position, results or future prospects.

1.7.2.5 FINANCIAL RISKS

The Group is exposed to various types of financial risks, such as liquidity risk, foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk, credit risk (counterparty risk), pension funding risk and tax risk.

The information provided below is based on certain assumptions and expectations that, by nature, may prove to be inaccurate, particularly with respect to changes in exchange rates and interest rates, and the Group’s exposure to the associated risks.

Liquidity risk

The Group uses bond issues and loans from banking institutions to finance its day-to-day operating requirements and development. However, unforeseen needs may also arise, resulting in particular from an increase in working capital requirement or unfavorable market conditions. Additionally, market conditions may make it difficult to refinance bonds at maturity, or one or more banks may be unable to meet their obligations to the Group with respect to one of its main credit lines, which would significantly reduce the Group’s access to financing under equivalent terms.

For further details on borrowing terms and in particular on early repayment clauses, see section 4.1.8.1 and notes 22 and 23 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

Risk management

The Group’s financing policy, implemented by the Financing and Treasury department, aims to provide the Group with the necessary financial resources to fund its operations over periods of time adapted to its repayment ability. This policy is based on the following principles:

• having the Group’s long-term credit rated by two rating agencies, and maintaining the ratings at least at their current level;
• having a gearing ratio of around 40%;
• maintaining cash reserves in excess of €500 million;
• maintaining average maturity at over three years; and
• diversifying its sources of financing.

At 31 December 2016, the Group had a strong financial profile, with:

• a gearing ratio of 35%;
• cash reserves of around €800 million; and
• a Euro Medium Term Note (EMTN) program, representing a maximum amount of €2.5 billion, to facilitate access to bond markets.
At the date of this document:

- without taking into account the issue of perpetual hybrid bonds classified as equity, completed on 29 October 2014, the average maturity of the Group’s financial resources is around four and a half years; and
- the Group’s long-term credit ratings are BBB (stable outlook) according to Standard & Poor’s and Baa2 (stable outlook) according to Moody’s.

Consequently, at the date of this document, the Group is able to meet its financial commitments as part of its operations, and does not anticipate any problems in the coming months.

Foreign currency risk

Given its international operations, the Group is exposed to various types of currency risks:

- transaction risks related to the Group’s day-to-day operations and development projects;
- translation risks related to the consolidation in euros of Group subsidiary accounts in currencies other than the euro. Fluctuations in the exchange rates of these currencies, particularly the US dollar-to-euro exchange rate, have had in the past and may have in the future a material impact on the Group’s financial position and operating income. For an indication of the impact of the translation effect on the Group’s income statement and balance sheet, especially with regard to the US dollar-to-euro exchange rate, see sections 4.1.5 and 4.1.9 of this document; and
- competition risk related to the fact that, proportionately, in the euro zone, the Group incurs more operating expenses in euros than it generates sales in the currency owing to the fact that it is an export-focused company. As a result, the Group’s competitive position may be affected by the weakness of certain currencies, and in particular the US dollar against the euro, compared with its competitors positioned in countries with a weak currency. Furthermore, the weakness of certain currencies in countries with major imports from the Group may affect its results.

Risk management

The Group’s objective is to minimize the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on its results and financial position.

Transaction risks are systematically hedged when recorded in the accounts. Group companies hedge their foreign currency assets and liabilities against their respective functional currencies. Revenues and costs in foreign currencies are hedged essentially by spot foreign exchange transactions and sometimes by forward transactions.

Foreign exchange risk linked to future flows, such as capital expenditure or sales flows, particularly export sales, may also be hedged. The Executive Committee is responsible for deciding whether such hedging is necessary, while implementation is carried out by the Financing and Treasury department using simple derivatives.

For further details, see notes 23 and 24 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

Competition risk has gradually decreased following the implementation of a more balanced Group development and geographic expansion strategy.

Translation risk is not hedged as the Group considers that it is inherent to its worldwide operations. However, the Group reduces its balance sheet risk through a policy of allowing its companies to contract debt only in their functional currencies, except when a foreign-currency loan is backed by a commercial risk in the same currency.

Interest rate risk

The Group is exposed to interest rate fluctuations.

At 31 December 2016, the Group’s debt stood at €2,105 million, which mainly included a €500 million bond issue with a 4% fixed rate, a €480 million bond issue with a 3.85% fixed rate, a €150 million bond issue with a 3.125% fixed rate and a €700 million bond issue with a 1.50% fixed rate. Neither the revolving multi-currency credit line nor the commercial paper program had been used at 31 December 2016. The terms of this financing are described in section 4.1.8.1 of this document.

Given the Group’s net debt and the distribution of net debt between fixed rate and variable rate borrowings, a 1% increase in interest rates would reduce the cost of the debt by around €3 million.

Risk management

The Group’s policy is to minimize the impact of interest rate fluctuations on its financing costs.

- Interest rate risk exposure is managed by the Group’s Financing and Treasury department and is hedged using simple derivatives.
- The Group gives priority to fixed-rate borrowing due to the historically low rates. However, the Group regularly re-assesses its position based on market developments, and could enter into rate swaps on its bonds in order to reduce the cost of its debt.

For further details, see note 23 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.
Credit risk

- Accounts receivable and other debtors

The Group fosters relations with a large number of counterparties, most of which are its customers. At 31 December 2016, accounts receivable net of provisions amounted to €1,150 million. These accounts receivable are detailed by due date in note 23.4 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

The Group’s exposure to credit risk is linked to the individual characteristics of its customers. Default by one of these customers is likely to lead to a financial loss limited to the uninsured share of the customer’s debt to the Group.

Risk management

The Group’s objective is to secure the collection of its accounts receivable through a global insurance policy implemented by the Financing and Treasury department.

- The Group has a highly diversified customer base and makes less than 25% of its sales to its top 30 customers.
- There is no geographical concentration of credit risk as the Group makes significant sales in a large number of countries.
- The Group hedges most of its customer risk with a global credit insurance program which, at the date of this document, does not yet cover the recently acquired Den Braven entities. Given the quality of the Group’s customer portfolio and low claim rate, this program allows it to cover a significant proportion of its accounts receivable. The Group strives to further minimize this risk through a specific credit risk management policy that consists in regularly assessing the solvency of each of its uninsured customers. Uninsured customers whose financial situation does not meet the Group’s solvency requirements are only supplied after payment.

For further details, see note 23 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document. The policy concerning provisions for doubtful accounts on fully or partially uninsured accounts receivable is also detailed in note 23.

- Investments

Investment risk is related to financial investments with financial institutions. The Group is indebted overall, but at times may be required to invest cash, in particular to maintain a certain level of liquidity, to comply with local regulations or to manage cash lags. At 31 December 2016, the amount of cash invested with banking institutions or money market funds amounted to €623 million. Default by any one of these counterparties is likely to lead to a financial loss limited to the amount invested with the defaulting counterparty and therefore to an adverse impact on the Group’s results.

Risk management

The Group’s objective is to minimize this risk by centralizing the management of its financing resources and requirements.

- The Group recycles the financial surplus of its subsidiaries through intra-Group current accounts wherever local regulations permit.
- Any new relationship between a Group subsidiary and a banking or financial institution is first approved by the Financing and Treasury department.
- The Group minimizes its exposure to credit risk by investing only in highly secure assets with leading diversified counterparties.

For further details, see note 23 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

Risks related to pension funding

The Group has obligations to its employees for pension benefits and other post-employment benefits in most countries where it operates (see section 2.6 of this document). These obligations could:

- exceed its related provisions if the actuarial assumptions used were inaccurate or if regulations changed; or
- result in asset shortfalls in certain countries where the Group operates, particularly the United States and the United Kingdom, in the event of an adverse trend in the financial markets.

Risk management

The Group’s objective is to minimize this risk by opting for defined contribution plans wherever possible. Thus:

- the main defined benefit plans have been closed to new entrants for a number of years, or more recently in the case of the Bostik plans in the United Kingdom. In some cases, the plans have also been closed to further accrual;
- certain plans have been the subject of a transfer of pension rights to insurance institutions, in particular in France and the Netherlands; and
- the management of assets allocated to cover employee pension benefit obligations in some host countries, when such requirements exist, is outsourced to qualified professionals and controlled by independent trustees who themselves use the services of recognized professionals.

For further details, see note 19 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.
Tax and customs risks
Changes in tax or customs duty laws or regulations or amendments in the interpretation of case law, international treaties or administrative doctrine in any one of the many countries in which the Group operates could adversely impact its business activities, financial position or results.

Furthermore, the Group benefits from special tax treatment in some countries, such as reduced tax rates under certain conditions and for limited periods of time. If such special tax treatment were to be withdrawn, amended or not renewed, it could adversely impact the Group’s financial position or results.

Similarly, certain customs procedures may be reviewed by the customs administration on account of different practices in place in different countries or changes to regulations, which could adversely impact the Group’s business activities, financial position or results.

Risk management
The Group’s objective is to comply with the tax and customs regulations in all the countries in which it operates, while minimizing its tax burden.

The tax function is overseen by a team within the Financing and Treasury department that is made up of specialists supported by local employees and that uses the services of major external consultants whenever necessary. The Central Tax department is responsible for regularly updating the Group’s transfer pricing policy. Tax audits are overseen by the tax department, which ensures corrective measures are implemented when required.

In addition, the Group has a dedicated “customs” team that centralizes all key issues, with the help of an internal and external network of customs specialists and purpose-designed IT systems.

For further details on the financial impact of tax disputes, see note 21 to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

1.7.2.6 IT RISKS

Industrial and management processes, and communication between employees and third parties, are highly dependent on information technology systems based on complex and ever-changing technical environments. Their failure could have a material impact on the Group’s business activities, results or financial position. These threats also apply to the industrial businesses’ production unit control systems. The main cyber and other risks related to IT systems are as follows:

- technical risk of an interruption in the operation of critical applications or the loss of sensitive data, resulting in the shutdown or serious disruption of the operation of all or part of an industrial unit or department; and
- risk of intrusion or malicious use of the IT systems, particularly to steal information, misappropriate money, disrupt the operation of industrial facilities or impede the Group’s business activities.

Risk management
The IT and Telecommunications department aims to provide systems access to authorized users while ensuring the integrity and confidentiality of sensitive data.

Accordingly, the Group has adopted an IT Systems Security Policy that sets out the objectives and rules to be applied to guarantee the reliability of its IT systems based on the three criteria of availability, integrity and confidentiality mentioned above. The Group has also defined and implemented an internal directive to bolster the security of its industrial networks, as well as a regular compliance audit plan at its production sites.

The Group’s IT Systems Security Policy is currently being implemented by Bostik as part of its migration to the standards of the Group IT and Telecommunications department.

Pursuant to this policy, the IT and Telecommunications department implements a variety of initiatives, including technical measures (network protection, high-availability architectures with data replication, redundant data centers, standard workstation architecture with up-to-date antivirus software, segregation of industrial networks, etc.) and organizational measures (remote access for key employees, IT systems monitoring, enhanced ID and access-right security management, regularly tested business recovery plans, formal classification of information, user awareness-raising, annual reviews of IT risks, security patch management policy, etc.). Strict version management procedures that include non-regression testing are also in place to minimize any incidents brought about by the rollout of new versions of software or hardware.

The Group has also helped to boost the overall level of IT security by setting up a Security Operations Center, thereby increasing its surveillance and response capabilities in the event of a security breach of its IT systems.

The regular review of the Group’s IT Systems Security Policy, particularly with regard to industrial systems, and closer collaboration with government security bodies enables the Group to deal with threats to its management systems and to the operations of its industrial units (see section 1.7.2.3 on industrial safety, environmental and climate change risks). The Group also adapts its security policy in line with regulatory developments on personal data protection (European General Data Protection Regulation) and network and information system security (European NIS directive).
Lastly, the Group has set up an internal control system consisting of a number of general IT controls to ensure the reliability of the Group's critical processes and compliance with security rules. The effectiveness of these measures is assessed every year and action plans are put in place to address any identified weaknesses.

1.7.2.7 STRATEGIC PROJECTS RISKS

Risks related to innovation

Innovation is a key part of the Group's strategy. The business activities, results and future prospects of the Group are heavily reliant on its ability to produce new products and new applications and to develop new production processes. Furthermore, the Group invests in new industrial units and is exposed to the risk of disclosure of confidential documents and of copying of a process or technology that is critical to its production and to maintaining its international competitiveness.

Risk management

Each year, the Group invests heavily in R&D to develop new products and processes. In the High Performance Materials and Coating Solutions divisions, the regular introduction of innovative new products is a key success factor. Similarly, in Industrial Specialties, process performance is a major driver of competitiveness. The Group focuses its R&D efforts on fast-growing markets such as new energies, water treatment, 3D printing and lightweight materials to replace metal and glass.

This major focus on innovation also enables the Group to adapt to regulatory trends.

Furthermore, the Group only subcontracts the manufacture of equipment essential for critical processes to specific companies bound by confidentiality agreements. Files and technical manuals are managed by a restricted number of individuals, specifically the business process officers and technical center engineers.

Risks related to mergers, acquisitions and disposals

As the Group implements its strategy, it may provide a number of guarantees to third parties when disposing of businesses. It cannot be ruled out that when some of these guarantees are invoked, the compensation claims could exceed the provisions made by the Group and therefore have an adverse impact on its results or financial position.

The Group has also carried out several acquisitions in recent years that may expose it to various risks and in particular potential liabilities or responsibilities related to these activities. Should the assumptions on which these acquisitions were made fail to materialize, the development prospects of these activities may not be achieved. This could consequently impact the valuation of goodwill and have a material adverse impact on the Group's business activities, results or financial position.

Risk management

The Group endeavors, before entering into any external growth transaction, to take all necessary precautions when identifying targets, in particular by conducting in-depth evaluations of the activities and companies concerned and the various liabilities related to the business being sold, and by negotiating appropriate guarantees from the sellers with the advice of external consultants with expert industry knowledge. Furthermore, acquisitions are carried out by teams of qualified experts under the responsibility of the Strategy department.

The Group's policy in terms of business disposals is to limit its liability with respect to guarantees to the buyers.

Risks related to joint ventures

The Group is subject to risks related to the non-controlling interests that it holds in companies, some of which are major suppliers or customers of the Group. The joint ventures included in the Group's scope of consolidation are described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements at 31 December 2016 in section 4.3.3 of this document.

In accordance with the contracts and agreements governing the operation, control and financing of these joint ventures, certain strategic decisions can be made only with the agreement of all partners. There are risks of disagreement or deadlock between the partners in these joint ventures. In certain cases that are beyond the Group's control, these joint ventures could also make decisions that go against the Group's interests. Lastly, despite all the precautions taken when choosing partners, the Group cannot rule out the possibility that one of its partners could file for bankruptcy.

Investment decisions made within these joint ventures, whether as part of general operations or pursuant to specific agreements with the partners in these companies, may require the Group to incur additional expenses, to invest further or to purchase or sell certain companies.

Risk management

The Group has a small number of non-controlling or joint-controlling interests in joint ventures, and accordingly protects its interests by introducing, where possible, contractual terms designed to resolve deadlocks and maintain the Group's decision-making powers. The contracts or agreements relating to joint ventures that the Group considers material are described in section 1.5 of this document.
1.7.2.8 INSURANCE COVER DEFAULT RISKS

The Group’s insurance policy is part of the overall risk management framework and, as such, is described in detail in section 1.7.1.6 of this document.

At the date of this document, the Group believes that the limits of cover described in said section take into account the type of risks incurred by the Group and are consistent with those currently available on the insurance market for groups of similar size and involved in similar business activities.

However, in some cases, the possibility that the Group could be required to pay substantial compensation for claims that are not covered by the existing insurance program, or that it will incur very large expenses that will not be reimbursed or only partially reimbursed under its insurance policies, cannot be excluded.

Indeed, while the insurance market offers property insurance levels that cover any probable maximum claims, this is not the case with respect to civil liability, where the potential maximum claims exceed what the insurance market can offer on acceptable terms for the Group.

For a description of the various types of insurance contracts subscribed by the Group, see section 1.7.1.6 of this document.
### 3.3.2 Duties and operating procedures of the Board of Directors

#### 3.3.2.1 DUTIES

The Board of Directors is a collegiate body which takes decisions collectively. It is mandated by and accountable to all of the shareholders.

The Company’s Board of Directors exercises the powers assigned by law in order to act in the Company’s best interests in all circumstances. It decides the Company’s overall business strategy and oversees its implementation. Subject to those powers expressly conferred upon the shareholders and within the limits of the Company’s corporate purpose, the Board of Directors considers any issue involving the proper operation of the Company and decides on any issue concerning the Company.

To this end, it must in particular monitor and review the Group’s strategic developments, appoint the executive officers responsible for managing the Company in line with the corporate strategy, monitor the implementation of this strategy, take decisions regarding major transactions, ensure the quality of information supplied to shareholders and the markets, particularly in the financial statements, and guarantee the quality of its operations.

The Board of Directors can decide to set up one or more specialized committees. It defines the composition and remit of these committees, which operate under the responsibility of the Board of Directors. In accordance with the Internal Rules of the Board of Directors and each of its committees, some matters are therefore subject to prior review by the appropriate committee before being submitted to the Board of Directors for approval.

#### 3.3.2.2 OPERATING PROCEDURES

The operating procedures of the Board of Directors are determined by current laws and regulations, the Company’s Articles of Association and its Internal Rules as updated in 2016 to allow for the appointment of a senior independent director, and on 27 February 2017 in order to ensure compliance with the provisions of the AFEP-MEDEF Code.

The Board of Directors meets at least four times a year and whenever the interests of the Company so require. Meetings are convened by its Chairman. The convening notice may be delivered by any means, even verbally, eight days before the date of the meeting and, in urgent cases, without notice. It specifies where the meeting will take place. In principle, meetings take place at the Group’s head office but may in certain cases be held by conference call in accordance with the law, the Company’s Articles of Association and the Board of Directors’ Internal Rules.

The Board of Directors’ meetings are chaired by the Chairman of the Board or, in his absence, by the oldest director in attendance.

The Board of Directors may legitimately deliberate even in the absence of a notice of meeting if all members are present or represented. In accordance with its Internal Rules, in all cases permitted by law and if specified in the notice of meeting, directors attending the meeting by means of videoconferencing or any other telecommunication method that meets the requisite technical specifications set by current laws and regulations, are deemed present for the purpose of quorum and majority requirements.

Decisions are taken by majority vote of the members present, deemed present or represented. In the case of a split vote, the Chairman has the casting vote.

In accordance with corporate governance best practice and the recommendations of the AFEP-MEDEF Code in particular, the Board of Directors’ Internal Rules also set out the rights and obligations of the directors and notably impose that:

- before accepting their duties as director of the Company, the directors must ensure that they are familiar with the Company’s Articles of Association, the Board of Directors’ Internal Rules, and the legal and regulatory provisions governing the functions of a director of a French joint stock corporation (société anonyme), and in particular the rules relating to the definition of the powers of the Board of Directors, multiple directorships, the agreements falling within the scope of Article L 225-38 of the French Commercial Code, the holding and use of insider information, the declarations of trading in the Company’s shares and the black-out periods during which directors may not trade in those shares;
- the directors are elected by all the shareholders and must act in all circumstances in the Company’s best interests;
- the directors must devote the necessary time and attention to their duties. Consequently, the directors may not hold more than four other directorships in listed companies, including foreign companies, outside the Group. Accordingly, the directors undertake to inform the Chairman of the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee of any new non-executive or executive directorship that they might accept in a company outside the Group or outside the group of which he or she is a member, including their participation in the committees of these companies’ boards; executive directors may not hold more than two other directorships in listed companies outside the Group and must seek the opinion of the Board of Directors prior to accepting any new directorship in a listed company;
- the directors must be committed and, where possible, take part in all the Company’s Board of Directors’ meetings and the meetings of the committees to which they have been appointed, as well as annual general meetings;
- prior to each Board of Directors’ meeting, except in the event of an emergency justified by exceptional circumstances, the agenda and information on items on the agenda that require
special analysis and prior consideration, are sent to each
director with the notice of meeting or at least in sufficient time
before the meeting, whenever this can be accomplished without
any breach of confidentiality. The directors may also request
from the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer any additional
information they may consider necessary to properly fulfil their
duties, particularly in the light of the meetings’ agenda;
• if they deem it necessary, the directors may also request
additional training on the Group’s specific features, businesses,
and sector of activity, at the time of their appointment or during
their term of office. This training is organized by the Company,
which pays the related costs;
• all documents provided for Board of Directors’ meetings and
all information collected during or outside Board of Directors’
meetings are confidential, without exception, whether or not the
information collected is presented as being confidential. In
this regard, the directors must consider themselves bound by strict
professional confidentiality beyond the simple duty of discretion
provided for by the law. Furthermore, the directors undertake
not to express their individual views outside the boardroom on
matters discussed during Board of Directors’ meetings, or on
the opinions expressed by individual directors; and
• as required by law and regulations, the directors must refrain
from trading in the Company’s securities (including derivative
financial instruments) insofar as, by virtue of their duties, they
have access to insider information. Furthermore, the directors
must disclose any transactions they have entered into in respect
of the Company’s securities.
The internal rules also provide that, when the positions of
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are held by the same
person, the Board of Directors shall appoint one of the
independent directors to serve as senior independent director,
based on the recommendation of the Nominating, Compensation
and Corporate Governance Committee. For further details, see
section 3.3.3 of this document.
In accordance with the AFEP-MEDEF Code and with best
governance practices, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
does not take part in any discussions concerning his term of
office and compensation. This gives the other Board members
the opportunity of conducting discussions without his presence at
least once a year. However, since 2016 the internal rules have
provided that following the annual assessment of the Board of
Directors’ operating procedures, the senior independent director
may organize and chair a meeting of non-executive directors,
from which executive or employee directors are excluded.

3.3.2.3 ACTIVITIES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors met eight times in 2016 (versus six in
2015). These meetings included a conference call organized
to discuss a strategic project and the meeting held to renew the
term of office of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the
Company at the close of the annual general meeting of 7 June
2016. There was a high attendance rate at these meetings of
95% (versus 93% in 2015 and 96% in 2014). On average, the
meetings lasted approximately three hours.

The agenda of the Board of Directors’ meetings included recurring
annual topics, in particular (i) approval of the Company’s annual
and half-yearly consolidated financial statements and review of
the quarterly financial information and management forecast
documents, as well as the Company’s draft press releases, the
annual budget, and preparation of the annual general meeting,
including approval of the draft resolutions, (ii) approval of the
strategy presented during the annual seminar, (iii) approval of
the Chairman of the Board of Directors’ report on the
composition of the Board of Directors, the application of the
principle of gender balance, the conditions of the preparation
and organization of the work of the Board of Directors as well
as the internal control procedures put in place by the Company
and, more generally, questions of governance, (iv) setting of the
compensation conditions for the Chairman and Chief Executive
Officer and Executive Committee members, as well as the setting
and allocation of attendance fees among Board and Committee
members, (v) review of reports on the work of the specialized
committees, and (vi) the annual assessment of the Board of
Directors.

In 2016, the Board of Directors also reviewed the following:
• the risk map;
• the 2016 insurance program;
• the assessment of the Board of Directors’ operating procedures
for 2015 conducted by an independent advisory firm;
• confirmation of the Company’s governance structure, the
re-election of Thierry Le Hénaff as a director and as Chairman
and Chief Executive Officer of the Company for the duration
of his term of office;
• the appointment of François Enaud as senior independent
director;
• the Bostik integration process and progress made on the
implementation of synergies between this new business and
the rest of the Group;
• the acquisition of the Den Braven group;
• various strategic investment or divestment projects, some key
projects (including Sunke), and, more generally, the Group’s
overall strategy, in particular during the strategic annual
seminar and the monitoring of key operating priorities;
• the 2016 performance share plan;
• the appointment of Marie-José Donsion as a director and
member of the Audit and Accounts Committee to replace Claire
Pedini;
• the Group’s environmental and safety situations;
• changes in the competitive environment;
• feedback from the 2016 road shows;
• renewal of the Euro Medium Term Notes (EMTN) program and
of the authorization to issue bonds;
• the changes in the Executive Committee and its succession plan,
including for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, as well
as the management policy for executives; and
• the proposed share capital increase reserved for employees
and former employees of the Group.
At each meeting, the Chairman reviewed the transactions concluded since the previous meeting, and sought the authorization of the Board of Directors for the main projects underway that were likely to be completed before the next meeting. The Board of Directors was also informed at least once a quarter of the Company’s financial situation, cash position and commitments.

Since the beginning of 2017, the Board of Directors has met twice. These meetings focused in particular on:

- the 2017 budget;
- an insight into important topics, namely human resources policies, safety and the Group’s CSR approach;
- the presentation of the 2017 insurance program;
- updates to the risk map;
- the Board of Directors’ self-assessment of its operating procedures in 2016;
- the assessment of directors’ independence and the allocation of attendance fees;
- the closing of the consolidated and Company financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2016, the proposed allocation of profit and dividend distribution, and all related documents (the management report, the Chairman of the Board of Directors’ report prepared pursuant to Article L. 225-37 of the French Commercial Code, and more generally the 2016 reference document), the preparation of the annual general meeting, and, in particular, the resolutions to be submitted to shareholders as well as the financial forecasts;
- the definition of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer’s powers to issue deposits, commitments and guarantees for 2017;
- the review of related-party agreements and agreements entered into and authorized during previous years which were implemented in 2016;
- the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer’s compensation policy, the related special report and the draft resolution submitted to the annual general meeting in accordance with Article L. 225-37-2 nouveau of the French Commercial Code, as introduced by Act no. 2016-1691 of 9 December 2016 relating to transparency, the fight against corruption and modernization of the economy, known as the « Loi Sapin II »;
- the components of compensation due or awarded to Thierry Le Hénaff, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, for 2016, submitted to the shareholders’ vote in accordance with the AFEP-MEDEF Code;
- the compensation conditions for the Executive Committee members [fixed compensation for 2017, variable part for 2016 and criteria used to determine the variable part for 2017]; and
- the annual reports of the Audit and Accounts Committee and the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee.

### 3.3.2.4 ASSESSMENT OF THE OPERATING PROCEDURES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

In accordance with the AFEP-MEDEF Code and its internal rules, the Board of Directors conducts an annual assessment of its operating procedures by means of a formal questionnaire. Every three years in principle, an assessment is conducted by an external consultant. The form and terms of the Board’s assessment are discussed by the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee every year.

The Board of Directors’ 2015 operating procedures were assessed at the beginning of 2016 by Spencer Stuart, which conducted individual interviews of each director based on a guide that was approved by the Chairman of the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee and the Secretary of the Board of Directors. Prior to the interviews, each director was invited to complete an online questionnaire. The findings from this assessment process were detailed in a report that was first presented to the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee on 19 February 2016 and then to the Board of Directors on 2 March 2016. The assessment showed that the operating procedures of the Board of Directors in 2015 were very good and that the Board had demonstrated its maturity and efficiency and continued to improve since the previous independent assessment conducted by an external consultant in 2012. Moreover, more than three-quarters of the directors who also sit on other companies’ boards considered that Arkema’s Board of Directors had the best operating procedures. Following the assessment and the presentation of the report, certain areas for improvement were identified and agreed by the Board. (For more details, see 2015 reference document).

For 2016, the annual assessment of the Board of Directors was carried out formally on the basis of a questionnaire prepared by the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. This assessment was discussed at the Board of Directors’ meetings on 18 January 2017 and 27 February 2017 respectively. After analyzing the answers given by the directors, the Nominating, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee presented a report to the Board of Directors showing that directors continued to be very satisfied with the operating procedures of the Board and that the areas for improvement identified in early 2016 had all been taken into account, in particular through enhanced risk monitoring by the Board, the Board’s ongoing work in relation to its own composition and evolution, and the scheduled presentation of the Group’s CSR approach in a more detailed manner.